

Page 2 1 HEARING re Notice of Agenda / Agenda for August 12, 2021 2 Confirmation Hearing 3 HEARING re Amended Plan/ Sixth Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan 4 5 of Reorganization of Purdue Pharma L.P. and its Affiliated 6 Debtors filed by Eli J. Vonnegut on behalf of Purdue Pharma 7 L.P. (ECF #3185) 8 9 **HEARING** re Responses: 10 Objection to the Bankruptcy plan re: Claim 88041 filed by 11 Carrie L. McGaha. (ECF #2921) 12 13 HEARING re Letter to Judge Drain re: 82739 received 6-1-21 14 Filed by Michael W. Normile III. (ECF #2966) 15 16 HEARING re Letter to Judge Drain re: Claim 6177, Disclosure 17 Statement Filed by Les Burris. (ECF #3028) 18 HEARING re Letter to Judge Drain, re: 6750 Filed by Daniel 19 20 West, on behalf of Brian West. (ECF #3057) 21 22 HEARING re Letter Re: Legal Mail from Prime Clerk Marked 23 Contraband Filed by Thomas Hickey. (ECF #3099) 24 25 HEARING re Letter received 6/30/21 Filed by Theresa Willis.

```
Page 3
1
      (ECF #3100)
 2
 3
     HEARING re Letter /Concerns regarding Disclosure
 4
     Statement/Plan (related document(s)2988) Filed by Teresa
 5
     VomSaal. (ECF #3110)
 6
 7
     HEARING re Letter received 6/28/21 Filed by James E Crawley.
 8
      (ECF #3111)
 9
10
     HEARING re Statement Nictim Statement (Claim 619028) filed
11
     by Tamara Graham. (ECF #3122)
12
13
     HEARING re Letter re: Disclosure Statement (Settlement)
14
      (related document(s)2988) Filed by Ruby Romas. (ECF #3123)
15
16
     HEARING re Objection to Debtors' Plan of Reorganization
17
      (related document(s)2988) filed by Kelvin X Singleton.
18
      (ECF #3125)
19
20
     HEARING re Letter re: Voting Disclosure Statement (related
21
     document(s)2988) Filed by Shirley Belk.(ECF #3188)
22
23
     HEARING re Objection to the Plan/Claimants Objection
24
      (related document(s)2988) filed by Donald Ernest Allee. (ECF
25
     #3199)
```

Page 4 1 HEARING re Objection to Plan (related document(s)2988) filed 2 by Mary Butler-Fink, aka Parker's Mom. (ECF #3235) 3 4 HEARING re Objection of the United States Trustee to Sixth 5 Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Purdue Pharma L.P. and its 6 Affiliated Debtors (related document(s)2982, 2983, 3185) 7 filed by Paul Kenan Schwartzberg on behalf of United 8 States Trustee. (ECF #3256) 9 10 HEARING re Objection to Sixth Amended Joint Plan, filed by 11 Peter D'Apice on behalf of Certain Native American Tribes 12 and Others.(ECF #3257) 13 HEARING re Objection to Confirmation of Amended Plan by 14 15 Independent Emergency Room Physician Michael Masiowski 16 (related document(s)3185) filed by Paul S Rothstein on 17 behalf of Paul S Rothstein. (ECF #3262) 18 HEARING re Objection I Certain Insurers' Limited Objection 19 20 to Plan Confirmation and Reservation of Rights (related 21 document(s)3185) filed by Philip D. Anker on behalf of XL 22 Insurance America, Inc., Liberty Mutual Insurance 23 Company, Liberty Mutual Fire Insurance Company, Liberty 24 Insurance Corporation, American Guarantee and 25 Liability Insurance Company, Aspen American Insurance

Page 5 1 Company, Navigators Specialty Insurance Company, 2 North American Elite Insurance Company, Steadfast Insurance 3 Company. (ECF #3263) 4 5 HEARING re Objection to Confirmation of Amended Plan City of 6 Seattle's Objection to the Debtors' Plan of Reorganization 7 filed by Ben Harrington on behalf of City of Seattle. 8 (ECF #3264) 9 10 HEARING re Objection by The State of West Virginia, ex. rel 11 Patrick Morrisey, Attorney General to Confirmation of the 12 Debtors' Sixth Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization (related 13 document(s)2982, 2983, 3185) filed by Aaron R. Cahn on 14 behalf of The State of West Virginia, ex el. Patrick 15 Morrisey, Attorney General. (ECF #3265) 16 17 HEARING re Statement of the United States Regarding the 18 Shareholder Release filed by Lawrence Fogelman on behalf of 19 United States of America. (ECF #3268) 20 21 HEARING re Joint Objection to Confirmation of Plan of the 22 State of Connecticut, State of Maryland and District of Columbia filed by Irve J. Goldman on behalf of State of 23 24 Connecticut. (ECF #3270) 25

Page 6 1 HEARING re Objection to Plan and Plan Confirmation filed by 2 James Franklin Ozment I on behalf of Stacey Bridges. 3 (ECF #3271) 4 5 HEARING re Joinder and Objection of Gulf Underwriters 6 Insurance Company and St. Paul Fire and Marine Insurance 7 Company to the Sixth Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of 8 Reorganization of Purdue Pharma L.P. and its Affiliated 9 Debtors (related document(s)3185) filed by Bryce L. Friedman 10 on behalf of Gulf Underwriters Insurance Company, St. Paul 11 Fire and Marine Insurance Company. (ECF #3272) 12 13 HEARING re Objection to Confirmation of Plan (related 14 document(s)3185) filed by John A. Boyle on behalf of John H. 15 Stewart. (ECF #3273) 16 17 HEARING re Objection to Confirmation of Amended Plan filed 18 by Bernard Arda van Eskandari on behalf of People of the 19 State of California. (ECF #3274) 20 21 HEARING re Objection to Confirmation of Plan by Certain 22 Canadian Municipality Creditors and Canadian First Nation Creditors to Confirmation of the Sixth Amended Joint Chapter 23 24 11 Plan of Reorganization of Purdue Pharma L.P. 25 and Its Affiliated Debtors (related document(s)3185) filed

Page 7 1 by Allen J. Underwood on behalf of Guardian Law 2 Group LLP (ECF #3275) 3 HEARING re Objection to Confirmation of Plan of the State of 4 5 Washington, the State of Oregon, and the Objecting States 6 filed by Matthew J. Gold on behalf of State of Washington. 7 (ECF #3276) 8 9 HEARING re Objection to Plan Confirmation filed by James 10 Franklin Ozment I on behalf of Creighton Bloyd. (ECF #3277) 11 12 HEARING re Objection to Motion Objection to Sixth Amended 13 Joint Plan of Reorganization filed by Brian Edmunds on 14 behalf of State Of Maryland. (ECF #3278) 15 16 HEARING re Joinder filed by Jill Abrams on behalf of State 17 of Vermont. (ECF #3279) 18 19 HEARING re Joinder of the State of Delaware to Objection of 20 the State of Washington, the State of Oregon, and the 21 Objecting States to Confirmation of the Debtors' Plan of 22 Reorganization filed by Jillian Lazar on behalf of State of 23 Delaware. (ECF #3280) 24 25 HEARING re Objection to Motion filed by Morgan R Bentley on

Page 8 1 behalf of Sarasota County Public Hospital District. 2 (ECF #3288) 3 HEARING re Objection to Consider Confirmation of the Fifth 4 5 Amended Chapter 11 Plan (related document(s)2988) filed by 6 Joyce Villnave. (ECF #3292) 7 8 HEARING re Objection to Fifth Amended Chapter 11 Plan of 9 Reorganization (Motion for Allowance) (related 10 document(s)2988) filed by Jerome J. Ferrier. (ECF #3293) 11 12 HEARING re Objection to the Plan & Motion to file late 13 balots (related document(s)2988) filed by Earl Cobb. 14 (ECF #3298) 15 16 HEARING re Objection to the Plan & Motion to file late 17 ballots (related document(s)2988) filed by Tim Wright. 18 (ECF #3299) 19 20 HEARING re Objection to Confirmation of Plan Chubb Insurance USAs Objection To The Sixth Amended Joint Chapter 11 21 22 Plan Of Reorganization Of Purdue Pharma L.P. And Its 23 Affiliated Debtors (related document(s)3185) filed by Lawrence J. Kotler on behalf of Chubb Insurance USA. 24 25 (ECF #3301)

Page 9 1 HEARING re Opposition/ Joinder of National Union to Certain 2 Insurers' Limited Objection to Plan Confirmation (related 3 document(s)3263) filed by Joseph G. Davis on behalf of 4 National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, PA. 5 (ECF #3304) 6 7 HEARING re Objection /Joint Objection of Certain 8 Distributors, Manufacturers, and Pharmacies (ECF #3306) 9 10 HEARING re Amended Objection to Confirmation of Amended Plan 11 by Independent ER Room Physician, Dr. Michael Masiowski 12 (ECF #3323) 13 HEARING re Statement Reservation of Rights of Her Majesty 14 15 the Queen in Right of the Province of British Columbia and 16 other Canadian Governments with respect to confirmation of 17 the Sixth Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization of Purdue Pharma L.P. and Its Affiliated Debtors filed by 18 Nickolas Karavolas on behalf of Her Majesty in Right of the 19 20 Province of British Columbia. (ECF #3335) 21 22 HEARING re Objection to Restructuring of Purdue Pharma L.P., 23 ET ALL Case No. 19-23649(RDD) (related document(s)2988) 24 filed by Maria Ecke. (ECF #3357) 25

Page 10 1 HEARING re Objection Anderson Brecon, Inc D/B/A PCI Pharma 2 Services (ECF #3359) 3 4 HEARING re Objection to the plan (related document(s)2988) 5 filed by D. Thomas Page. (ECF #3368) 6 7 HEARING re Objection to Confirmation of Plan filed by On 8 behalf of the Farash Family Barbara Farash. (ECF #3404) 9 10 HEARING re The Multi-State Governmental Entities Group's 11 Statement in Support of and Response to Certain Objections 12 to the Sixth Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization of Purdue Pharma L.P. and Its Affiliated Debtors 13 14 filed by Kevin C. Maclay on behalf of Multi-State 15 Governmental Entities Group. (ECF #3430) 16 17 HEARING re Statement of The Raymond Sackler Family in Support of Confirmation of Debtors' Sixth Amended Plan of 18 Reorganization and in Reply to Plan Objections filed by 19 20 Gerard Uzzi on behalf of The Raymond Sackler Family. 21 (ECF#3438) 22 23 HEARING re Objection to Proposed Amendment of Contracts 24 Pursuant to Section 8.4 of Sixth Amended Joint Chapter 11 25 Plan of Purdue Pharma L.P. and Its Affiliated Debtors

Page 11 1 (related document(s)3185) filed by Daniel Joseph Saval on 2 behalf of CuraScript, Inc., Express Scripts Holding Company, 3 Express Scripts Pharmacy, Inc., Express Scripts, 4 Inc. (ECF #3439) 5 6 HEARING re Response to Motion The Mortimer D. Sackler 7 Family's Response to Plan Objections and Statement in 8 Support of Confirmation of The Sixth Amended Joint Chapter 9 11 Plan of Reorganization of Purdue Pharma L.P. and its Affiliated Debtors (related document(s)3435) filed by 10 11 Jasmine Ball on behalf of Beacon Company. (ECF #3442) 12 13 HEARING re Response to Objection of the United States 14 Trustee (related document(s)3256) filed by Michael Patrick 15 O'Neil on behalf of Ad Hoc Group of Hospitals. (ECF #3453) 16 17 HEARING re Response TO INSURER CONFIRMATION OBJECTIONS (related document(s)3301, 3304, 3272, 3263) filed by Paul M. 18 19 Singer on behalf of Purdue Pharma L.P. (ECF #3455) 20 21 HEARING re Statement / Redacted Statement of the Official 22 Committee of Unsecured Creditors in Support of Confirmation of the Sixth Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization 23 of Purdue Phrama L.P. and Its Affiliated Debtors 24 25 filed by Ira S. Dizengoff on behalf of The Official

Page 12 1 Committee of Unsecured Creditors of Purdue Pharma L.P., et 2 al. (ECF #3459) 3 HEARING re Reply: Reply to Objection and Improperly 4 5 Submitted Amended Supplemental Objection of Dr. Michael 6 Masiowski (related document(s)3323, 3262) filed by Michael 7 Patrick O'Neil on behalf of Ad Hoc Group of Hospitals. 8 (ECF #3413) 9 10 HEARING re Response / The Ad Hoc Group of Individual 11 Victims' Limited Reply in Support of Confirmation of the 12 Debtors' Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization (related 13 document(s)3271, 3256, 3185) filed by J. Christopher Shore 14 on behalf of Ad Hoc Group of Individual Victims of Purdue 15 Pharma L.P. (ECF #3427) 16 17 HEARING re Ad Hoc Committee Of NAS Children's Motion For 18 Leave To Exceed The Page Limit In Filing The Reply To 19 The United States Trustee's Objection To The Fee Settlements 20 Included In Sixth Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan 21 Of Reorganization Of Purdue Pharma L.P. And Its Affiliated 22 Debtors filed by Scott S. Markowitz on behalf of Ad 23 Hoc Committee of NAS Babies. (ECF #3396) 24 25 HEARING re Debtors' Memorandum of Law in Support of

Page 13 1 Confirmation of Debtors' Sixth Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan 2 of Reorganization of Purdue Pharma L.P. and its Debtor 3 Affiliates and Omnibus Reply to Objections Thereto 4 (related document(s)3185) filed by Marshall Scott Huebner on 5 behalf of Purdue Pharma L.P. (ECF #3461) 6 7 HEARING re Ad Hoc Committee's Reply to Plan Objections (related document(s)3268, 3270, 3256, 3272, 3276, 3265, 8 9 3301, 3304, 3185, 3263, 3306) filed by Kenneth H. Eckstein 10 on behalf of Ad Hoc Committee of Governmental and 11 Other Contingent Litigation Claimants. (ECF #3465) 12 13 **HEARING** re Related Documents: 14 Statement/ Notice of Filing of Special Education Initiative 15 Term Sheet (related document(s)2982) filed by Eli J. 16 Vonnegut on behalf of Purdue Pharma L.P. (ECF #3120) 17 HEARING re Statement / Notice of Filing of Eighth Plan 18 Supplement Pursuant to the Fifth Amended Joint Chapter 11 19 20 Plan of Reorganization of Purdue Pharma L.P. and its 21 Affiliated Debtors (related document(s)2982) filed by Eli J. 22 Vonnegut on behalf of Purdue Pharma L.P. (ECF #3121) 23 24 HEARING re Letter request for video access to Confirmation Hearing Filed by Katie Lynn B Townsend on behalf of Dow 25

Page 14 1 Jones & Company, Inc., Boston Globe Media Partners, LLC, and 2 Reuters News & Media, Inc. (ECF #3129) 3 HEARING re Statement /Notice of Filing of Blackline of Sixth 4 5 Amended Plan (related document(s)3185) filed by Eli J. 6 Vonnegut on behalf of Purdue Pharma L.P. (ECF #3186) 7 8 HEARING re Statement/ Notice of Filing of Ninth Plan 9 Supplement Pursuant to the Sixth Amended Joint Chapter 11 10 Plan of Reorganization of Purdue Pharma L.P. and its 11 Affiliated Debtors (related document(s)3185) filed by Eli J. 12 Vonnegut on behalf of Purdue Pharma L.P. (ECF #3187) 13 14 HEARING re Statement/ Notice of Extension of Voting Deadline 15 (related document(s)3166, 2982) filed by Eli J. Vonnegut on 16 behalf of Purdue Pharma L.P. (ECF #3231) 17 HEARING re Statement/ Notice of Filing of Tenth Plan 18 19 Supplement Pursuant to the Sixth Amended Joint Chapter 11 20 Plan of Reorganization of Purdue Pharma L.P. and its 21 Affiliated Debtors (related document(s)3 1 85) filed by Eli 22 J. Vonnegut on behalf of Purdue Pharma L.P. (ECF#3232) 23 24 HEARING re Statement/ Notice of Filing of Eleventh Plan 25 Supplement Pursuant to the Sixth Amended Joint Chapter 11

Page 15 1 Plan of Reorganization of Purdue Pharma L.P. and its 2 Affiliated Debtors (related document(s)3185) filed by Eli J. Vonnegut on behalf of Purdue Pharma L.P. (ECF #3246) 3 4 5 HEARING re Letter (Letter in Support of Request for Video 6 Access to Confirmation Hearing) (related document(s)3129) 7 Filed by Andrew M. Troop on behalf of Ad Hoc Group of Non-8 Consenting States. (ECF #3248) 9 10 HEARING re Motion to Allow Filing of Amici Curiae Brief 11 filed by Ira Bumim on behalf of Kennedy Forum and other 12 national organizations. (ECF #3251) 13 14 HEARING re Statement/ Notice of Filing of Twelfth Plan 15 Supplement Pursuant to the Sixth Amended Joint Chapter 11 16 Plan of Reorganization of Purdue Pharma L.P. and its 17 Affiliated Debtors (related document(s)3185) filed by Eli J. Vonnegut on behalf of Purdue Pharma L.P. (ECF #3283) 18 19 20 HEARING re Certain Distributors, Manufacturers, and 21 Pharmacies' Motion to Authorize Leave to Exceed Page Limit 22 in Filing the Joint Objection to the Sixth Amended Joint 23 Chapter 11 Plan of Purdue Pharma L.P. and Its Affiliated 24 Debtors filed by Christopher A. Lynch (ECF #3305) 25

Page 16 1 HEARING re Order signed on 7/23/2021 Granting Leave to 2 Exceed Page Limit in Filing the Joint Objection to the Sixth Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Purdue Pharma L.P. and Its 3 Affiliated Debtors (Related Doc# 3305) (ECF #3309) 4 5 6 HEARING re Declaration/ Preliminary Declaration of Christina 7 Pullo of Prime Clerk LLC Regarding the Solicitation of Votes 8 and Tabulation of Ballots Cast on the Fifth Amended Joint 9 Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization of Purdue Pharma 10 L.P. and its Affiliated Debtors (related document(s)2982) 11 filed by Eli J. Vonnegut on behalf of Purdue Pharma 12 L.P. (ECF #3327) 13 14 HEARING re Letter re Consents to Filing Amici Curiae Brief 15 Filed by Ira Bumim on behalf of Kennedy Forum and other 16 national organizations. (ECF #3355) 17 HEARING re Declaration / Final Declaration of Christina 18 19 Pullo of Prime Clerk LLC Regarding the Solicitation of Votes 20 and Tabulation of Ballots Cast on the Fifth Amended Joint 21 Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization of Purdue Pharma L.P. 22 and its Affiliated Debtors (related document(s)3327, 2982) 23 filed by Eli J. Vonnegut on behalf of Purdue Pharma 24 L.P. (ECF #3372) 25

Page 17 1 HEARING re Motion to Allow- Ad Hoc Committee Of NAS 2 Children's Motion For Leave To Exceed The Page Limit In 3 Filing The Reply To The United States Trustee's Objection To The Fee Settlements Included In Sixth Amended Joint 4 5 Chapter 11 Plan Of Reorganization Of Purdue Pharma L.P. And 6 Its Affiliated Debtors filed by Scott S. Markowitz on behalf 7 of Ad Hoc Committee of NAS Babies. (ECF #3396) 8 9 HEARING re Declaration of Scott R. Bickford, Esq. In Support of The Ad Hoc Committee of NAS Children's Reply To The 10 11 United States Trustee's Objection To The Fee Settlements 12 Included In The Sixth Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan 13 of Reorganization of Purdue Pharma L.P. And Its Affiliated 14 Debtors (related document(s)3397, 3256, 3185) filed 15 by Scott S. Markowitz on behalf of Ad Hoc Committee of NAS 16 Babies. (ECF #3398) 17 HEARING re Declaration/ Third Supplemental Declaration of 18 Jeanne C. Finegan (related document(s)717, 719) filed by 19 20 James I. McClammy on behalf of Purdue Pharma L.P. 21 (ECF #3403) 22 HEARING re Affidavit Declaration of Rahul Gupta, MD, MPH, 23 24 MBA, FACP Filed by Michael Patrick O'Neil on behalf of Ad 25 Hoc Group of Hospitals. (ECF #3405)

Page 18 HEARING re Affidavit Declaration of Rebecca M.S. Busch, MBA 1 2 Filed by Michael Patrick O'Neil on behalf of Ad Hoc Group 3 of Hospitals.(ECF #3407) 4 5 HEARING re Affidavit Declaration of Gayle A. Galan, M.D. 6 FACEP Filed by Michael Patrick O'Neil on behalf of Ad Hoc Group of Hospitals. (ECF #3408) 7 8 9 HEARING re Affidavit Declaration of William Legier Filed by 10 Michael Patrick O'Neil on behalf of Ad Hoc Group of 11 Hospitals. (ECF#3409) 12 13 HEARING re Declaration of Richard A. Collura filed by 14 Benjamin S. Kaminetzky on behalf of Purdue Pharma L.P. 15 (ECF #3410) 16 17 HEARING re Declaration of Jesse DelConte filed by Benjamin S. Kaminetzky on behalf of Purdue Pharma L.P. (ECF #3411) 18 19 20 HEARING re Declaration of Deborah E. Greenspan filed by 21 Benjamin S. Kaminetzky on behalf of Purdue Pharma L.P. 22 (ECF#3412) 23 24 HEARING re Declaration of Gautam Gowrisankaran filed by 25 Benjamin S. Kaminetzky on behalf of Purdue Pharma L.P.

Page 19 1 (ECF #3414) 2 HEARING re Declaration of Carl J. Trompetta filed by Gerard 3 Uzzi on behalf of The Raymond Sackler Family. (ECF #3415) 4 5 6 HEARING re Declaration of Garrett Lynam filed by Gerard Uzzi 7 on behalf of The Raymond Sackler Family. (ECF #3416) 8 9 HEARING re Declaration of Stephen A. Ives filed by Gerard 10 Uzzi on behalf of The Raymond Sackler Family. (ECF#3417) 11 12 HEARING re Declaration of David Sackler filed by Gerard Uzzi 13 on behalf of The Raymond Sac kl er Family. (ECF #3418) 14 15 HEARING re Declaration Supplemental Declaration of Jennifer 16 L. Blouin filed by Gerard Uzzi on behalf of The Raymond 17 Sackler Family. (ECF #3419) 18 HEARING re Declaration Maureen M. Chakraborty filed by 19 20 Gerard Uzzi on behalf of The Raymond Sackler Family. 21 (ECF #3420) 22 HEARING re Declaration of Lawrence A. Hamermesh filed by 23 24 Gerard Uzzi on behalf of The Raymond Sackler Family. 25 (ECF #3421)

Page 20 1 HEARING re Declaration of Timothy J. Martin filed by Gerard 2 Uzzi on behalf of The Raymond Sackler Family. (ECF #3422) Declaration of Mark F. Rule, CPA filed by Benjamin S. 3 Kaminetzky on behalf of Purdue Pharma L.P. (ECF #3424) 4 5 6 HEARING re Motion to Authorize Raymond Sackler Family's 7 Motion for Leave to Exceed Page Limit in Statement in 8 Support of Confirmation of Debtors' Sixth Amended Plan of 9 Reorganization and in Reply to Plan Objections filed by 10 Gerard Uzzi on behalf of The Raymond Sackler Family. 11 (ECF #3425) 12 13 HEARING re Order signed on 8/5/2021 Granting Leave to Exceed 14 the Page Limit in Filing the Reply to the United States 15 Trustee's Objection to the Fee Settlements Included in Sixth 16 Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization of 17 Purdue Pharma L.P. And its Affiliated Debtors, {Related Doc# 18 3396) (ECF #3426) 19 20 HEARING re Declaration of David W. DeRamus, Ph.D. filed by 21 Benjamin S. Kaminetzky on behalf of Purdue Pharma L.P. 22 (ECF#3428) 23 24 HEARING re Order signed on 8/5/2021 RE: Establishing 25 Procedures for Remote Hearing on Confirmation of the Joint

Page 21 1 Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization of Purdue Pharma L.P. and 2 It's Affiliated Debtors. (ECF #3429) 3 HEARING re Declaration of Joseph L. Turner filed by Benjamin 4 5 S. Kaminetzky on behalf of Purdue Pharma L.P. (ECF #3431) 6 7 HEARING re Declaration of Lianna E. Simmonds filed by Benjamin S. Kaminetzky on behalf of Purdue Pharma L.P. 8 9 (ECF #3432) 10 11 HEARING re Declaration of John S. Dubel filed by Benjamin S. 12 Kaminetzky on behalf of Purdue Pharma L.P. (ECF #3433) 13 14 HEARING re Motion to Allow The Mortimer D. Sackler Family's 15 Motion for Leave to Exceed Page Limit in Filing their 16 Response to Plan Objections and Statement in Support of 17 Confirmation of the Sixth Amended Joint Chapter 11 18 HEARING re Plan of Reorganization of Purdue Pharma L.P. and 19 20 its Affiliated Debtors filed by Jasmine Ball on behalf of 21 Beacon Company. (ECF #3435) 22 23 HEARING re Motion to Authorize Leave to Exceed the Page 24 Limit in Filing the Reply to the U.S. Trustee's Objection 25 filed by Michael Patrick O'Neil on behalf of Ad Hoc Group of

Page 22 1 Hospitals. (ECF #3437) 2 HEARING re Declaration of Jon Lowne filed by Benjamin S. 3 Kaminetzky on behalf of Purdue Pharma L.P. (ECF #3440) 4 5 6 HEARING re Declaration of Gregory P. Joseph filed by Gerard 7 Uzzi on behalf of The Raymond Sackler Family. (ECF #3441) 8 9 HEARING re Declaration/ Declaration of Gary A. Gotto in 10 Support of Ad Hoc Committee's Reply to Plan Objections and 11 in Support of Plan Confirmation filed by Kenneth H. Eckstein on behalf of Ad Hoc Committee of Governmental and 12 13 Other Contingent Litigation Claimants. (ECF #3443) 14 HEARING re Declaration/ Declaration of John M. Guard in 15 16 Support of Ad Hoc Committee's Reply to Plan Objections and 17 in Support of Plan Confirmation filed by Kenneth H. Eckstein on behalf of Ad Hoc Committee of Governmental and 18 19 Other Contingent Litigation Claimants. (ECF #3446) 20 21 Declaration / Declaration of Jayne Conroy in Support of Ad 22 Hoc Committee's Reply to Plan Objections and in Support of Plan Confirmation filed by Kenneth H. Eckstein on 23 behalf of Ad Hoc Committee of Governmental and 24 25 Other Contingent Litigation Claimants. (ECF #3447)

Page 23 HEARING re Declaration of Timothy J. Martin (related 1 2 document(s)3442, 3185) filed by Jasmine Ball on behalf of 3 Beacon Company. (ECF #3448) 4 5 HEARING re Declaration of Peter H. Weinberger in Support of Ad Hoc Committee's Reply to Plan Objections and in Support 6 7 of Plan Confirmation filed by Kenneth H. Eckstein on behalf 8 of Ad Hoc Committee of Governmental and Other Contingent 9 Litigation Claimants. (ECF #3449) 10 11 HEARING re Declaration of Jessica B. Horewitz, PhD. in 12 Support of the Ad Hoc Committee's Reply to Plan 13 Objections and in Support of Plan Confirmation filed by 14 Kenneth H. Eckstein on behalf of Ad Hoc Committee of 15 Governmental and Other Contingent Litigation Claimants. 16 (ECF #3450) 17 HEARING re Declaration of Jonathan Greville White (related 18 document(s)3442, 3185) filed by Jasmine Ball on 19 20 behalf of Beacon Company. (ECF #3451) 21 22 HEARING re Declaration of Alexa M. Saunders (related document(s)3442, 3185) filed by Jasmine Ball on behalf of 23 Beacon Company. (ECF #3452) 24 25

Page 24 1 HEARING re Declaration of Jesse DelConte filed by Benjamin 2 S. Kaminetzky on behalf of Purdue Pharma L.P. (ECF #3456) 3 HEARING re Motion to Allow/ Motion of the Official Committee 4 5 of Unsecured Creditors for Leave to Exceed Page Limit in 6 Statement in Support of Confirmation of the Sixth Amended 7 Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization of Purdue Pharma L.P. and Its Affiliated Debtors filed by Ira S. Dizengoff on 8 9 behalf of The Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors of 10 Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. (ECF #3457) 11 HEARING re Declaration / Redacted Declaration of Michael 12 13 Atkinson in Support of the Statement of the Official 14 Committee of Unsecured Creditors in Support of Confirmation 15 of the Sixth Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization 16 of Purdue Pharma L.P. and Its Affiliated Debtors filed by 17 Ira S. Dizengoff on behalf of The Official Committee of 18 Unsecured Creditors of Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. (ECF #3460) 19 20 HEARING re Motion to Allow/ Debtors' Motion for Leave to 21 Exceed the Page Limit in Filing Memorandum of Law in Support 22 of Confirmation of Debtors' Sixth Amended Joint Chapter 11 23 Plan of Reorganization of Purdue Pharma L.P. and its Debtor Affiliates and Omnibus Reply to Objections 24

Thereto filed by Marc Joseph Tobak on behalf of Purdue

25

Page 25 1 Pharma L.P. (ECF #3462) 2 3 HEARING re Motion to Approve Motion to Exclude the Expert Testimony of William P. Hrycay, CPA filed by Jasmine Ball on 4 5 behalf of Beacon Company (ECF #3490) 6 7 HEARING re Notice of Motion to Exclude the Expert Testimony of William P. Hrycay, CPA (related document(s)3490) filed 8 9 by Jasmine Ball on behalf of Beacon Company. (ECF #3491) 10 11 HEARING re Order signed on 8/9/2021 Granting Leave to Exceed 12 the Page Limit in the Mortimer D. Sackler Family's 13 Response to Plan Objections and Statement in Support of 14 Confirmation of the Sixth Amended Joint Chapter 11 15 Plan of Reorganization of Purdue Pharma L.P. and its 16 Affiliated Debtors (related document(s)3480) (ECF #3515) 17 18 HEARING re Amended Order signed on 8/9/2021 Establishing 19 Procedures for Remote Hearing on Confirmation of the Sixth 20 Amended Joint Chapter Plan of Reorganization of Purdue 21 Pharma L.P. and Its Affiliated Debtors (ECF #3521) 22 HEARING re Notice of Withdrawal of Limited Objection to Plan 23 24 (related document(s)3257) filed by Peter D'Apice on behalf 25 of Certain Native American Tribes and Others. (ECF #3522)

Page 26 1 HEARING re Statement/ Notice of Filing of Thirteenth Plan 2 Supplement Pursuant to the Sixth Amended Joint Chapter 11 3 Plan of Reorganization of Purdue Pharma L.P. and its 4 Affiliated Debtors (related document(s)3185) filed by Eli J. 5 Vonnegut on behalf of Purdue Pharma L.P. (ECF #3528) 6 7 HEARING re Motion to Strike Amended Motion to Exclude the 8 Expert Testimony of William P. Hrycay, CPA (related 9 document(s)3490, 3491) filed by Jasmine Ball on behalf of 10 Beacon Company (ECF #3530) 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Transcribed by: Sonya Ledanski Hyde

	Page 27
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	WITNESSES:
4	MARK F. RULE
5	JOHN S. DUBEL
6	JON LOWNE
7	GAUTAN GOWRISANKARAN
8	JEANNE FINEGAN
9	
10	DAVIS POLK WARDWELL LLP
11	Attorney for Debtors
12	450 Lexington Avenue
13	New York, NY 10017
14	
15	BY: BENJAMIN KAMINETZKY (TELEPHONICALLY)
16	MARSHALL SCOTT HUEBNER (TELEPHONICALLY)
17	MARC J. TOBACK (TELEPHONICALLY)
18	
19	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
20	Attorneys for the U.S. Trustee
21	201 Varick Street, Suite 1006
22	New York, NY 10014
23	
24	BY: BENJAMIN J. HIGGINS (TELEPHONICALLY)
25	

	Page 28
1	LITE DEPALMA GREENBERG & AFANADOR
2	Attorneys for Certain Canadian Municipality Creditors
3	and Canadian First Nation Creditors
4	570 Broad Street, Suite 1201
5	Newark, NJ 07102
6	
7	BY: ALLEN J. UNDERWOOD (TELEPHONICALLY)
8	
9	PULLMAN COMLEY, LLC
10	Attorney on behalf of State of Connecticut
11	850 Main Street
12	Bridgeport, CT 06604
13	
14	BY: IRVE J. GOLDMAN (TELEPHONICALLY)
15	
16	TAFT STETTINIUS HOLLISTER LLP
17	Ad Hoc Hospitals counsel
18	211 N. Pennsylvania Street
19	One Indiana Square
20	Indianapolis, IN 46204
21	
22	BY: MICHAEL PATRICK O'NEIL (TELEPHONICALLY)
23	
24	
25	

	Page 29
1	FRANK OZMENT ATTORNEY AT LAW, LLC
2	Attorneys for Bridges Bloyd Fitch
3	217 Country Club Park, Box 501
4	Birmingham, AL 35213
5	
6	BY: JAMES FRANKLIN OZMENT (TELEPHONICALLY)
7	
8	JOSEPH HAGE AARONSON LLC
9	Raymond Sackler Family
10	485 Lexington Ave, 30th Floor
11	New York, NY 10017
12	
13	BY: GREGORY JOSEPH (TELEPHONICALLY)
14	
15	Office of the Attorney General - State of Maryland
16	Attorney for State of Maryland
17	200 Saint Paul Place
18	Baltimore, MD 20852
19	
20	BY: BRIAN EDMUNDS (TELEPHONICALLY)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 30
1	MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY & MCCLOY LLP
2	Attorneys for Raymond Sackler Family
3	55 Hudson Yards
4	New York, NY 10001
5	
6	BY: ALEX LEES (TELEPHONICALLY)
7	
8	Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP
9	Attorneys for Navigators Specialty Insurance Company
10	1875 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
11	Washington, DC 20006
12	
13	BY: ISLEY MARKMAN GOSTIN (TELEPHONICALLY)
14	
15	ALSO PRESENT TELEPHONICALLY:
16	
17	JILL S. ABRAMS
18	BENJAMIN ALBERT
19	ROXANA ALEALI
20	PHILIP D. ANKER
21	ROMAN ASUDULAYEV
22	MICHAEL ATINSON
23	MITCHELL JAY AUSLANDER
24	JASMINE BALL
25	BROOKS BARKER

1	Pg 31 0f 309	
	Page 31	
1	THOMAS MOULTRIE BESHERE	
2	SCOTT R. BICKFORD	
3	DAVID E. BLABEY	
4	HUNTER BLAIN	
5	LOUIS BOGRAD	
6	SARA BRAUNER	
7	PAUL E. BREENE	
8	DAVID BROWN	
9	GABE BRUNSWICK	
10	ROBERT G. BURNS	
11	AARON R. CAHN	
12	CHRISTOPHER CARTER	
13	MARK CHALOS	
14	JOHN CHRISTOPHER	
15	GERARD CICERO	
16	RICHARD COLLURA	
17	DANIEL CONNOLLY	
18	MELANIE L. CYGANOWSKI	
19	MARIO D'ANGELO	
20	PETER C. D'APICE	
21	STACY DASARO	
22	JOSEPH G. DAVIS	
23	KEVIN DAVIS	
24	DAVID DERAMUS	
25	MARK DEAMAN	

	1 g 32 01 303
	Page 32
1	JESSE DELACONTE
2	SHANNON DEVON
3	CLINT DOCKEN
4	JOHN C. DOUGHERTY
5	STEPHANIE EBERHARDT
6	MARIA ECKE
7	KENNETH H. ECKSTEIN
8	BERNARD ARDAVAN ESKANDARI
9	BARBARA FARASH
10	MATHEW FARRELL
11	JENNIFER S. FEENEY
12	JULIANNE FELIZ-KIDD
13	LAURA FEMINO
14	JEANNE FINEGAN
15	ROBERT FINZI
16	MARK FISHER
17	DAVID FOGEL
18	LAWRENCE FOGELMAN
19	SAM FRAIDIN
20	JOSEPH D. FRANK
21	THOMAS ROE FRAZER II
22	BRYCE L. FRIEDMAN
23	CAROLINE GANGE
24	GILL GELDREICH
25	MELISSA GIBSON

Page 33 MAGALI GIDDENS 1 2 SCOTT GILBERT 3 JEFFREY R. GLEIT 4 MATTHEW J. GOLD 5 MICHAEL GOLDSTEIN 6 JONATHAN GOLIJOV 7 GEOFFREY S. GOODMAN 8 GARY GOTTO 9 JARED T. GREEN 10 JAMES S. GREEN, JR. 11 DEBORAH GREENSPAN 12 EMILY GRIM 13 JOHN GUARD 14 STEPHANIE GULKIN SATZ 15 ADAM P. HABERKORN 16 RYAN HAMPTON 17 BEN HARRINGTON 18 ANGELA K. HERRING 19 MICHELE HIRSHMAN 20 JENNA A. HUDSON 21 MITCHELL HURLEY 22 ELISA HYDER 23 HAROLD D. ISRAEL 24 SAMUEL ISSACHAROFF 25 EVAN M. JONES

	1 g 54 01 505
	Page 34
1	JEFFREY KAPLAN
2	NICKOLAS KARAVOLAS
3	NEIL F.X. KELLY
4	KAREN KENNEDY
5	MARC KESSELMAN
6	MUHAMMAD UMAIR KHAN
7	DARREN S. KLEIN
8	JEREMY C. KLEINMAN
9	LAWRENCE KOTLER
10	ANN KRAMER
11	AARON W. LAIRD
12	KYUNG LEE
13	OWEN LEFKON
14	KAREN J. LEUNG
15	MARA LEVENTHAL
16	JEFFREY LIESENMER
17	EDAN LISOVICZ
18	LAUREN M. MACKSOUD
19	BRIAN S. MASUMOTO
20	PATRICK C. MAXCY
21	CARRIE MCGAHA
22	MITCHELL J. MCVEIGH
23	NATHANIEL MILLER
24	AISLING MURRAY
25	IAIN A.W. NASATIR

1	1 g 33 01 309
	Page 35
1	EDWARD E. NEIGER
2	LEE M. NICHOLSON
3	SHERYL R. O'DONNELL
4	LOUIS PAGOT
5	KATHERINE PORTER
6	ARIK PREIS
7	KAMI QUINN
8	JOSEPH RICE
9	LINDA RIFFKIN
10	EVAN ROMANOFF
11	JAMES SALWEN
12	PAUL KENAN SCHWARTZBERG
13	JOSEPH L. SOROKIN
14	EMMA J. SOUSA
15	CHRISTOPHER STANLEY
16	ERIC STODOLA
17	JEROME TAPLEY
18	KARA TRAINOR
19	GERARD UZZI
20	JANICE G. WASHINGTON
21	WENDY WEINBERG
22	THEODORE WELLS JR.
23	
24	
25	

Page 36

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: Okay. Good morning, everyone. This is Judge Drain, and we're here in In re Purdue Pharma, LP, et al, on the Debtor's request for approval of confirmation of their amended plan. I have the witness list and prepared to proceed along the order that's set forth in that witness list.

MR. KAMINETZKY: Good morning, Your Honor.

Benjamin Kaminetzky of Davis Polk for the Debtors. Welcome to the confirmation hearing. We have a few housekeeping matters that -- along those lines that Your Honor said we should convey to the Court in real time. The first few matters I'm going to turn it over to Mr. Huebner.

Then I have a few stipulations to -- the parties have reached that should be able to streamline the proceedings and the testimony, which I think we should do first, and then proceed to the witnesses if that works for the Court. So if I could just turn it over to Mr. Huebner to start us off with the first, like, administrative stuff.

THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. Thanks.

MR. HUEBNER: Good morning, Your Honor. Marshall
Huebner of Davis, Polk, and Wardwell on behalf of the
Debtors. One initial housekeeping point. It sounds like
there is a microphone on somewhere where someone is banging
a lot of books and papers. And so if there is someone other

I think it would be very helpful to the hundred or more people who are listening in.

Your Honor, four essentially housekeeping matters on my end. There are no opening statements. I will not say a work. There are many things I would like to say about having been brought to this juncture out of respect to the fairness and rights to all parties, so let me deal with mechanics.

Number one, just as a reminder to all people and persons who are interested, the Court has taken extraordinary steps to ensure the most possible public access to this hearing as well as predecessor hearings. There is both a toll free line that people can dial into to listen to every minute of these proceedings. There are also two rooms set up in the courthouse so that anybody who also wants to view the video aid and whatever exhibits you'll put up on the screen and the like, that's available as well.

One is sort of a COVID protocol room for people who either are not vaccinated with more social distancing, and the other is for people that prove their vaccination (indiscernible) make sure that members of the public and others knew that all these steps have been taken to make the proceedings as public and transparent and available as possible.

THE COURT: Can I interrupt you on that point?

Because I want to make sure people have the right address.

When you say these rooms are available at the court, they're available at the Bankruptcy Court at 1 Bowling Green in Manhattan.

MR. HUEBNER: Correct. Correct, Your Honor. I should've said that. For ease of travel for many people and for logistics, that was what the court was able to set up.

Number two, Your Honor, you had asked at a recent status conference, and we wanted to confirm for everybody's benefit, what has happened with respect to the schedule of matters that, in fact, were previously scheduled to be heard in one case on August 16th and August 19th. Let me just explain for everybody's benefit.

On August 16th, there are several pro se matters on, six in total. Four of them are fully consensual, two that are not. We are (indiscernible) with a similar (indiscernible). We have worked very hard never to reschedule pro se things because people who are pro se often have, you know, difficulty arranging for attendance, have to take time off from work.

Some as we know are incarcerated, and so we will proceed -- we will deal with all six of those the morning of the 16th, but we think that can go very quickly. The second thing that we're going to keep on the docket for the 16th is

being in fact that there are fully resolved with the field examiner appointed by the court in the case, fee applications, we would propose to move forward with those as well. And our hope is that the entire docket previously scheduled for thee 16th will take under an hour.

We have a very different view with respect to the 19th because those are larger matters that are sort of, you know, law-firm related. And so for those three matters, one of which is the Debtor's -- well, which are two of the Debtor's motions, and one of which is the motion by the Ad Hoc Individual Victims Committee perspective. And that is we propose to adjourn all of those until essentially immediately after the conclusion of the confirmation hearing.

We don't want to burden the many parties dialing in for confirmation, and certainly not run the meter with the many parties who are billing time for confirmation. So to sit there and watch an omnibus hearing go on, it could take a little bit more time. The individual committee -- Ad Hoc Committee of Individual Victims has consented to the adjournment at the end of the confirmation. So we'll just play it by ear.

If the end of confirmation (indiscernible) and the lawyers (indiscernible) 3:00, great. If we end at 3:00 and the answer is we will see you all in the morning, you know,

Pg 40 of 309 Page 40 if it's a relatively smaller number of parties, and for all -- obviously, if we book all the days of next week and the week after other than the 24th, and we'll just be flexible and play it by ear. Item number three, Your Honor --THE COURT: Can I just interrupt you again. Mr. Troop, did you have something to say on that? MR. TROOP: Yes, Your Honor. Because these hearings were originally scheduled for the 19th, today would otherwise be the deadline for filing objections to those pending motions, and there's still some blowbacks going on with respect to them and the like. I understand the Debtor's desire to be able to play it by ear, but I'm also hopeful that we will be able to pick an objection deadline that is at least a week from today so that we can have some control over schedule timing and responses. THE COURT: That's fine. MR. HUEBNER: Yeah. Your Honor, that --THE COURT: I think you could work that out among yourselves. And the notice of adjournment, which would just generically describe the adjourned date, can also state the objection deadline. MR. HUEBNER: Yeah. Your Honor, we'll work with Mr. Troop. And of course, he's totally right. We do need to set an objection deadline for him. They told me nobody

will object, but if somebody does it will probably be one of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the parties. And we'll figure out an objection to find that it's fair and appropriate.

And Mr. Troop, thank you for raising the issue.

You're, of course, correct. People know the time.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HUEBNER: Your Honor, with respect to overnight filings, I do want to be clear for the benefit of all parties. You know, we have been working like literally, literally around the clock as -- personally on hours of conference calls with Sackler who represented us yesterday, and those were just conference calls.

Overnight we did file two very important documents. Number 1 is we did file a seventh amended (indiscernible). I think that on the whole, paper changes, people can review them as (indiscernible). I think they're not super duper material. In most cases, I think they're probably self-explanatory. I'm not proposing to walk through those changes, but I believe at some point during the hearing if that proves (indiscernible) appropriate, we will of course do so.

We've also filed further kind of supplemental documents with further amended (indiscernible) with (indiscernible) documents will be negotiated, not only entirely really by the Debtors, but really by the ad hoc committee and the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors

who are and continue to be opposite the Sacklers as negotiating parties. Both documents are now very, very, very close to done.

You know, we don't know within sort of a football metaphor whether I'd describe this as the one-third of the yard line or the one-eighth of a yard line. But I think that there are really at this point largely only (indiscernible), that we will be very soon filing absolutely unexecuted finished copies, but I think that there are then, you know, issues that are truly lawyer issues. But in many cases, while important, are highly technical in terms of how, you know, remedies work, expirations, and the like.

I think there are people there -- it's already been a while since there were sort of level 1 material business points that we're still moving. But you know, we're lawyers and it's a multi-billion dollar deal and we're taking several years, obviously, (indiscernible) the risk. And so representatives of multiple law firms of the AHC, as well as obviously the UCC, as well as the Debtors, the Sacklers, are working very hard to make sure that we can button up all the risks and have things be in the most comfortable way.

Your Honor, one last point, I do see that Mr.

Andino has sent out a new audio bridge. It may be that
there was a problem with the other one. I'm not positive,

but if the Court would like, I could read that out loud. So if anybody wants access, the number that was just sent at 10:16 a.m. 120 seconds ago, they can have it and write it down (indiscernible) or I'm happy to do that.

THE COURT: Why don't you just say that -- the number if you can?

MR. HUEBNER: Sure. So the new -- the -- I don't know if this is new or amended, but it does look like it's new because it says "correction" on the telephone dial-in. The correct audio bridge for the general public is 844-867-6163, and the access code is 9263332 and then you hit pound. With that, Your Honor, my sort of, you know, housekeeping in print matters are concluded. And as the Court requested, we go get the witnesses, and I go back on mute, and turn it over back to Mr. Kaminetzky.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

MR. KAMINETZKY: Your Honor, there are two stipulations that the Debtor would like to put into the record. First, the United States Trustee and one other party interest requested that the Debtors stipulate on the record that no party will be prejudiced by relying on the testimony elicited by others during cross.

We understand that the U.S. Trustee wants to have his stipulation on the record because the U.S. Trustee believes this this would obviate the need for parties to ask

duplicative questions or to speak at the close of other parties' cross-examinations simply to put their reservations or joinders on the record. I think this is a kind of obviously point that you don't have to ask the same questions and elicit the same testimony, but we were asked to put that on the record. And of course, the Debtors are fine with that.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. KAMINETZKY: Of course, (indiscernible) more sense to me. The second is a little bit more involved.

It's taking to heart Your Honor's comments at the final pretrial conference about using the evidentiary portion of this hearing efficiently. The Debtors, the UCC, the AHC, and the Sackler families, both side A and side B, reached agreement on the terms of the stipulation that is intended to ensure that no party would be prejudiced by a decision to limit or forego presentation of evidence at the confirmation hearing.

To be clear, this is not a stipulation that's been agreed to by the Washington, Oregon, Connecticut, or Maryland states. Mr. Lees will have an update for the Court on that in a moment. Again, this stipulation is just among the Debtors, the UCC, the AHC, and the Sackler families.

This language has been negotiated, and if -- with Your Honor's permission, I would like to read it into the record. In the event that there is litigation against the

shareholder release party as a result of a notice of shareholder release snapback as defined in the sixth amended plan, no party referred to here as a non-prejudice party, and no party formed as a result of the plan, which is a future party, shall be prejudiced in any way in connection with such snap-back litigation by its decision to limit or forego the presentation of evidence, or forego cross-examination of any witness in connection with the confirmation of the plan, including the confirmation hearing.

If the plan is not confirmed or if plan confirmation is reversed on appeal, no non-prejudiced party nor future party shall be prejudiced in any way in connection with any future proceeding based on its decision to limit or forego the presentation of evidence, or forego cross-examination of any witness in connection with the confirmation of the plan, including at the confirmation hearing.

Nothing that occurs at the confirmation hearing or (indiscernible) thereto shall constitute or be deemed agreement or disagreement in any future proceeding or snapback litigation by any non-prejudiced party or future party with any position taken or evidence offered by any other party at the confirmation hearing provided that nothing herein shall operate to limit or reduce the binding

nature of the plan confirmation order any related findings on any party.

For an avoidance of doubt, all parties agree and acknowledge that the Debtors, the UCC, any public or private claimant that is not objecting to the plan, and any shareholder relief party subject to snapback litigation and any future party is intended to be a non-prejudiced party. That was a lot of words, Your Honor. I hope the intent is clear. If not, I'm happy to answer any questions or to direct you to any of the parties.

THE COURT: No, I think the intent is clear. I would preface that -- should've prefaced it by my view that it's highly unlikely that there would be collateral estoppel effect anyway from this, but this just alleviates a concern by various parties as to that issue. And those parties obviously have every desire to make it crystal clear. So I'm fine with that agreement.

MR. KAMINETZKY: Finally, the last thing I have, Your Honor, and then I'll turn it over to Mr. Lees with respect to a stipulation that the families have agreed to (indiscernible) of the objecting (indiscernible).

The Daubert Motion filed by side A of William

Hrycay that we then -- that was referenced on Monday, that,

I've been informed, has been withdrawn by side A. So that

-- that's no longer on the table. So with that, with your

permission, Mr. Lee, who represents -- from Milbank who represents side B of the Sackler family wants to inform the Court of an update with respect to an agreement between the families and certain of this case.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. LEES: Good morning, Your Honor. Alex Lees of Milbank for the Raymond Sackler family. As Mr. Kaminetzky alluded to, following the final pre-trial conference before Your Honor on Monday, we took to heart Your Honor's suggestion that we meet and confer and try to find a way to streamline evidentiary issues at trial, and I'm happy to report we had a very good outcome.

I will read a stipulation into the record that is among the Raymond Sackler family, the Mortimer Sackler family, and the States of Washington, Connecticut, and Oregon, who, for purposes of the stipulation, I will refer to as the objecting states. I have to make that clarification because Maryland is not joining the stipulation. And after I read this, I will provide some context for what that means for the hearing.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. LEES: One, no party will ask the Court to make a finding or conclusion at the confirmation hearing on the ultimate merits of any underlying opioid claim against the Debtors or the Sackler families. Two, the Raymond

Sackler family will not offer the Joseph declaration or the attached hypothetical findings and conclusions into evidence.

Three, the documents on the Raymond Sackler family's exhibit list, on the side A exhibit list, and on the objecting states' exhibit lists will be admitted into evidence without objection and for any purpose. For clarity, that also means that the expert reports of Mr. Hrycay and Mr. Kane would be admitted into evidence subject to cross-examination of those witnesses.

Four, the fact that a party did not present evidence or take testimony other than in accordance with this stipulation at the confirmation hearing concerning the merits of underlying opioid claims against the Debtors or the Sackler families, or defenses thereto, shall not have any affect or bearing on any future litigation of the merits of such claims, including if there is a snapback in litigation against the snapback.

That is the stipulation, Your Honor. I think this is a good development, as I mentioned, because the vast majority of the objections to the families' proposed exhibits were from the states who were parties to the stipulation. As I mentioned --

THE COURT: So --

MR. LEES: As I mentioned --

THE COURT: -- can I just --

MR. LEES: Yes.

THE COURT: -- before you get to the next point in which you were going to say, are you going to file this on the docket and send a copy to chambers so we can focus our preparation on -- I think I understood what you said and people have been taking notes, but I just want to make sure what's agreed so that I can prepare for that portion of the hearing.

MR. LEES: Of course, Your Honor. We're more than happy to do so, and I can do that as soon as I finish here and sit down at my computer.

We -- the context that I wanted to give Your Honor is that the vast majority of the objections to the family's proposed evidentiary presentation came from the parties -- the states that are parties to this stipulation. Maryland is an exception, but the reason why I think this is still going to resolve substantially all of the evidentiary issues is that Maryland's objections to the family's exhibit lists were quite narrow based on the master exhibit lists that the Debtors have been circulating among the parties that compiles the objections.

And based on the correspondence we have seen as well, we understand that at least for the B side, the only extant objection is to the expert opinions of Professor

Page 50 1 Larry Hamermesh, and that is the subject of a Daubert Motion 2 that was filed, we believe in an untimely manner. But in any event, that is still the subject of the Daubert Motion 3 that Your Honor will get to in due course. 4 But other than that, because no other objections 5 6 were raised to the evidentiary portion of the Sackler 7 family's -- sorry, the documentary evidence on the Sackler 8 family's list. We believe that this resolution with 9 Washington, Connecticut, and Oregon resolves substantially 10 all of the issues for the families. 11 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. 12 MR. LEES: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 MR. EDMUNDS: Your Honor, if I may, I don't know 14 if the Court wants to hear from me or is just entertaining 15 the stipulation at this time, but --16 THE COURT: Well, can you just state your name for 17 the record and who you are? MR. EDMUNDS: Sure. It's Brian Edmunds from the 18 19 State of Maryland. Apologies. I don't know if we are --20 THE COURT: I -- no, I'm not -- well, I'm not here 21 22 MR. EDMUNDS: The individual live issue. THE COURT: Yeah, I'm not -- I don't think there 23 24 I'm not going to hear the Daubert Motion now. I'll 25 deal with it if and when the witness is slated to testify.

And I'm not sure -- I mean, if you believe that there's still substantial witness time that you would need, you should let me know. But I have a feeling you don't given what the side A and side B parties have agreed to.

MR. EDMUNDS: I'm not sure that's the case, Your Honor. I mean, the issue on Monday when we were before the Court, you were clear that the merits of the claims of third parties against the Sacklers were not relevant. You said that the Joseph declaration was out, they should file a motion if they wanted it back in, and I think we agree with that ruling substantially. What this effort is, and this stipulation is --

THE COURT: Isn't that what they just agreed to?

MR. EDMUNDS: They agreed to the Joseph

declaration being out, but they also want to dump in a ton

of a documents and have other witnesses, including Professor

Hamermesh, that make the same hypothetical case. It's the

same abstract. We are presenting the evidence we would

present in the future. That's what these documents are.

They're the documents that you ruled were presumptively irrelevant, and those are the documents that they're putting in. So our position has been, as Your Honor knows, that if those documents get in, then we have the absolute right to challenge the evidence and the matters that are contained in those documents by the tools of the

19-23649-shl Doc 3564 Filed 08/16/21 Entered 08/16/21 10:08:21 Main Document Pg 52 of 309 Page 52 1 adversary process. And we've been engaged in discussions 2 with them for weeks now saying just that, but they seem to insist on presenting these documents and evidence that is 3 not relevant to the issues before the Court. 4 THE COURT: Well, look, I'm not sure what 5 6 documents you're referring to. The focus of our discussion 7 on Monday was, I think, almost exclusively the Joseph 8 declaration and the exhibit to it. So it -- I guess the 9 answer is it depends. It depends on how they intend to use 10 the evidence. But if the issue of a third party claims 11 merits is cabined, then I'll cabin discovery -- I mean, I'll 12 cabin questioning on it. If it's not --13 MR. EDMUNDS: Yeah, and --14 THE COURT: If it's not, I won't. 15 MR. EDMUNDS: That's understood, Your Honor. 16 just trying to point out what the issue regarding whether 17 that happens --18 THE COURT: I don't think -- look, if you're worried that somehow the State of Maryland is behind the 19

eight ball on this, don't be worried. You're free to make that decision and I'm just not -- I'm not in a position to

MR. EDMUNDS: Right, I'm just --

rule on it today as far --

THE COURT: -- I'm hearing here.

MR. EDMUNDS: Understood, Your Honor. I just

20

21

22

23

24

Page 53 1 wanted to --2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. EDMUNDS: -- let you know our position with 4 respect to the issues --5 THE COURT: That's fine. 6 MR. EDMUNDS: -- that have to do with the flow of 7 the hearing. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 MR. EDMUNDS: Thank you. 10 THE COURT: And Maryland, as I recall, is not a 11 joinder party, right? It actually is a party to the 12 objection. 13 MR. EDMUNDS: We filed our own and we are a party 14 to the other states' objections as well. 15 THE COURT: Well, this is an important point that 16 people should understand. If someone joins in someone 17 else's pleading, and someone else settles that pleading, the 18 joinder goes away. It's not an independent pleading. So as 19 far as you filed your own pleading, there's no problem with 20 that. But joinders aren't a way to get into a litigation if 21 the parties to it settle it. 22 MR. EDMUNDS: Understood. And, Your Honor, 23 they're -- it's clear we're not a joinder party. 24 THE COURT: Right. You have your own objection, 25 and I understand that.

	Page 54
1	MR. EDMUNDS: Right. Thank you.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	MR. HUEBNER: Thank you, Your Honor.
4	MR. KAMINETZKY: I think that Your Honor,
5	again, Ben Kaminetzky of Davis Polk. The debtors I think
6	I've wrapped up the preliminary items and we're ready to
7	turn to the witnesses. (Indiscernible) proceed debtors
8	will proceed according to the order that (indiscernible) to
9	the Court on Wednesday, August 11th again, and that we sent
10	a copy to all of the parties.
11	So our first witness will be Christina Pullo, and
12	I will turn that over to my colleague Jim McClammy.
13	THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. And I see Ms.
14	Pullo on the screen. Would you raise your right hand,
15	please? Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole
16	truth, and nothing but the truth so help you God?
17	MS. PULLO: I do.
18	THE COURT: And it's P-U-L-L-O, correct?
19	Christina Pullo?
20	MS. PULLO: Correct.
21	THE COURT: All right.
22	MS. PULLO: Correct.
23	THE COURT: Ms. Pullo, you submitted a declaration
24	dated July 26, 2021, a preliminary declaration that was
25	intended to be your direct testimony in this matter under my

September 18 -- I'm sorry, excuse me, my order setting procedures for this hearing. And let me ask you, except as that declaration is updated and corrected by your second declaration submitted in this matter, which is dated August 2, 2021, is there anything in your July 26th declaration that you'd like to change knowing it would be your direct testimony? MS. PULLO: No, there is not. It's just entirely superseded by the final one that was filed on August 2nd. THE COURT: Okay. And then let me ask you then, as far as the August 2, 2021 final declaration is concerned, knowing that it would be your direct testimony in this matter under my order establishing procedures for the matter, is there anything in it that you would wish to change? MS. PULLO: No, there is not. THE COURT: Okay. Very well. All right. So it was intended to be Ms. Pullo's direct testimony, and does anyone have any objection to its admission as Ms. Pullo's direct testimony or any portion of it? No? Okay. Well, I

(Declaration of Christina Pullo Admitted Into Evidence)

THE COURT: I have reviewed it. I really don't have any questions on it. It lays out the vote tabulation

will admit it then.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

with the exhibits attached and an explanation of those exhibits showing the vast number of votes cast on the plan and the high percentages in each class voting in favor of the plan, as well as a list of the ballots that were rejected either because they were superseded, because they didn't indicate an acceptance or a rejection, or because they were submitted late, or because they weren't signed. So unless anyone has anything further to say or further to ask Ms. Pullo, I will excuse her. MR. HIGGINS: Your Honor, this is Ben Higgins for the United States Trustee. We did have some limited crossexamination of --THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. MR. HIGGINS: -- Ms. Pullo. THE COURT: Okay. Very well. Then you go ahead with cross. MR. HIGGINS: Thank you, Your Honor. And actually, but before that, we have one limited preliminary matter based on our conversation with the debtors. Ms. Pullo's -- Exhibit B to Ms. Pullo's declaration states that ten states voted no, but they're not specifically identified, and we ask the debtors whether or not the states that voted no are set forth in the record anywhere. Currently, it's -- they are not, but we understand the debtors would be okay with stipulating as to which

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

states would be on the record provided the states are okay with it, Your Honor. So we just want to make sure the record is clear on that, and hopefully get that on. I can ask Ms. Pullo directly, but we thought it'd be easier to get it in by means of a stipulation, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. KAMINETZKY: Your Honor, we're fine with that.

Assuming that the states agree, we understand that the voting process is generally a confidential one. We have not the heard back from the States, but assuming that's done, I think there would be no issue with getting that as a stipulation.

MR. GOLDMAN: Your Honor, Irve Goldman on behalf of the State of Connecticut. We have no objection to putting that list on the record. I think it does -- it does relate to the best interest test. I think we need to know which party dissenting states, so I think they should be put on the record.

THE COURT: I agree with that. I wasn't aware of a stipulation to keep their votes confidential. I think it should be on the record.

MR. GOLD: Matthew Gold from Kleinberg Kaplan on behalf of the States of Washington, Oregon, and the District of Columbia. We agree.

THE COURT: Okay. Does anyone --

Page 58 MAN: Yeah. Your Honor, just to clarify. 1 2 THE COURT: Does any state disagree? 3 MAN: Yeah. Your Honor, (indiscernible) with (indiscernible). There definitely is no stipulation at all 4 5 to keep those confidential --6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 MAN: -- to be clear. THE COURT: I didn't think so. 8 9 MAN: About ballots, ballots are generally not 10 public, and it's actually rare that the vote of an 11 individual creditor is made public unless they choose to do 12 so. Nine of the ten states actually who voted no filed 13 objections to the plan. 14 So I think it's pretty obvious who nine of them 15 are, but clearly we're happy to have all ten listed as long 16 as they consent. We just didn't feel comfortable 17 essentially publicizing ballots that are not otherwise on 18 the public docket. We'll check with all ten of them. don't know that we need -- we (indiscernible) on the line, 19 20 and I'm assuming that the ten will be fine also, and that 21 this will be public (indiscernible). 22 THE COURT: Well, look, as far as the ten are --23 or the nine are concerned, that is public because they are objecting to the plan. If they voted in favor of the plan 24 25 and/or are objecting to the plan, I have to assume you

Page 59 1 would've told me. So why don't you just check with the one 2 that hasn't filed an objection. 3 MAN: Yep. Thank you, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 MR. HIGGINS: May I proceed, Your Honor? 6 THE COURT: Yes. MR. HIGGINS: Thank you, Your Honor. 7 8 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF CHRISTINA PULLO 9 BY MR. HIGGINS: 10 Good morning, Ms. Pullo. My name is Benjamin Higgins 11 and I represent the United States Trustee. Can you hear me 12 okay? 13 Α Yes. Thank you. Do you have a copy of your declaration that 14 15 was filed, the docket number 3372? 16 Α Yes, I do. 17 Thank you. Could you please turn to Paragraph 8 of that declaration? 18 19 Yes. 20 Q Thank you. You supervised the solicitation of votes 21 regarding the Debtor's Chapter 11 plan; is that correct? 22 That is correct. And to be entitled to vote, parties will require to 23 24 file a timely proof of claim; is that right? 25 By the general bar date and prior to the voting record

Page 60 1 date. That is correct. 2 Thank you. In Paragraph 8 of your declaration, you 3 testified that there were over 615,000 timely filed proofs of claim; is that correct? 4 5 Yes. 6 And also in Paragraph 8, you list a chart that sets 7 forth the number of claims classified within each voting 8 class; is that right? 9 Yes. And it doesn't have the total here in the chart, but if 10 you were to add up those claims, it would add up to 618,194 11 12 claims; is that right? 13 I believe that is correct. 14 Thank you. So there were 618,194 claims that were 15 entitled to vote; is that right? 16 Α Yes. 17 Could you please turn to Exhibit A to your declaration? 18 Α Mm-hmm. Thank you. Now, Exhibit A was the final vote count; is 19 20 that correct? 21 Α That is correct. 22 Thank you. And there is a column in the chart on 23 Exhibit A that was the total number of accepting votes and 24 another column that was the total number of rejecting votes;

is that right?

Page 61 1 That's right. Yes. 2 And if you were to add up the total number of accepting votes and the total number of rejecting votes, that would 3 give you a total of 120,301 votes; is that right? 4 5 Yes, that is right. 6 Thank you. So of the 618,194 claims that were entitled 7 to vote, only 120,301 actually voted on the plan; is that 8 correct? 9 When you look at the overall voting, yes. It's about a 10 20 percent response rate --11 Right. Q 12 -- overall. 13 And in fact, it's a little bit less than 20 percent, isn't it? 14 15 It's about 19 and change as an overall. 16 Thank you. So when the debtors say that they have 17 overwhelming support for the plan because 95 percent of the creditors vote in favor of it, it's actually just 95 percent 18 of that less than 20 percent that actually voted; isn't that 19 20 right? 21 Can you clarify the question, please? 22 THE COURT: Let me ask, Mr. Higgins. 23 BY MR. HIGGINS: 24 Sure. Ninety --25 THE COURT: Are you seeking to amend Section 1126

Page 62 1 of the Bankruptcy Code? 2 MR. HIGGINS: No, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: All right. MR. HIGGINS: Your Honor, the Debtors have argued, 4 5 and several parties have argued --6 THE COURT: No, no, I just wanted to get that on 7 the record because not everyone that listens to this 8 understands the requirements that Congress puts in for 9 voting in the Bankruptcy Code, although the U.S. Trustee 10 does. So I really wanted to understand the U.S. Trustee's 11 understanding of the Bankruptcy Code, and I think you do 12 acknowledge that Congress says you count those who vote, 13 both in terms of percentage and number, correct? 14 MR. HIGGINS: Yes, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: All right. You can go ahead. 16 MR. HIGGINS: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 BY MR. HIGGINS: Parties that didn't -- Ms. Pullo, parties that did file 18 a proof of claim were not entitled to vote; is that correct? 19 20 That is correct. 21 Thank you. As the managing director and head of 22 Corporation Action of Prime Clerk, you've assisted with the 23 balloting process in other large Chapter 11 cases; is that 24 right? 25 Α Yes.

Page 63 1 And in those other cases, have you seen ballots 2 relating to releases that have either an option to 3 affirmatively consent to releases by opting in or choosing not to be bound by releases by opting out? 4 5 I've seen ballots that have the option to opt out. 6 I've seen ballots that do not have that. It varies by case, 7 but we've seen all types of instances. 8 And in this case, there was no option to opt in or to 9 opt out with respect to the releases; is that correct? 10 The ballot did not contain a release opt-out section. 11 Thank you, Ms. Pullo. 12 MR. HIGGINS: No further questions, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Does anyone else 14 want to cross-examine Ms. Pullo? 15 MR. OZMENT: Your Honor, this is Frank Ozment for 16 Bridges, Boyd, and Fitch. I have two very brief questions. 17 THE COURT: Could I -- and your client is? 18 MR. OZMENT: Stacy Bridges, Creighton Boyd, 19 Charles Fitch --20 THE COURT: Thank you. 21 MR. OZMENT: -- Bridges, and Cartwright. 22 THE COURT: You can go ahead. 23 MR. OSMET: Thank you. 24 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF CHRISTINA PULLO 25 BY MR. OZMENT:

1 Ms. Pullo, there were some questions regarding the 2 ability to maintain confidentiality. I'd like to follow up 3 on a couple of those, please. Did -- when you collected the votes, did you collect the addresses and the ZIP codes of 4 5 those who cast votes? 6 To the extent that it was provided on the ballot we 7 captured that information. And it was generally provided on the ballot; is that 8 9 correct? 10 I haven't reviewed every single ballot, but yes, it is a question that -- to each ballot gave a spot to put in that 11 12 information. 13 Is it possible to search the ZIP codes and count the votes by ZIP code if the Court were ultimately rule that was 14 15 appropriate without compromising confidentiality of the 16 people who cast those votes? 17 To the extent that we have the ZIP code, and it was 18 provided to us we would able to run certain reports. Okay. And would it also be similar to -- or not 19 20 similar, but rather potentially possible to count addresses 21 within ZIP codes without compromising personal identity? 22 I just want to make sure I understand the question. 23 Are you asking if we look at a particular address, isolate 24 just an address?

Count -- I represent a prisoner, the gentleman.

25

So

Page 65 1 what I'm trying to get at is could you count the number of 2 people who cast votes from a particular address without 3 compromising the personal identifiable -- personally 4 identifiable information of the people who lived at that 5 address? 6 To the extent that we were provided for an address to 7 search, we would be able to isolate those addresses to the 8 extent it was provided and in our database. 9 MR. OZMENT: Thank you, Your Honor. I don't have 10 anything further. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. 12 MR. UNDERWOOD: Your Honor, this is Allen 13 Underwood on behalf of the Canadian Municipal Creditors and 14 Canadian First Nations, and I had intended to have some 15 brief questions of Ms. Pullo. Is that all right? 16 THE COURT: Sure. 17 MR. UNDERWOOD: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION OF CHRISTINE PULLO 18 BY MR. UNDERWOOD: 19 20 Ms. Pullo, if you'll turn to page 4 of your final 21 declaration, there is a chart. At the top of the page it 22 says Plan Class and Plan -- and Cost Description. With regard to Plan Class 5, which is described as a tribe class, 23 24 in your understanding based upon your role at Prime Clerk, what types of claims were encompassed by the tribe class? 25

Pg 66 of 309 Page 66 The tribe claims is a defined term in the plan, so we would just have to refer to that defined term for specifics on what would be in the types of claims that would be in that class. I see. And so when you referred to that definition, did you include tribes or sovereigns or first nations from other places? Just to be clear, when we --THE COURT: I'm sorry. I didn't hear the last part. First nations from, and then it kind of faded out, Mr. Underwood. MR. UNDERWOOD: What I meant to say was from other countries. THE COURT: Okay. BY MR. UNDERWOOD: Just to be clear, the -- basically, all the classifications were done in coordination with Debtor's counsel. To the extent that we classified a class in a particular class, that classification was sent to Debtors written by Debtor's counsel, and then we finalized what was -- we received final sign-off for those. So to the extent that there were any modifications to that or legal parameters that would qualify a class -- a claim within that tribe, we defer to Debtor's counsel on

that and that's really how the process worked.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 Q Thank you. Now, if you'll flip to page 5 of your final
- 2 report, there's a chart in the middle of that page, and it
- 3 says -- and I'm referencing now Plan Class 5 --
- 4 A Mm-hmm.
- 5 Q -- tribal claims, and according to this chart, there
- 6 were 401 tribal claims that were filed. Is that your
- 7 recollection?
- 8 A 401 is the number provided in the declaration.
- 9 Q Are you aware of any other tribal claims that were
- 10 excluded from voting under this plan?
- 11 A I don't have any recollection of that.
- 12 Q Were you aware of any back-and-forth with Debtor's
- 13 counsel about who should be placed as a tribal class and who
- 14 should not?
- 15 A We, as an overall general matter, went back and forth
- 16 with Debtor's counsel to review the plan classifications.
- 17 And to the extent that there were any adjustments, they were
- 18 | captured. I don't specifically have any recollection
- 19 regarding the tribe claims (indiscernible).
- 20 Q Okay. And so if a claim was filed as a tribal claim or
- 21 with reference to a tribe or a similar type of group, you in
- 22 the first instance would have classified them as a ballot
- 23 within the tribal claim class? You would've forwarded that
- 24 to the Debtor's counsel for review and then received it
- 25 back. And you don't recall having your judgment with regard

1 to that question by the Debtor's counsel in any circumstance 2 case with regard to any tribal claim or ballot? No. To the extent that it would've been adjusted, it 3 was reflected in the final -- this chart reflects the final 4 5 plan classification with respect to all the claims. 6 Okay. And flipping back to page 4 and the chart at the 7 top of page 4, we have plan class 4, non-federal domestic governmental claims. Where did you derive -- pardon me. 8 9 Where did Prime Clerk derive its definition for that class 10 in terms of the solicitation of ballots? 11 It's a defined term in the plan, same as the tribe 12 claims, and then we took a first crack at classifying those. 13 And then again worked with the debtors to make any 14 adjustments to the final plan classification used for voting 15 purposes only. 16 Okay. Were there circumstances where Prime Clerk's 17 initial classification of a ballot and a claim for the 18 purposes of Class 4, a non-federal metric governmental claims class, were corrected, revised, or discussed with the 19 20 Debtors prior to the mailing of the ballots? 21 There were certainly adjustments to Plan 22 classifications based from the first report that we sent 23 over to the final. I just don't know specifically if any 24 were respect to Class 4 in particular. But there were 25 certainly adjustments across (indiscernible).

Page 69 1 THE COURT: Ms. Pullo, the question was before the 2 ballots were sent out as opposed to after. 3 MS. PULLO: Right. 4 THE COURT: I just want to make sure you're 5 focusing on that. 6 MS. PULLO: Yes. Yes. Before -- from the draft 7 of the plan classification report that we sent to counsel to 8 the finalization of that and prior to ballots going out, 9 there were adjustments made. I just don't have any specific 10 recollection as to whether how many were specifically in 11 Class 4. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 BY MR. UNDERWOOD: 14 Presumably, if Prime Clerk sent a ballot that was 15 within Class 4 that subsequently had -- there was any 16 question about the validity of that ballot, that ballot 17 would show up on Exhibit C to your August 2nd final declaration? 18 Exhibit C just references ballots that were excluded 19 20 from the final tabulation. To the extent that there's any 21 question or issue raised to us regarding classification, in 22 the ordinary course we would take that question regarding the plan classification, escalate that to Debtor's counsel 23 24 for further instruction on how to proceed. 25 Right. And was there a circumstance where you had an

1 initial ballot that was excluded that you referred to the 2 Debtors that they determined it should be included? I think we're talking about two separate things because 3 we're talking about plan classification versus excluded 4 5 ballots. So I just want to make sure I'm answering the 6 question that you are trying to get to. 7 I apologize. I sort of shifted gears there. What I was asking was whether there was a circumstance where in its 8 9 initial estimation, Prime Clerk made a determination that 10 ballot should be excluded and referred that back to the 11 Debtor, did the Debtor ever say, no, it should be included? 12 Not to my recollection. There is one case where a 13 ballot came in and is referenced in one of the footnotes 14 that came in late after the voting deadline that otherwise 15 would've been excluded, but we escalated that to the 16 Debtors. They told we had that defect and we included that. 17 Otherwise a ballot in final tabulation. 18 Now, at the end of the day, it was a joint process where really the Debtor had final call, but it was a joint 19 20 process between Prime Clerk and the Debtor as to which 21 creditors received which types of ballots based upon the 22 proofs of claim reviewed by Prime Clerk; is that correct? 23 It was a collaborative process, but ultimate final 24 sign-off was by Debtor's counsel. 25 Now, I'm not sure of the ability that we have I see.

Page 71 1 here today to get granular with regard to a couple of 2 specific grain -- claims and ballots. Do you have the 3 ability, if I were to provide to you claim numbers, to tell me with regard to those claim numbers what types of ballots 4 or class were sent out by Prime Clerk to those creditors? 5 6 It depends if you're going to talk about --7 MR. KAMINETZKY: Objection, Your Honor. 8 MS. PULLO: Sorry. 9 MR. KAMINETZKY: I'm sorry. I don't know exactly 10 where all this is going or if Mr. Underwood is planning to 11 go kind of, you know, kind of claim by claim on this. But I 12 think perhaps if he has specific questions, you know, with 13 respect to his clients' claims, maybe that's one thing, but 14 I just don't know where this is going or how it relates in 15 any way to the objection that Mr. Underwood has raised here. 16 THE COURT: Well, I think --17 MR. UNDERWOOD: Except --18 THE COURT: -- he was trying to set his 19 foundation, but I think given the role that Prime Clerk 20 plays here, you can go right to a specific type of ballot or 21 specific ballot if you want to, Mr. Underwood. 22 MR. UNDERWOOD: Thank you very much, Your Honor. BY MR. UNDERWOOD: 23 So, Ms. Pullo -- and by way of reference to Debtors' 24 25 counsel as well, my clients in this case filed seven

1 separate claims, so we're talking about a limited universe. 2 We're not going to be here all day. We're not going to be here I hope not another five minutes. The first question is 3 with regard to Claim number 145592, which was filed by the 4 5 City of Grand Prairie as representative Plaintiff class, can 6 you tell me what type of ballot was sent to that claim 7 holder? That specifically, I know that of the seven claims that 8 were filed by your clients, five of them were in Class 4 and 9 10 two of them were in Class 5. So they would've received the 11 Class 4 ballot or the Class 5 ballot as applicable. 12 Okay. And so by saying that, there are claims here. 13 There are -- the claims here, one is -- I have the Peter 14 ballots on Cree Nation on behalf of all Canadian first 15 Nations, and that is people. And the other is the La 16 C'Orange (ph) Indian Band. Will -- so I think what you're 17 suggesting there is that both of those claims would've been 18 for the purposes of voting, sent Class 5 ballots; is that 19 correct? 20 That is correct. 21 Okay. And the other five claims, one is the class 22 claim, again, of the City of Grand Prairie, and the other four regard the City of Branford, the City of Grand Prairie 23 24 individually, City of Leftbridge, and the City of 25 Wentaskowin (ph). So those are the other five claims where

Page 73 1 Prime Clerk and the Debtors made a determination to send 2 those Creditors Class 4 non-federal domestic governmental claim ballots; is that correct? 3 Those were sent Class 4 ballots. 4 5 Very good. I appreciate that. And let me just --6 MR. KAMINETZKY: Your Honor, just --7 MR. UNDERWOOD: Yes. MR. KAMINETZKY: -- just briefly for the record, I 8 9 understand it's probably understood that with Mr. Underwood speaking of a class claim, it's his -- it's the purported 10 11 class claim that's been filed and that it's not being 12 suggested that there was a class claim accepted here in this 13 bankruptcy case, but I just want that to be clear for the 14 record. 15 THE COURT: Okay. All right. You can go ahead, 16 Mr. Underwood. 17 MR. UNDERWOOD: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. UNDERWOOD: 18 19 So now winding back to the last question, so those are 20 the ballots that were sent out. Is it correct that you 21 received completed ballots back from each of those seven 22 creditors, or in the case of a master ballot you received a response that was intended to account for all or each of 23 those claims? 24 25 Yes. We received a master ballot that reflected all

19-23649-shl Doc 3564 Filed 08/16/21 Entered 08/16/21 10:08:21 Main Document Pg 74 of 309 Page 74 1 seven of those claims. 2 Okay. And to the best of your recollection or the 3 tabulation that you may have before you, how did those ballots come back? 4 5 Well, in terms of method received or in terms of did 6 they (indiscernible)? 7 Not a good question. What were the responses that were -- how were -- did those ballots accept or reject the plan? 8 9 All of those ballots rejected the plan. 10 Okay. And in what class were they placed for the purposes of rejecting the plan? 11 The five claims that received Class 4 ballots were 12 counted as votes to reject in Class 4. The two claims that 13 14 received Class 5 ballots were counted as reject votes in 15 Class 5. 16 Okay. And so based upon that, when I -- when we make 17 reference to the chart on page 5 of your final declaration, two of those tribal claims then that are set forth in line 5 18 19 of the chart or Class 5 tribe claims 401 claims filed, or --

> THE COURT: Can I ask differently? Can I ask it differently? For Class 4, you have a number listing as accepting. Are the Class -- the ones that got Class 4

so that the tribal claims are not only within that class,

but they're within that ballot class for the purposes of

ballot --

20

21

22

23

24

	Page 75
1	ballots in Mr. Underwood's client group, are they in that
2	number, that accepting number?
3	MS. PULLO: They're in the rejecting number
4	THE COURT: Only the
5	MS. PULLO: because they rejected.
6	THE COURT: (indiscernible) excuse me, but they
7	were counted.
8	MS. PULLO: Yes.
9	THE COURT: And similarly
10	MS. PULLO: They were counted
11	THE COURT: and in the count of the tribe
12	claims, the two first nations are counted as two rejections?
13	MS. PULLO: That is correct.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	MR. UNDERWOOD: All right, Your Honor.
16	BY MR. UNDERWOOD:
17	Q Thank you, Ms. Pullo. I've no further questions.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	MR. KAMINETZKY: Nothing on redirect.
20	THE COURT: Well, let me just does anyone else
21	want to cross-examine Ms. Pullo?
22	MR. ROTHSTEIN: Yes, Your Honor. This is Paul
23	Rothstein for Dr. Masiowski.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
25	CROSS-EXAMINATION OF CHRISTINA PULLO

Pg 76 of 309

- 1 BY MR. ROTHSTEIN:
- 2 Good morning. Ms. Pullo, if you would direct your
- attention to Class 6, the hospital claims. 3
- 4 Okay. Α
- 5 I had requested a declaration and numbers of the
- 6 ballots as to the hospital claims that voted for the plan
- 7 and those that did not. And I had received a response that
- 8 that information was confidential. So what I'm asking now
- 9 is can you tell the Court how many of the hospital claims
- 10 were hospital entities that supported the plan. Because I
- 11 believe you said there were 1,000 -- around 1,050 yes votes,
- 12 about 88 percent. And then you indicated I believe that
- 13 there were 11 percent in your report that rejected the plan.
- 14 And of those that rejected the plan, are you able to tell
- 15 the Court how many were not hospital claims, but actually
- 16 holders of hospital claims?
- 17 The vote declaration has 895 accept votes and 119
- reject votes. I do not know the individual status of each 18
- of the underlying claims off the top of my head. 19
- 20 Now that the Court has indicated that those votes are
- 21 not confidential, would you be able to come back later in
- 22 these proceedings to let us know how many of the "no" votes
- were non-hospital claimants? 23
- 24 THE COURT: Could I just --
- 25 MR. KAMINETZKY: Your Honor, I think --

Page 76

Page 77 1 I don't understand the question, Mr. THE COURT: 2 Rothstein. There's a class definition. Is that what --3 MR. ROTHSTEIN: Correct. THE COURT: -- you're referring to, the class 4 5 definition, whether they fit in the class? Or are you 6 trying to make a -- are you making a different distinction, 7 i.e. --8 MR. ROTHSTEIN: I'm making --9 THE COURT: -- actual hospitals and perhaps buyers 10 of hospital claims? I'm not quite sure what you mean when 11 you say "hospital claims". 12 MR. ROTHSTEIN: Your Honor, our objection has been 13 in regards to the fact that holders of hospital claims who 14 are not hospitals, which is a change that we attribute to 15 our efforts, that holders of hospital claims are not being 16 treated the same way. I don't want to get into that 17 argument now, but because they do not have a safe harbor. 18 THE COURT: But --MR. ROTHSTEIN: So what I --19 20 THE COURT: -- I'm just trying to ask what your 21 question is addressing. There's a --22 MR. ROTHSTEIN: My question --23 THE COURT: There's a term in the plan that describes this class, Class 6 --24 25 MR. ROTHSTEIN: Correct.

Page 78 1 THE COURT: -- are you asking --2 MR. ROTHSTEIN: Class 6. THE COURT: -- whether you could show if there was 3 any entity that voted in Class 6 that wasn't a Class 6 4 5 creditor, or are you asking something else? 6 MR. ROTHSTEIN: I'm asking something else. 7 THE COURT: Okay. MR. ROTHSTEIN: I'm asking that they were Class 6 8 9 creditor, but they were not a hospital entity. They were a 10 holder of a hospital claim that's not a hospital entity, and 11 I'm trying to find out how many of the rejections were those 12 types of claims. And if she's just answered that she 13 doesn't have that information, I would ask the Court to 14 allow me to ask those questions later in these proceedings 15 and for her to establish what that information is now that 16 the votes have been declared not confidential. 17 THE COURT: I haven't declared anything not 18 confidential. 19 MR. ROTHSTEIN: Oh. I thought the Court had 20 indicated -- I'm sorry. I thought the Court had indicated 21 22 THE COURT: No. I said as far as the states were concerned we would check with the one that hasn't objected 23 on the assumption that the other nine have made it clear 24 25 that they don't mind their vote being public. But I haven't

Page 79 1 made a general ruling on any other disclosure as far as 2 voting is concerned. MR. ROTHSTEIN: Okay. Fair enough. Well, would 3 the Court allow that information to be obtained? 4 THE COURT: I -- it has to be in some context. I 5 6 don't really understand the context you're talking about. 7 But let me ask you, Ms. Pullo, can one -- is Prime 8 Clerk able to determine whether someone that got a Class 6 9 ballot is a hospital as opposed to some other type of 10 business? 11 MS. PULLO: We have the records that are associated with all of the Class 6 records. We don't make 12 13 determinations generally, so we have the underlying 14 information, but would have somebody else likely review it 15 for that specific purpose --16 THE COURT: So --17 MS. PULLO: -- so that we're not making --18 THE COURT: -- when you say ---- that judgment call. 19 MS. PULLO: 20 THE COURT: When you say you have the records, you mean you have the address, and you have the proof of claim? 21 22 Is that really the --23 MS. PULLO: We have the underlying proof of claim information that reflects any claims classified in this 24 25 particular class.

Page 80 1 MR. KAMINETZKY: And Your Honor, if I may --2 THE COURT: Okay. MR. KAMINETZKY: -- we've had Mr. Rothstein's 3 request before and we responded to it. We did not know 4 5 there was any live request still outstanding, and you know, 6 discovery has been closed. And quite frankly, we didn't 7 even know that Mr. Rothstein had intended to ask questions 8 today even though we'd asked for that. 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. ROTHSTEIN: That is correct, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: All right. Well, I -- again, I -- I'm not -- I can't decide this issue in a vacuum. I need to 12 13 understand the relevance it has to the objection. So I'm 14 not going to rule on it at this point. 15 MR. ROTHSTEIN: I have nothing further, Your 16 Honor. 17 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Does anyone else 18 want to question Ms. Pullo? 19 MR. UNDERWOOD: Your Honor, if I may, this is 20 Allen Underwood, and I apologize. There's one question, and 21 I think it's material probably for everyone in the room that 22 I did want to ask Ms. Pullo about her Exhibit A. I just want to be sure that I understand the numerical values that 23 are set forth there with regard to Class 11. And the reason 24 25 that I ask is because every other class, Your Honor, had a

Page 81 1 dollar voting premise behind it. 2 THE COURT: Right. 3 MR. UNDERWOOD: And what I was hoping to ask Ms. Pullo was with regard to Class 11C, in the third column to 4 5 the right "amount accepting". 6 RECROSS-EXAMINATION OF CHRISTINA PULLO 7 BY MR. UNDERWOOD: 8 There is a dollar value number. I am reading that 9 dollar value number to be \$31,775,120.20. Is that in fact 10 correct, meaning there is no -- there's no decimal 11 correction there? 12 That's correct. 13 Okay. And does that vote include any dollar votes? 14 The general unsecured claims in Class 11C have 15 liquidated portions of their claims, which were entitled to 16 vote for liquidated portions. 17 Q So --18 The asserted liquidated portions on the proven claim. Were there any unliquidated claims that were included 19 20 within Class 11C? 21 I would have to check. I don't recall off the top of 22 my head. Okay. And very quickly, with reference to the next 23 24 line to the right, the dollar value for the amount rejecting 25 Class 11C was \$1,171,269.04; is that correct?

Page 82 1 Yes. 2 Okay. And no further questions. 3 MR. UNDERWOOD: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. 4 5 MR. UNDERWOOD: Thank you, Ms. Pullo. 6 THE COURT: Okay, Mr. McClammy, you have any 7 redirect? 8 MR. MCCLAMMY: No redirect, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Okay. All right. And I don't have 10 any questions of Ms. Pullo either, so I would normally say 11 you could step down, but instead you can sign off. 12 MS. PULLO: Thank you. 13 MR. KAMINETZKY: And with that, Your Honor, I take it from Your Honor's earlier indications that her 14 15 declaration is accepted into evidence along with the 16 referenced exhibits. 17 THE COURT: That's right. That's correct. 18 MR. KAMINETZKY: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. MR. TROOP: Your Honor, Andrew Troop from the non-19 20 consenting states. Just to try to keep things moving along 21 and not having to come back, as an officer of the court I 22 reached out to the no votes from Ms. Leets (ph) --23 THE COURT: Right. MR. TROOP: -- to be able to confirm their 24 25 identity on the record.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. TROOP: And assuming that any of the states on the call who's a no vote doesn't object, in which case, you know, we really (indiscernible). We'll go with the assumption that the nine object is California, Connecticut, Delaware, the District of Columbia, Maryland, Oregon, Rhode Island, Vermont, and Washington would've cast "no" votes together with their objections. That leaves one state, which is the State of New Hampshire, which is, I guess, a "no" vote, and I was authorized specifically by their attorney -- their assistant attorney general. We can make that representation for on the record --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. TROOP: -- now.

THE COURT: Great. Thank you.

MR. TROOP: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. HUEBNER: Your Honor, if I may, just one other related housekeeping matter, because apparently people keep being confused about it. Mr. Troop's client group is still called the Committee of Non-Consenting States, but for the record 16 of the 24 members, I think, of that group, or 16 of 25 are now supporting the plan.

So just because the media all thinks Mr. Troop is still representing 25 of them as plan objectors, 62 and a half percent are now supporters. And the ones that he just

Page 84 1 read into the record plus West Virginia are the only ones 2 left that are objecting. (indiscernible) weeks 3 (indiscernible) group, and there's no formal group name for 4 the nuance, but just it is important that people understand 5 that because obviously it's all very recently his 25 clients 6 were united in opposing. 7 THE COURT: Right. We could go, like, for instance, say "the group formerly known as" or you could 8 9 come up with another name, but we talked about this on 10 Monday too, but --11 MR. HUEBNER: Yes, Your Honor. 12 MR. KAMINETZKY: I think on Monday you called this 13 a zebra, Your Honor. We -- and to be clear, Your Honor, I 14 believe as it relates to my group, it's 15 and 10. It's not 15 14 and --16 THE COURT: Whatever. Whatever. 17 MR. KAMINETZKY: -- (indiscernible). Whatever the 18 numbers are, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: The record reflects it. 20 MR. KAMINETZKY: Right. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: That's fine. 21 22 MR. HIGGINS: And Your Honor, this is Ben Higgins 23 for the U.S. Trustee. Just on the representation that Mr. 24 Troop made, I think he may have said that those states would 25 have voted no, and I think it's --

Page 85 1 THE COURT: No, I think he --2 MR. HIGGINS: -- we just want the record to be clear that --3 THE COURT: -- said they voted --4 5 MR. HIGGINS: -- those are the states that 6 actually voted no. 7 THE COURT: Well, I -- look, I'm assuming -- you 8 know, he confirmed with the State of New Hampshire, which 9 was the one state that didn't file an objection. We are all 10 assuming the other nine voted no. Frankly, if they didn't, 11 I'm not quite sure why they're objecting, but that's -- you 12 know, we'll get to that --13 MR. KAMINETZKY: And --THE COURT: -- I guess when we get to the 14 15 objections. 16 MR. KAMINETZKY: And --17 THE COURT: But Mr. Troop's point was that he wanted to -- he had the consent from the state that didn't 18 19 file anything that it voted no. 20 MR. HIGGINS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Right. 22 MR. HUEBNER: Thank you, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So are we ready for the Debtor's next witness? 24 25 MR. KAMINETZKY: Yep. Your Honor, I believe Ms.

	Page 96
	Page 86
1	Jeanne Finegan from Prime Clerk should have joined.
2	THE COURT: Okay. I don't see her on the screen
3	yet.
4	MR. KAMINETZKY: I've just been told she's having
5	trouble
6	THE COURT: Ms. Finegan. Jeanne Finegan.
7	MR. KAMINETZKY: she's having trouble joining,
8	but she's attempting to log in now.
9	THE COURT: Our operator here is says that
10	she's not on yet.
11	WOMAN: Your Honor, may I address the Court?
12	THE COURT: No, not now.
13	WOMAN: Thank you.
14	MR. KAMINETZKY: Yes, Your Honor. I've just been
15	told that Ms. Finegan is in the process of joining. She's
16	having trouble getting in, but she's joining.
17	THE COURT: Is it is the link case-sensitive?
18	CLERK: (indiscernible)
19	THE COURT: It is?
20	CLERK: It's all lower-case.
21	THE COURT: It's all lower-case. Okay.
22	CLERK: (indiscernible)
23	THE COURT: Okay. The Clerk's Office is reaching
24	out to Ms. Finegan to walk her through in case she's having
25	an issue.

Page 87 1 MR. KAMINETZKY: Thank you, Your Honor. 2 CLERK: (Indiscernible) 3 THE COURT: Okay. She's joining us now I'm told. 4 Okay. I can see you now, Ms. Finegan. Good 5 morning. Would you raise your right hand, please? Do you 6 swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and 7 nothing but the truth, so help you God? 8 MS. FINEGAN: (no audible response) 9 THE COURT: Oh, you need to unmute yourself. 10 MS. FINEGAN: Yes, I do. 11 THE COURT: Okay. And it's J-E-A-N-N-E, and then 12 F-I-N-E-G-A-N? 13 MS. FINEGAN: Yes, that's correct. 14 THE COURT: Okay. And Ms. Finegan, you submitted 15 a third supplemental declaration dated August 5, 2021 in 16 this matter knowing that it would be used under my orders 17 establishing procedures for this hearing as your direct 18 testimony. Knowing that today, August 12th, is there 19 anything in it that you would wish to change? 20 MS. FINEGAN: Oh, yes, Your Honor. There is one minor modification. On Paragraph 16, line 3, there is a 21 22 reference to a total (indiscernible) pick up. That statistic is 3,400 and it should be 3,700. 23 24 THE COURT: And that's in the next to last line of 25 that paragraph?

1 MS. FINEGAN: It's in the third line of that 2 paragraph on page 6, paragraph 16. 3 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Does anyone have any objection to the admission of Ms. Finegan's declaration 4 5 as her direct testimony? Okay. Does anyone want to cross-6 examine Ms. Finegan? 7 MR. HIGGINS: Yes, Your Honor. This is Ben 8 Higgins --9 MR. KAMINETZKY: And Your Honor, for the --10 MR. HIGGINS: -- for the United States Trustee. 11 MR. KAMINETZKY: Also, Your Honor, just for the 12 record, I want to make clear in addition to offering Ms. Finegan's declaration for evidence here in connection with 13 14 his proceeding or the confirmation hearing, we've also noted 15 an asset. Ms. Finegan's declarations that were submitted in 16 connection with the Fargate Motion and her supplemental 17 declaration, which have already been admitted into evidence, also be considered in connection with these hearings. 18 19 And the declaration of Ms. Finegan dated January 20 3rd of 2020 can be found at docket number 719, and the 21 supplemental declaration of Ms. Finegan dated May 20th of 22 2020 can be found at docket number 1179. 23 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, let's start 24 with the third supplemental one. Does anyone object to its 25 admission? All right. It's admitted.

Page 89 1 (Third Amended Declaration of Jeanne Finegan 2 Admitted Into Evidence) THE COURT: And as far as to the admission of the 3 4 earlier declarations that are being offered, is there any 5 objection? Was this in the joint exhibit book, Mr. 6 McClammy? 7 MR. MCCLAMMY: It is. And for the sake of clarity 8 for the record, I should note that the -- there's also the 9 first supplemental and the second supplemental declarations. 10 I can give you those numbers as well. 11 THE COURT: Well, I just -- I mean, this is why we 12 have the joint exhibit book --13 MR. MCCLAMMY: Exactly. THE COURT: -- of exhibits whose admission is 14 15 agreed. 16 MR. MCCLAMMY: I can --17 THE COURT: So I don't need to ask for the 18 admission of those exhibits on the record today because it's already been agreed in connection with the hearing. So I'm 19 20 not going to follow up on that, but obviously Ms. Finegan's 21 declaration refers to some of those -- some of the 22 information in those declarations. And they're also already 23 admitted in the record by the -- as a result of the procedures order and the submission of the joint exhibit 24 25 book of exhibits whose admissibility is not objected.

Page 90 1 So does anyone want to cross-examine Ms. Finegan 2 on her declaration? 3 MR. HIGGINS: Yes, Your Honor. Ben Higgins for 4 the United States Trustee. May I proceed? 5 THE COURT: Sure. Go ahead. 6 MR. HIGGINS: Thank you. 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF JEANNE FINEGAN 8 BY MR. HIGGINS: 9 Good morning, Ms. Finegan. My name is Benjamin Higgins 10 and I represent the United States Trustee. Can you hear me 11 okay? 12 Yes, I can. Good morning. 13 Thank you. And do you have a copy of the third O supplemental declaration that we were just referencing? 14 15 I have it in front of me. Yes, I do. 16 In your declaration, among other things, you discussed 17 the extent of notice with respect to the claims bar date; is that correct? 18 19 Yes. 20 0 And the bar date notice was a notice of the deadline to file claims for all persons and entities with claims against 21 22 the debtors; is that right? 23 Α Yes. 24 And the bar date notice doesn't say anything about 25 claims against third parties; is that correct?

	Pg 91 of 309
	Page 91
1	A No, I don't believe so.
2	Q You don't believe so?
3	A No.
4	Q Does the bar date notice say anything about claims
5	against third parties?
6	A In my declaration or the notice itself?
7	Q In the notice, in the bar date notice that was sent out
8	that you testified about.
9	A That would be an exhibit to my declaration, correct?
10	THE COURT: Yes, I believe it is. Well, look, Ms.
11	Finegan. Only I mean, if you're just going to read it,
12	you don't need to read it. Just answer based on your own
13	knowledge and the notice will
14	MS. FINEGAN: Okay.
15	THE COURT: The notice will speak for itself.
16	BY MR. HIGGINS:
17	A Correct. No. To my knowledge, no.
18	Q I just want to clarify. To your knowledge, it doesn't
19	the bar date now, it's not the confirmation
20	THE COURT: She's answered that.
21	BY MR. HIGGINS:
22	Q it's the bar date notice.
23	THE COURT: She says she doesn't know.
24	MR. HIGGINS: Okay.
25	THE COURT: She believes it doesn't.

Pg 92 of 309 Page 92 1 MR. HIGGINS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. 2 BY MR. HIGGINS: 3 Ms. Finegan, as far as you know, there was a bar date to file claims against the debtors, but there was no bar 4 5 date to file proofs of claim against third parties, correct? 6 I believe that that's correct. 7 Thank you. You also discussed in your declaration the notice that was given of the confirmation hearing, correct? 8 9 Correct. And are you aware that the Court entered an order on 10 June 3, 2021 approving the Debtor's disclosure statement as 11 12 well as the Debtor's voting and solicitation procedures? 13 Correct. Yes. Α 14 And part of that order was the approval of a 15 solicitation package that included, among other things, the 16 plan of disclosure statement that was sent out to parties 17 that were entitled to vote on the plan; is that right? 18 Correct, yes. And if someone was not entitled to vote, they were not 19 20 sent the full solicitation package, correct? 21 That pertains to the mailing. I would have to double-22 check on that, but I believe that that's correct. 23 Thank you. But there was a separate confirmation

hearing notice that was sent to a broader group of parties;

is that right?

24

Pg 93 of 309 Page 93 1 That's correct. 2 And that confirmation hearing notice, while it does 3 include some of the plans for lease language, it does not include the full list of shareholder released parties; is 4 5 that right? 6 I believe so, yes. That information can be obtained on the website. And if individuals want that information, 7 8 there are other alternative ways for them to obtain that 9 information. 10 Sure, but my question of that information is not 11 explicitly included in the mailing notice, the confirmation 12 hearing notice, correct? I believe that that's correct. 13 14 Thank you. You're aware that in the Court's order 15 approving the disclosure statement there was also a 16 publication notice that was approved; is that right? 17 Α Yes. 18 And the publication notice does -- also does not list all of the shareholder release parties; is that right? 19 20 That's correct. 21 And the publication notice also does not include the 22 full release language as it's contained in the plan; is that 23 correct? 24 I believe that that's correct, yes. 25 And are you aware that the Court's order of June 3,

Page 94 1 2021 also approved the plan language version of the 2 confirmation hearing notice that was published in certain 3 newspapers and magazines? 4 Yes. Α 5 And that plain language version also did not include the full list of shareholder release parties; is that 7 correct? 8 That is correct. 9 And the plain language version also did not include the 10 full release language as it's contained in the plan; is that 11 correct? 12 That is correct. 13 0 Thank you, Ms. Finegan. 14 MR. HIGGINS: No further questions, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Does anyone else want to cross-16 examine Ms. Finegan? 17 MR. UNDERWOOD: Your Honor, this is Allen Underwood on behalf of the Canadian Municipal Creditors and 18 19 the Canadian First Nation Creditors. I would wish to cross-20 examine Ms. Finegan. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. 22 MR. UNDERWOOD: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION OF JEANNE FINEGAN 23 24 BY MR. UNDERWOOD: 25 Ms. Finegan, am I correct that the Debtor advertised on

television and radio and the internet in both the United States and Canada with regard to notices and bar dates in the Perdue Pharma, LP Chapter 11 matter? Only in the United States radio and television was used. It was not used in Canada. But there is a budget here for advertising in Canada; is there not? Yes, that's correct. Okay. So for instance, page 3 of your declaration (indiscernible) additionally the Debtors will provide notice in Canada estimated to reach (indiscernible) percent of all adults over the age of 18 an average of three times. How did you -- how exactly did you reach 80 percent, if you did, 80 percent of the Canadian adults over the age of 18 on behalf of this Debtor? First of all, we used nationally syndicated data that is in-country (indiscernible). For Canada, we used a tool called Viva Data, which measures audience use and preference for all media. That's a third-party audited source. We also did a careful study of media consumption in Canada and found that there is an extremely high reliance on online media and social media and newspapers. So in accordance with our budget, which was optimized to use the most effective media, we selected online, newspaper,

magazine, and social media.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Pg 96 of 309 Page 96 1 Okay. Now this report that would show that there's a 2 high reliance amongst Canadians on the internet to --3 internet media, was that a report that was prepared in connection with this case and your efforts hereunder, or is 4 5 that a generalized report within your industry or within 6 your -- with Prime Clerk or your employer? 7 Advertising Industry Syndicated Research Report, we subscribe to it, and it was conducted for this matter. 8 9 Did you have any sense, or does that report or your own 10 experience address the extent to which U.S. TV broadcasting, 11 U.S. newspapers and magazines reach Canadian citizens, adult 12 citizens? 13 Could you restate your question, please? 14 O Sure. THE COURT: I think -- if I could -- I think what 15 16 the question was is, did you look -- in estimating the 86 17 percent coverage for Canadian adults, included in that was 18 there any estimate of their having access to U.S. broadcast 19 media? 20

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. No, we used specific in-country data to measure each country. We did not use any measurement of broadcast spill into Canada. that's an extremely conservative measure. It was incountry, and it is specific to Canada.

25 BY MR. UNDERWOOD:

21

22

23

- Q Can you define "broadcast spill"?
- 2 A Where a signal goes beyond its designated market area.
- 3 Q And in the case of the border between the United States
- 4 and Canada, did you have any sense, percentage or generally,
- 5 what the broadcast spill is in terms of the population of
- 6 Canada that actually receives U.S. television broadcasts?
- 7 A That is not something that we studied. As I've
- 8 mentioned, we endeavored to provide a conservative
- 9 calculation. There is no doubt that there is some spill,
- 10 but that was not integrated in our conservative
- 11 measurements.

- 12 Q Was the fact that there was broadcast spill, in
- 13 particular with regard to television, was that a factor that
- 14 affected your budget related to the amount spent on
- 15 television broadcasting within Canada?
- 16 A No.
- 17 Q Okay. What was the overall budget for advertising
- 18 these matters in Canada to the best of your recollection?
- 19 A To the best of my recollection in Canada, we were given
- a budget to work with of approximately \$750,000 give or
- 21 take.
- 22 Q And was that your supplemental budget? I know that
- 23 there is certainly a supplemental budget. Does that include
- 24 funds that were allocated originally as well? Because I
- believe \$750,000 is what's referenced with regard to the

- 1 supplemental budget.
- 2 A I would have to look that up. I believe that it was --
- 3 I believe that that's the correct amount, but I can double-
- 4 check that.
- 5 Q Okay. And where would you find the answer to that?
- 6 A I would have to look through my declaration.
- 7 Q Okay. And who advised you of the necessity to
- 8 advertise both in the -- in Canada?
- 9 A We consulted with Debtor's counsel and the Debtors to
- 10 provide coverage in areas where they had business interests.
- 11 Q And did the Debtors or Debtor's counsel advise you to
- 12 advertise in any other nation besides the U.S. and Canada?
- 13 A For this latest round of notice, yes. They provided us
- 14 with locations of business interests, and that's where we
- developed the program that included 39 countries.
- 16 Q And how much was spent to advertise to those 39 other
- 17 | countries?
- 18 A A little over \$2 million.
- 19 Q And can you give me a sense of what some of those 39
- 20 other countries? What other countries were there?
- 21 A They were England, Germany, Italy, Holland, the
- 22 Ukraine, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, China, South Korea. The
- 23 list goes on.
- 24 Q That's fine.
- MR. KAMINETZKY: Your Honor, I believe these are

Page 99 1 all listed in Ms. Finegan's declaration. And also, you 2 know, reluctant to interrupt, but I'm just cognizant of, you 3 know, the precedent for, you know, this cross and others kind of going forward is that I'm just not understanding how 4 5 this relates at all to any of the issues that are actually 6 raised in Mr. Underwood's objection, which are very legal in 7 nature. You know, we've responded to those. I think 8 they'll be subject to argument. I'm not sure where this is 9 all going. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I don't know how much 11 more time you have, Mr. Underwood, but I was having the same 12 thought. So maybe we just move on. 13 MR. UNDERWOOD: Understood. If I may have maybe a 14 minute or two more, Your Honor? 15 THE COURT: Well, we'll see. 16 MR. UNDERWOOD: All right. 17 BY MR. UNDERWOOD: 18 Ms. Pullo (sic), on page 36 of your declaration, you list organizations in Canada that were the focus of your 19 20 advertising there. How did you derive this list of 21 organizations? Page 36, Paragraph 84. 22 For the record, Mr. Underwood, my last name is Finegan. 23 You addressed me as Pullo. 24 I apologize, Ms. Finegan. 25 Could you restate your question, please?

- Page 100 1 I sure could. Page 36, Paragraph 84, there's a list of 2 organizations that were a part of your advertising process in Canada. How did you establish this list of 3 organizations? 4 5 We subscribed to various services that provide direct 6 mail names of (indiscernible) records for certain business 7 categories, and that was employed in Canada, and a similar approach was employed in the United States. 8 9 Okay. My last question, Ms. Finegan, and I apologize 10 for getting your name wrong, in terms of the overall amounts 11 of funds spent for advertising as among one category being 12 the U.S., second category being Canada, third category being 13 the rest of the world, can you just set forth those three 14 numbers? This is my last question. 15 The rest of the world included a budget of 16 approximately \$2 million. The Canadian budget was a little 17 over \$750,000, and in the United States it was 23 million. 18 Thank you, Ms. Finegan. I appreciate your time. 19 MR. UNDERWOOD: Thank you, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Does anyone else want to cross-21 examine Ms. Finegan? 22 MR. OZMENT: This is Frank Ozment. I'm sorry. I 23 was on mute. May I ask two brief questions? THE COURT: 24 Sure.
  - CROSS-EXAMINATION OF JEANNE FINEGAN

- 1 BY MR. OZMENT:
- 2 Q Ms. Finegan, my name is Frank Ozment. I represent
- 3 Charles Fitch, and Creighton Boyd, and Stacy Bridges. Mr.
- 4 Fitch is a prisoner. Did your efforts include any
- 5 initiatives to reach out directly to people who were
- 6 incarcerated in prison?
- 7 A I believe that some of the direct mail fliers went to
- 8 entities that would include those individuals that are
- 9 responsible for management in those facilities. However, in
- 10 the United States, it is my understanding that certain
- 11 individuals that are incarcerated to have access to
- 12 television, and they would've been able to see some of those
- 13 commercials.
- 14 Q Thank you. But I guess my question is -- well, let me
- 15 | just touch on that one point very quickly about the direct
- 16 mail. Are you familiar with the restrictions that prisoners
- 17 have on receiving bulk matter in -- while they're
- 18 | incarcerated? And when I say prisoners, I'm really talking
- 19 about people who are locked up in prison, not people who are
- 20 there, you know, in jails for short periods. Is that
- 21 something you know anything about?
- 22 A That is not my area of expertise, no.
- 23 Q With respect to the television programming, do you have
- 24 any particular measures that were made available or content
- 25 that was made available in closed circuit or restricted

Page 102 1 networks that are available in prison? 2 You're going in and out and it's difficult for me to 3 hear your question. 4 THE COURT: The question was, was there any TV 5 content sent to restricted networks that are used by 6 prisons? 7 THE WITNESS: No. 8 MR. OZMENT: That's all, Your Honor. Thank you. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Any redirect, Mr. McClammy? 10 You're on mute. 11 MR. MCCLAMMY: Your Honor, thank you. Just a 12 couple of brief questions, Your Honor. REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF JEANNE FINEGAN 13 14 BY MR. MCCLAMMY: 15 Ms. Finegan, I believe when you were being asked 16 questions by counsel for the United States Trustee, you were 17 asked about whether or not specific lists of shareholder 18 relief parties were included in the notices that were provided. Do you recall that? 19 20 Yes, I do. 21 And even if those lists were not provided, were the 22 references made to shareholder or owner releases included in 23 those notices? 24 Yes, there was. 25 And were there references made specifically also to the

Page 103 1 Sackler family? 2 Correct. Yes. And did those notices also refer people to a website? 3 4 Α Yes. 5 And did that website also contain information about the 6 Sackler family notices? 7 Α Yes (indiscernible). 8 (indiscernible) 9 Α Yes. 10 MR. MCCLAMMY: Thank you very much. Nothing 11 further, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Okay. I just -- I had one question, 13 Ms. Finegan. Throughout your declaration, you refer to 14 various numbers of impressions or media impressions. 15 THE WITNESS: Yes. 16 THE COURT: You know, 2.6 billion media 17 impressions, 5.6 billion. What is an impression, just so 18 I'm clear on what that means? 19 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, an impression is an 20 occurrence of an ad. So for example, if I log on to 21 CNN.com, I will be able to see various banner ads that are 22 displayed in front of me. Each one of those is an ad 23 occurrence, and that's an impression or an opportunity to 24 see a message. 25 THE COURT: Okay. So it's not -- so many eyes can

	Page 104
1	see one impression. It's not one person seeing the
2	impression, is it? Am I right about that?
3	THE WITNESS: The impression will be delivered to
4	you on your device, whether that is a laptop, a mobile
5	device, or desktop, and that is specific to you.
6	THE COURT: Well, so I guess that's my question
7	is, when you refer to an impression, is it the ad or is it
8	the person who sees the ad?
9	THE WITNESS: It's the ad.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	THE WITNESS: My apologies.
12	THE COURT: All right. So
13	THE WITNESS: So
14	THE COURT: So when
15	THE WITNESS: That's
16	THE COURT: when there's an impression, I might
17	see it, but a lot of other people might see it, depending on
18	who's watching at that time?
19	THE WITNESS: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	THE WITNESS: Yes.
22	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. All right.
23	Anything on that? All right. You can sign off, Ms.
24	Finegan. Thank you.
25	THE WITNESS: Thank you.

Page 105 THE COURT: Okay. Why don't we move then to the 1 2 next witness? Okay. 3 MAN 1: Thank you, Your Honor. Our next witness is Mr. Jon Lowne, Executive Vice President and Chief 4 5 Financial Officer, Purdue Pharma LP. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Is he joining? 7 MAN: (indiscernible) What's the last name? THE COURT: L-O-W-N-E. 8 9 MAN 1: I believe he should be on. 10 THE COURT: Okay. I see Mr. Lowne now. Can you 11 make sure you're not on mute, sir? 12 MR. LOWNE: (indiscernible) 13 THE COURT: All right. Would you raise your right hand, please, Mr. Lowne? 14 15 MR. LOWNE: (indiscernible) 16 THE COURT: Would you raise your right hand, 17 please? Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole 18 truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 19 MR. LOWNE: I do. 20 THE COURT: Okay. And it's J-O-N, new word, L-O-21 W-N-E? 22 THE WITNESS: That is correct. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Very well. You can put your 24 hand down. That's fine. We're getting some delay on this 25 one. Okay. Hopefully, the Internet connection is good

here, Mr. Lowne. Mr. Lowne, you have a declaration. It's dated August 5, 2021, submitted in connection with this matter under my procedures order for this hearing. It's intended to be your direct testimony. Sitting here today and knowing that, is there anything in it that you would wish to change?

THE WITNESS: No. There's nothing in it I wish to change.

THE COURT: Okay. Very well. Does anyone object to the admission of Mr. Lowne's declaration, the August 5th declaration, as his direct testimony? Okay. It's admitted. Does anyone want to cross-examine Mr. Lowne?

MR. HIGGINS: Yes, Your Honor. This is Ben
Higgins, for the U.S. Trustee. There's some limited cross
for Mr. Lowne.

THE COURT: Sure.

MAN 2: Sorry, Your Honor. Just frankly in advance of cross-examination starting, we have an agreement with the distributors, manufacturers and pharmacies have indicated that they may wish to cross-examine Mr. Lowne. There are discussions ongoing that may obviate the need for that. So my understanding is that they will not be asking questions today. But if these discussions do not reach the conclusion that we're all hoping they do, Mr. Lowne will be made available to come back next week, if needed.

Page 107 1 THE COURT: Okay. 2 MR. HUEBNER: Your Honor, let me jump in for a 3 second. I actually owe the Court and everybody an apology. THE COURT: And this is Mr. --4 5 MR. HUEBNER: I actually forgot --6 THE COURT: -- Mr. Huebner speaking. 7 MR. HUEBNER: It is, Your Honor. For the record -8 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. HUEBNER: -- Marshall Huebner. I forgot to do 11 one of the four things I was supposed to do at the outset, which is advise the Court where we were with certain of the 12 13 counterparties, which will take 20 seconds, and that would 14 have laid the predicate for Mr. McClammy's statement. 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 MR. HUEBNER: The Tribe's objection has been 17 withdrawn, pursuant to a formal withdrawal of their 18 objection that was filed on the docket at Number 3522. The 19 objection of John Stewart, Docket Number 3273, is also 20 resolved. A stipulation was filed last night, executed by 21 the UCC, the AHC, the MSGE, the NCSG, the Debtors, Mr. 22 Stewart and Mr. (indiscernible). And then finally, the socalled DMPs, or co-defendants, distributors, manufacturers 23 24 and pharmacies, who have a joint objection at 3306, and 25 that's what Mr. McClammy was just referring to.

The Debtors, the DMP Objectors and the Ad Hoc Committee are in the midst of serious and productive settlement discussions, which is one of the reasons that they are deferring a potential cross to Mr. Lowne, if things don't work, until the very end of the evidence. We hope that things will work out, and the parties are at work in good faith on those issues. So forgive me. I am genuinely sorry that I forgot to do that at the outset, but that explains why they will not be crossing Mr. Lowne today, and hopefully not ever. THE COURT: Okay. And I think I see counsel for that group. That's your understanding too, ma'am? Or, you're on mute. MS. STEEGE: Apologize, Your Honor. Catherine Steege, on behalf of McKesson and speaking for the DMP Group. That is our understanding. THE COURT: Okay. MS. STEEGE: Thank you. THE COURT: Very well. So, Mr. Lowne, you may not be of the hook after today, but that remains to be seen, as far as coming back to testify. All right. So, Mr. Higgins, why don't you go ahead with your cross. MR. HIGGINS: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION OF JON LOWNE BY MR. HIGGINS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 109 Good morning, Mr. Lowne. My name is Benjamin Higgins 1 2 and I represent the United States Trustee. Can you hear me 3 okay? 4 I can. Good morning. 5 Thank you. You signed both the Debtors' plan and disclosure statement, correct? 6 7 Α That is correct. And do you have copies of those documents with you? 8 9 I do. 10 Thank you. You're familiar with the third-party releases that are being given under the plan? 11 12 Yes. Yes, I am. 13 Thank you. And are you familiar with the plan's 14 definition of shareholder release parties and Appendix H to 15 the disclosure statement that lists certain of the 16 shareholder release parties? 17 I'm aware of the -- that exhibit, but I'm not aware of Α all of the intricate details of it. 18 Sure, but it's an exhibit to the Debtor's disclosure 19 20 statement, which you signed, correct? 21 Α Correct. Yes. And are you aware that Appendix H contains hundreds of 22 23 shareholder release parties, including Sackler family members and trusts that are expressly named? 24 25 I'm aware of that, yes.

- Q And are you aware that there's also unnamed entities and individuals to which assets were transferred by the various named released parties?
- A I'm aware of the list. I'm not familiar with all of
  the names of the entities or the unnamed parties, but I'm
  aware of the list, yes.
- or you don't -- would you be able to personally identify all
  of the various entities and assets that are -- excuse me -entities and individuals that fall in that category of
  parties that receive transfers from the other release

Sure. So you personally, you're not familiar with --

- A No, I'm not. I'm CFO of the Debtors and not

  necessarily familiar with a lot of the entities that may

  relate to the Sackler family or shareholders.
  - Q Sure. And would it be possible for an average opioid victim based on publicly available information to identify all of these assets and individuals that are getting released?
- 20 A I would presume if I'm not, they wouldn't be able to,
  21 no.
- 22 Q Thank you. And there's other categories similar to
  23 that, such as entities and -- excuse me -- assets,
  24 businesses, and entities owned by the -- by the release
  25 parties contained in the -- in the list. Would it be --

7

12

16

17

18

19

parties?

Pg 111 of 309 Page 111 1 would your take be similar to that, that you personally 2 would not be able to identify all of those assets and businesses owned by the release parties? 3 That would be accurate. 4 Yes. 5 And again, on a similar vein, your average opioid 6 victim based on publicly available information would 7 probably be unlikely to be able to identify all those assets 8 and businesses and entities, correct? 9 That would be my belief, yes. 10 Thank you. Are you aware that the list on Appendix H 11 also includes unnamed children and grandchildren of the 12 various release parties? 13 It's been a while since I've looked at the list, but if 14 that's the -- says that on the list, I have no reason to 15 believe that's incorrect. 16 And would you be able to identify all of the children 17 and grandchildren or even know how many people fall into 18 that category? No, I wouldn't. No. 19 20 The definition of shareholder release parties also 21 includes all Sackler family members; all trusts for the 22 benefit of Sackler family members; and all past, present, 23 and future trustees, protectors, and beneficiaries of those 24 trusts; is that correct?

Yes.

I'm sure that's correct.

Page 112 1 Do you have any idea how many people and entities fall 2 within that category? 3 Α I don't. No. (Indiscernible). 4 5 Sorry about that. Thank you. 6 Sure. Would it be possible for an average opioid victim based on publicly available information to identify 7 8 all of those trusts and trustees, and all those various 9 parties within that category? 10 MR. KAMINETZKY: Objection. We object to him to 11 speculate about what may or may not be understood by others. 12 THE COURT: Well, I think -- look, if Mr. Lowne 13 isn't able to answer that question, I think we can assume 14 that other people are not able to answer it either. 15 MR. HIGGINS: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 BY MR. HIGGINS: 17 Isn't it true that the third-party releases bind even 18 parties who didn't -- who don't have direct claims against 19 the Debtors? 20 MR. KAMINETZKY: Objection to the extent he's 21 asking for a legal conclusion. 22 THE COURT: That's fair. I'm not quite sure where 23 that's going, Mr. Higgins. At one level --24 MR. HIGGINS: Sure. 25 THE COURT: At one level, you could ask it, but if

Page 113 1 you're really just dealing with the analysis -- let me ask 2 it differently, Mr. Lowne. Are you aware whether the --3 well, I'm not quite sure what you're asking, Mr. Higgins, so is it just the definition? 4 5 MR. HIGGINS: Sure. Your Honor I can -- I can 6 follow up on that. Maybe it would be helpful. 7 BY MR. HIGGINS: Mr. Lowne, could you turn to Page 33 of the disclosure 8 9 statement? 10 THE COURT: It's all right. I have it, the 11 disclosure statement. 12 THE WITNESS: So I've been given a large binder. 13 I'm just finding way to it. Thank you. BY MR. HIGGINS: 14 15 Sure. Take your time. 16 Could Davis-Polk just tell me what section is it in my 17 -- in the binder you provided to me? MR. KAMINETZKY: So it should be in the binder 18 19 that is in the sealed box. 20 THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. Sorry. I have the 21 (indiscernible) box that I'm just opening. 22 Because I was asked not --23 MR. KAMINETZKY: And then you'll find a tab, and it should be labeled --24 25 THE WITNESS: -- not to open this until we

Page 114 1 started. Okay. 2 THE COURT: Okay. So is there a section you want 3 to refer him to, Mr. Higgins? 4 MR. HIGGINS: Yes, Your Honor. It's Page 33 of 5 the disclosure statement. 6 THE WITNESS: Okay. Yes. 7 BY MR. HIGGINS: 8 Okay. Are you at Page 33? 9 I'm at -- I'm at Page 33. Thank you. 10 Great. Do you see this second full paragraph that 11 begins, "The releases include"? 12 Yes. Α 13 Would you mind reading that language out loud, please? 14 Sure. "The releases include releases that are deemed 15 to be granted by any person holding a claim based on or 16 relating to or in any manner arising from, in whole or in 17 part, the Debtors, their estates, or the Chipper 11 cases, 18 including a person that does not hold any (indiscernible) 19 claim in the interest of the Debtors." 20 Thank you. And you signed the disclosure statement, 21 correct, Mr. Lowne? 22 Yes, I did. 23 Thank you. So based on that language -- and I'm not 24 asking you to make a legal conclusion, but your 25 understanding, the releases apply to parties who didn't even Pg 115 of 309

Page 115

- file a proof of claim; is that correct?
- 2 A That would be my understanding based upon the words in
- 3 this paragraph, obviously not being a lawyer.
- 4 Q Sure. And isn't it true that in this case, there was
- 5 no bar date for parties to file proofs of claim they held
- 6 against non-Debtors, such as the Sackler family, correct?
- 7 It was only a bar date to file claims against the Debtors?
- 8 A That's my understanding.
- 9 Q And isn't it true that claims against non-Debtors such
- 10 as the Sacklers will be released regardless of whether the
- 11 claimholder received notice of the plan?
- 12 A That's my understanding.
- 13 Q And isn't it true that parties in a foreign
- 14 jurisdiction, say Mexico or France or Jersey -- who have
- 15 direct claims against the Sacklers that somehow relate to
- 16 the Debtors, those claims would be released under the plan
- 17 too?
- 18 A That would be my understanding.
- 19 Q Isn't it true that the -- that there are claims that
- 20 relate to potential future conduct that could be released
- 21 under the plan?
- 22 MR. KAMINETZKY: Objection, Your Honor. Again,
- 23 calls for a legal conclusion.
- 24 THE COURT: I'll sustain that.
- 25 BY MR. HIGGINS:

Page 116 1 Sure. Mr. Lowne, you're familiar with Section 10.6(b) 2 of the plan that has the release provisions in it? 3 I mean, I have read the entire plan document, yes. 4 Are you -- are you aware that it releases claims 5 related to any past, present, or future use or misuse of any 6 opioid? 7 Α If that's what it says in the document. It's 8 been a while since I've read it. I'm sure that's correct. 9 Yes. 10 Isn't that language broad enough that the shareholder release parties could be released from claims for future 11 12 actions that relate to the misuse of opioids? 13 Α Yeah --14 MR. KAMINETZKY: Again, Your Honor --15 MR. HIGGINS: Your --16 MR. KAMINETZKY: -- grounds, legal conclusions 17 here. The document, Your Honor, contains the provisions it 18 contains, and, you know, there will be arguments about what perhaps what they mean. But I'm not sure that Lowne is the 19 20 witness for that. THE COURT: Okay. That, I -- I agree with that 21 particularly given his declaration and the four topics he 22 23 covers in the declaration. 24 MR. HIGGINS: Sure, Your Honor. He, I mean, for 25 the record, he is the witness that signed the plan and the

Page 117 1 disclosure statement, so --2 THE COURT: But it's not -- this is -- but the testimony he has offered on direct doesn't discuss the 3 4 Sackler settlement at all or their releases. 5 So, you may have these questions of other people, 6 but I don't think it's really -- to the extent that they 7 would be questions that would not call for a legal 8 conclusion, and there are ways that you could ask them where 9 that would be true, it's really not appropriate for this 10 witness given the testimony that he's offered in direct to 11 be asking him about it in cross. 12 MR. HIGGINS: Thank, Your Honor. No further 13 questions. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 MR. HIGGINS: Thank you, Mr. Lowne. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Does anyone else want to cross-17 examine Mr. Lowne? 18 MR. EDMUNDS: Yes, Your Honor, Brian Edmunds for 19 the State of Maryland. 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 BY MR. EDMUNDS: 22 Mr. Lowne, good, well, almost morning. I'd like to 23 turn to you and ask you about paragraph 23 of your declaration. I brought it up for the witness. Do you see 24 25 that?

- 1 A I do see that, yes.
- 2 Q And (indiscernible) testimony that in February 2018,
- 3 Purdue Pharma voluntarily ceased promoting opioid
- 4 medications through a sales force; is that right?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Does that mean that Purdue Pharma got rid of the sales
- 7 | force that it had used to call on healthcare providers who
- 8 prescribed opioids?
- 9 A I think it -- what it means and what I recall from
- 10 | early 2018, is we eliminated our sales force in two
- 11 tranches. The first was, we eliminated the sales forces
- 12 | that called on prescribers specifically related to opioids.
- 13 We had a second product that we discontinued, and I think a
- 14 | few months later, we eliminated the remainder of ours sales
- force that called upon that non-opioid product.
- 16 Q Okay. Well, let me ask you about that non-opioid
- 17 product. Well, first, let's go back. My question was, in
- 18 | February 2018, did Purdue Pharma stop having its sales force
- 19 call upon healthcare providers who prescribed opioids?
- 20 A So, the answer is we -- is no, we still had sales rep
- 21 that called on a non-opioid product, but not to promote
- 22 opioids.
- Q Okay. But the answer is no, right?
- 24 A Yeah, but the --
- 25 Q Right.

Pg 119 of 309 Page 119 1 -- explaining the no part, yes, that's correct. 2 That's the answer. Okay. And what was that other 3 product that you're referring to; is that Symproic? That's correct. 4 Α 5 Well, what is Symproic? 6 It was a product indicated for opioid-induced 7 constipation. 8 And what kinds of doctors did -- well, there was a sales force that -- was there a sales force that called upon 9 10 doctors and marketed Symproic to them? 11 Yes, there was. Α And what sort of doctors did they call upon? 12 13 I would imagine that they called on doctors that prescribed opioids. I'm not an expert, but I am -- I'm the 14 15 finance guy, but I would imagine that's the type of 16 prescribers they would call upon. 17 Well, I'm not asking you to imagine. I'm asking you if 18 you knew that, in fact, Symproic representatives called upon 19 the same doctors after February 2018 who prescribed opioids 20 to promote Symproic from them. 21 So, I don't want to say anything that I factually do 22 not know, but I would -- I would -- to the best of my 23 belief, many of those same doctors who prescribed opioids, 24 they would have called upon since they were the likely

prescribers of a product that treats opioid-induced

- 1 constipation.
- 2 Q Okay. And so, not withstanding your statement that in
- 3 February 2018, Purdue ceased promoting its opioid medication
- 4 for its sales force, Purdue continued to promote opioid and
- 5 opioid-induced constipation drug through a sales force to
- 6 | the same doctors; isn't that true?
- 7 A I would agree with that.
- 8 Q Okay. Thank you. I'm going to turn you also back to
- 9 in your declaration, paragraph 9. I'm sorry. It's fine
- 10 | it's in there. But let me just ask, the announcement, the -
- 11 what you mentioned, the decision to -- in February 2018 to
- 12 discontinue part of the sales force, that was announced
- 13 publicly; was it not?
- 14 A I honestly don't recall whether it was announced
- 15 publicly or not.
- 16 Q Okay.
- 17 A I know it's been -- it's probably been in many filings
- 18 | we've made; I just don't recall whether it was public. I'm
- 19 sorry.
- 20 Q Okay. But you do recall it was made in filings that
- 21 you -- that Purdue made?
- 22 A I'm sure it has been represented as a date in -- in
- documents that we've had in the past.
- 24 Q Documents you filed in courts around the country; is
- 25 that right?

Page 121 1 I'm sure it has, to the best of my memory. 2 Okay. So, Purdue has -- just to catch up here, Purdue 3 has filed in courts around the country documents that suggest that its opioid sales force stopped calling on 4 5 healthcare providers regarding opioids in February 2018, 6 right? 7 Yes. But we distinguish between --8 And then, in fact --9 MR. HIGGINS: Your Honor. And in fact, it continued to call on the same doctors 10 0 11 after February 2018 despite the public announcements; that's 12 your testimony, right? 13 Well, my testimony is, I mean, Symproic is a non-opioid 14 medication, so we were calling on opioid --15 MR. HIGGINS: Objection. Move to strike, Your 16 Honor. 17 THE COURT: Sorry? That's a perfectly responsive 18 answer. 19 MR. HIGGINS: Okay. 20 THE COURT: The way you've asked the question, it would be that they would somehow -- linking opioid products 21 22 with products that address opioids, right? So, Mr. Lowne's 23 responded to that, to clarify your question. 24 MR. HIGGINS: All right. Your Honor, I'll 25 withdraw.

Q Mr. Lowne, what were the -- are you familiar with the instructions that were given to sales representatives who can -- who marketed Symproic to opioid prescribers following February 2018?

MR. KAMINETZKY: Object, Your Honor. Again, I don't know exactly where this is going. I think the direct examination, you know, as set out in the -- in the declaration is very limited in its scope, and the use of, you know, this information in connection with the arguments made, in connection with, you know, future claims here, which I'm not understanding that the State of Maryland has objected to. I'm not sure exactly where this is going. But again, just cognizant of obviously, the number of witnesses that we have and trying keep at the most -- within a reasonable universe, I think I have to object, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, no, you can answer that question Mr. Lowne, if you know the answer.

THE WITNESS: So, to the best of my knowledge, I mean, we made announcements to our -- all of our employees that we'd ceased to promote our branded opioid products, and our sales force that remained was limited to promoting Symproic, which is a non-opioid product. Since I'm in the finance organization and not the commercial organization, I don't know the exact instructions that were given to the remaining sales representatives in terms of the promotion of

Page 123 Symproic, but, I mean, it was pretty public in the company 1 2 that that was the decision we'd made. 3 MR. HIGGINS: Okay, Your Honor, but --4 Okay, Mr. Lowne. I'm sorry. But the answer is you 5 don't know the specific instructions that were given; it 6 that --7 Α No. 8 Q Okay. 9 No. 10 Thank you. Can I turn you -- and I jumped a head a 11 little bit -- but can I turn you to Paragraph 9 of your 12 declaration? 13 Α Sure. 14 And I'll refer you to the very last sentence of your declaration -- of Paragraph 9 of your declaration, and it 15 16 says "These discussions covered matters" -- maybe I should 17 back up -- "You and other members of Management met with teams in business to discuss their forecast. These 18 19 discussions covered matters including sales projections for 20 each business, marketing, and promotional expenses for 21 nonopioid and over-the-counter products, research and 22 development expenses, Medical Affairs expenses, pipeline 23 research assets and other general administrative expenses and income items." Did I read that correctly? 24 25 You did, yes.

- 1 Q And that is your testimony?
- 2 A Yes, it is.
- 3 Q And I want to refer you just to Medical Affairs. What
- 4 is Medical Affairs? What are Medical Affairs expenses?
- 5 A Medical Affairs Department does many things, but they,
- for example, execute on post-marketing commitments that we
- 7 have for some of our products.
- 8 So, for example, we have requirements to do a pediatric
- 9 study for our Adhansia products. We have -- we had certain
- 10 remaining post-marketing commitments related to our opioid
- 11 products, which I believe for oxycontin are now complete.
- 12 They also have a group of individuals that are medical
- 13 professionals that answer questions on any our prescription
- 14 products. So they're some of the activities that the
- 15 Medical Affairs Department would do.
- 16 Q Okay. And so the Medical Affairs Department, does that
- 17 include a position, multiple positions of medical affairs
- 18 | liaison? Is that a position at Purdue?
- 19 A There is a position called a medical liaison, yes.
- 20 Q And those medial liaisons, those are the people who, in
- 21 your testimony, answer questions of doctors, right?
- 22 A We have a slightly different position -- I don't know
- 23 the name of the job title -- that answers questions about
- 24 the products, but a medical liaison for Adhansia, not for
- 25 our opioid products, would maybe answer questions related to

1 the product with a pharmacy benefit manager or insurance 2 company that might be interested in putting Adhansia on 3 their drug formulary, which is what provides coverages to 4 patients in the pharmacy when they're looking to buy 5 Adhansia. Okay. Well, did Medical Affairs medical liaisons, do 6 Q 7 they communicate with healthcare providers who prescribe Purdue's products? 8 To the best of my knowledge, if a healthcare provider 9 10 had a question on a prescription product, they are able to 11 call a person in our Medical Affairs group who is a 12 medically trained individual who would be able to answer a 13 question related to the prescribing of one of our products. 14 So is the answer, yes, they do speak with healthcare 15 providers who prescribe products for Purdue? 16 Are you -- yes, that's my understanding. 17 And do they also conduct -- I believe you referenced 18 post-marketing, fulfillment of post-marketing requirements under the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act? 19 20 That's the department who would be responsible 21 for any post-marketing requirements by the FDA. 22 And do those medical liaisons, do they also fulfill 23 Purdue's obligations with respect to post-marketing requirements by contacting and working with healthcare 24 25 providers who prescribe Purdue products?

Page 126 1 THE COURT: I'm sorry, prescribe? You cut out; 2 the last word cut out. 3 MR. EDMUNDS: Products, Your Honor, was my very 4 last word, Purdue products. 5 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 6 BY MR. EDMUNDS: 7 The post-marketing requirements, to the extent there is a clinical study requirement under a pediatric requirement, 8 9 my understanding is the persons in the Medical Affairs 10 Department would work with a third-party contract research 11 organization that performs the clinical study. And there 12 are people that enroll patients within that clinical study. 13 Honestly, I'm not expert to know whether our people in 14 Medical Affairs are having direct contact with the people 15 that are contracted through the CRO with regard to the 16 progress of those clinical studies that are required by the 17 FDA. MR. EDMUNDS: Your Honor, I'm sorry, Mr. Lowne, 18 Your Honor, I briefly lost the feed for a second. I don't 19 20 know -- can the answer be read back? 21 THE WITNESS: Sure. 22 THE COURT: No, but I think I can repeat it was 23 that Mr. Lowne wasn't sure whether the people that work in 24 this area do more than interact with the people who are 25 conducting studies in connection with the FDA or whether

- 1 they reach past them to patients that are in those studies.
- 2 He wasn't sure. Is that a fair summary, Mr. Lowne?
- 3 THE WITNESS: That's a fair summary related to the
- 4 FDA requirement question, yes.
- 5 BY MR. EDMUNDS:
- 6 Q Okay. And is the FDA requirement, post-marketing
- 7 requirement that we are discussing, is that sometimes
- 8 referred to as investigator-initiated trials?
- 9 A No, it's not.
- 10  $\mid$  Q I'm sorry. Is the idea at Purdue that the liaison -- I
- 11 | think you mentioned this -- is the idea at Purdue that the
- 12 | liaison signs up the patients that a particular prescriber
- 13 is prescribing to in -- for purposes of post-marketing
- 14 studies?
- 15 A No, I don't think that's accurate. I don't think
- 16 | that's any part of their role. There is a person in Medical
- 17 Affairs that, for example, not all of Medical Affairs
- 18 studies are clinical studies. Many of them are database
- 19 studies where they're looking at prescribing behaviors as I
- 20 understand it, but the actual clinical studies, we would
- 21 engage a third-party contract research organization to work
- 22 with different clinical sites that enroll the patients that
- are required to be enrolled as part of the clinical study.
- 24 | So there is certainly an individual within Medical Affairs
- 25 that works with that third-party contract research

- organization. I just don't know whether they have any contact with the underlying people at the sites that are enrolling the patients. I'm just not sure of that level of detail. That third-party contract organization that you mentioned, is it one or is it multiple organizations? I would say it's -- I mean we do work with multiple contract research organizations because we have a pipeline of development assets, but I think typically for an FDA pediatric study you typically work with one contract research organization and you may have multiple sites or locations that are enrolling patients. Okay. What is, what is the one that you're referring to then, what's the name of it? I honestly don't remember. THE COURT: Mr. Edmunds, I know I did let you ask
- THE COURT: Mr. Edmunds, I know I did let you ask some questions on this, but I'm not quite sure where you're going at this point and how it ties into your client's objection?

MR. EDMUNDS: Sure, Your Honor. Where I would get to is my -- the State of Maryland has objected to the third-party releases of not only the shareholder parties but of others that are included in the broad scope of the releases and I'm trying to get at what -- and I can move on, but I'm trying to get at who that would include and what would be --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 129 1 THE COURT: All right. That's fine. MR. EDMUNDS: But I will --2 3 THE COURT: I think the point is there are real companies that work with the Debtors that aren't necessarily 4 5 Sackler-owned or transferees that might be included in a 6 release. Is that the point? 7 MR. EDMUNDS: That's correct, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Okay. I think you've established that 9 if that's the intention of the release. 10 MR. EDMUNDS: I think I have a couple other 11 questions on this, a few more that are related and then I 12 think I'm done, so, Your Honor, if I may? 13 THE COURT: Okay. I don't think we need more 14 detail on this point since I think the reason --15 MR. EDMUNDS: I think I can do it in one question, 16 Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Okay, fine. BY MR. EDMUNDS: 18 19 So just to go back to when we first started on this 20 topic, Mr. Lowne, we talked about Medical Affairs expense. 21 And my question is: Between 2018 and the present, has the 22 Medical Affairs expense at Purdue Pharma has paid gone up, 23 stayed the same, or gone down? 24 I'm pretty sure it's gone down. Gone down? Now I just want to ask one more question. 25

Page 130 1 You're, of course, familiar with Purdue's guilty pleas 2 recently and I want to ask: You're aware, aren't you, that 3 one of the counts relates the Speaker Program that Purdue 4 Pharma ran for --5 THE COURT: I think, again, you faded out there 6 when you identified the program. If you could just say it 7 again so that it can be picked up on the transcript? 8 MR. EDMUNDS: Sure. Thank you, Your Honor. 9 BY MR. EDMUNDS: 10 The Pharmaceutical Speaker Program that Purdue 0 11 maintained in the last decade, you're aware of that plea, 12 Mr. Lowne? 13 Yes, I'm aware of that plea. 14 Where there contractors that Purdue Pharma used to 15 maintain that Speaker Program during those years? 16 MR. MCCLAMMY: Objection. I'm just not clear on 17 what years that counsel is referring to. 18 MR. EDMUNDS: The years covered by the guilty 19 plea. BY MR. EDMUNDS: 20 21 So Purdue has a combination of employees and 22 contractors. I'm not sure whether the people -- I think 23 your question is -- who ran the Speaker Program were 24 employees of Purdue or contractors, I'm just not sure.

But to the best of your knowledge, the people who ran

Q

Page 131 1 the Speaker Program for Purdue, to use your words, could 2 have been third-party contractors of Purdue? 3 So we did engage third-party companies to help with the 4 Speaker Programs in the past. That is correct. Now I 5 understand your question. 6 Okay. And those are the same Speaker Programs that are 0 7 the subject of the guilty plea that Purdue entered earlier 8 this year? 9 That's correct. And just -- there's also -- the Speaker Program implies 10 11 that there are speakers; is that right? Actual --12 There were actual speakers, yes. 13 And those are healthcare providers who prescribe Purdue products? 14 15 That's my understanding. 16 They received fees or honoraria; is that right? 17 That's my understanding. 18 And they are also contractors with Purdue; are they 19 not? 20 You could put that label on them and that would be my 21 understanding, yes. 22 Agents, could they be agents? I think --23 Α 24 THE COURT: That really calls for a legal 25 conclusion.

Page 132 1 MR. EDMUNDS: Fair point, Your Honor. I'll 2 withdraw it and I think no further questions. Thank you. 3 THE COURT: Very well. Does anyone else wish to 4 question Mr. Lowne? No, okay. 5 Mr. McClammy, do you have any direct? 6 MR. MCCLAMMY: Just a couple of questions on 7 redirect, Your Honor, thank you. 8 REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF JON LOWNE 9 BY MR. MCCLAMMY: 10 Mr. Lowne, do you recall being asked questions about 11 Purdue having voluntarily depromoting its opioid medications 12 to its sale force, I'm directing you to Paragraph 22 of your 13 declaration? 14 Yes, I remember those questions. 15 And you stand by the statements that are included there 16 in Paragraph 22? 17 Yeah. I read them carefully. I think they're 18 factually correct. And you mentioned that there was another product, a 19 20 nonopioid project, I believe, maybe it was Symproic, do you 21 recall that? 22 I did. 23 And did Purdue also terminate marketing that product as well to the sales force? 24 25 Yes, a few months later, yes.

Page 133 1 Thank you, Mr. Lowne. Thank you. 2 MR. MCCLAMMY: Your Honor, no further questions. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Let me just -- okay. I don't 4 have any questions for you after that, Mr. Lowne, so you can 5 sign off. 6 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 7 THE COURT: All right. I think we have time for 8 another witness before lunch. So do you want to call your 9 next witness? 10 MR. DUGGAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Charles 11 Duggan of Davis, Pope, and Wardwell for the Debtors. Our next witness is Mr. Richard Collura. 12 13 THE COURT: Mr. Collura? 14 MR. DUGGAN: Yes. I'm waiting for him to join. 15 Your Honor, Mr. Collura has joined us. 16 THE COURT: Yes. I can see him. Mr. Collura, can 17 you raise your right hand please? Do you swear or affirm to 18 the tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the 19 truth, so help you God? 20 MR. COLLURA: Yes, I do, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Okay. You can put your hand down. 22 And it's Richard C-O-L-L-U-R-A? 23 MR. COLLURA: Correct. 24 THE COURT: Mr. Collura, you submitted a 25 declaration dated August 5, 2021, which under my procedures

Page 134 1 order for this hearing was intended to be your direct 2 testimony. Sitting here today on August 12th, and knowing 3 it would be your direct testimony, is there anything in it 4 that you wish to change? 5 MR. COLLURA: No, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Does anyone object to Mr. 7 Collura's August 5th, 2021, declaration as his direct 8 testimony? All right. And I take it that includes no one is 9 10 objecting to Mr. Collura's admission as an expert witness 11 with regard to his report, which is attached to his 12 declaration and incorporated in it. All right. So I will admit the declaration and 13 14 note that Mr. Collura is testifying as an expert with 15 respect to the forensic accounting and related to materials 16 that are addressed in his expert report. 17 (Declaration of Richard Collura Admitted Into Evidence) 18 Does anyone wish to cross-examine Mr. Collura? No. All right. Well, I guess your report was perfectly 19 20 clear, Mr. Collura. I didn't have any questions. So you can step down or sign off rather. 21 22 MR. COLLURA: Thank you, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: So we can go then to the next witness, 24 if you wish. 25 The next witness, Your Honor,

MR. DUGGAN: Yes.

Page 135 1 is Mark Rule of AlixPartners, LLP. 2 THE COURT: Right. Let's hope he's joining. Is 3 he joining, Mr. Rule, R-U-L-E? Okay. 4 MR. DUGGAN: Your Honor, my apologies. We are 5 running this down. 6 THE COURT: Well, he may have thought his colleague, Mr. Collura, might have taken longer. Can you 7 8 have the clerk's office contact him too? 9 MR. DUGGAN: Your Honor, we have been in touch 10 with Mr. Rule all morning, but are having trouble contacting 11 him at the moment. 12 THE COURT: Okay. I don't know if you want to 13 move onto Mr. DeRamus and then come back to him? 14 MR. DUGGAN: If you'd like us to do that, Your 15 Honor, we can. 16 THE COURT: That may make sense. 17 MR. DUGGAN: Let us get Mr. DeRamus online here. 18 Thank you, Your Honor. Mr. DeRamus is joining us, Your 19 Honor. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Very well. Okay. I see Mr. 21 DeRamus. Make sure you're not on mute, Mr. DeRamus. Would 22 you raise your right hand, please? I can't hear you. 23 You're on mute. 24 MR. DERAMUS: Okay. Is that better? 25 THE COURT: Yes. I can hear you now.

Pg 136 of 309 Page 136 1 MR. DERAMUS: Okay. 2 THE COURT: Would you raise your right hand, please? Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole 3 truth, and nothing but the truth so help you God? 4 5 MR. DERAMUS: I do. 6 THE COURT: And it's David, new word, D-E-R-A-M-U-7 S? 8 MR. DERAMUS: That's correct. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. DeRamus, you submitted a 10 declaration, which then attached your expert report. The 11 declaration is dated August 5, 20201. The expert report is 12 dated June 15, 20201. Under my order establishing 13 procedures for this hearing, you understood that this would 14 be your direct testimony in this hearing. Knowing that and 15 sitting here today on the 12th of August, is there anything 16 in your declaration or your expert report that you wish to 17 change? MR. DERAMUS: No, there's not. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Does anyone object to the 19 20 introduction of Mr. DeRamus' declaration and expert report 21 as his direct testimony? Okay. I will admit it, including 22 the exhibit, which is the expert report and I also gather no one is objecting to Mr. DeRamus testifying as an expert 23

witness including with respect to the matters covered by his

expert report, including transfer pricing analysis and the

24

Page 137 1 valuation of the types of transactions and transfers covered 2 by his report? All right. So I will admit the declaration 3 and report as the expert report. I'm qualifying Mr. DeRamus 4 as an expert for those purposes. 5 (Declaration of David DeRamus Admitted Into Evidence) 6 THE COURT: Does anyone wish to cross-examine Mr. 7 DeRamus? No? 8 All right. I reviewed the report, as I did Mr. 9 Collura's report, and I don't have any questions on it. So 10 hearing from no one else who wishes to cross-examine, you 11 can be excused, Mr. DeRamus. 12 MR. DERAMUS: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: All right. Has Mr. Rule been located? MR. DUGGAN: Your Honor, I'm checking on this 14 15 right now. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. DUGGAN: I understand, Your Honor that Mr. 18 Rule should be logging in momentarily. 19 THE COURT: Okay. I think, while we're waiting 20 for him, it probably makes sense after his testimony to 21 break for lunch and then come back to deal with Mr. Dubel 22 and the other witnesses, unless I missing something. 23 MR. DUGGAN: No. Very good, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 MR. DUGGAN: Your Honor, he is trying to log in.

Pg 138 of 309 Page 138 1 We don't have him yet, but he's trying to log in. 2 apparently having a little difficulty. THE COURT: Right. If he wants someone from the 3 clerk's office to walk him through it, he's free to let you 4 5 know that so we can have someone do that. 6 MR. DUGGAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Sometimes, it's case sensitive. 8 Sometimes there's 0 and 0 that can get mixed up. 9 MAN 1: Mr. Duggan, do we know if anyone wants to 10 cross-examine Mr. Rule? Because if nobody wants to cross-11 examine him, it's possible we're all sitting here in a way that could be short circuited. Forgive me for not knowing. 12 13 I'm just tossing out a question to try to help. 14 MR. DUGGAN: Some parties indicated that they were 15 considering examining Mr. Rule. I don't know if they 16 continue to intend to do so. 17 THE COURT: Alternatively, we could just break for 18 lunch now and have him be ready at 2:15 and we'll pick up 19 then. I think that's probably what we ought to do. 20 MR. EDMUNDS: Your Honor, Brian Edmunds. I would 21 just say that I have no intention of cross-examining him. I 22 think we had put time down in case. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Was Mr. Edmunds the person you 24 were thinking of who might want to cross-examine?

MR. DUGGAN: Your Honor, he was one of several

- parties that expressed a possibility.
- THE COURT: All right. So why don't we just break
- 3 -- this is a good enough time to break for lunch as any. So
- 4 be back t 2:15. And just make sure Mr. Rule is signed on by
- 5 then. And --

- 6 MR. DUGGAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 7 THE COURT: -- and we'll proceed with him. Thank
- 8 you. They should keep their line open right? Don't hang
- 9 up. Put your screen on blank, but don't disconnect the
- 10 internet connection or those of you who dialed in, unless
- 11 you want to, of course. You shouldn't if you want to stay
- 12 on.
- 13 (Recess)
- 14 THE COURT: All right, good afternoon. This is
- Judge Drain and we're back on the record in In Re Purdue
- 16 Pharma L.P. and we're, I think, proceeding with the Debtor's
- 17 witness, Mr. Rule. I see you there, Mr. Rule. Would you
- 18 raise your right hand, please? Do you swear or affirm to
- 19 tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth,
- 20 so help you God?
- MR. RULE: I do.
- 22 THE COURT: And it's Mark with a K and then the
- 23 next word R-U-L-E?
- MR. RULE: That's correct.
- THE COURT: Okay. So, Mr. Rule, you submitted a

Page 140 1 declaration dated August 5, 2021 to which your expert report 2 dated June 15, 2021 was attached. That declaration was submitted under my order establishing a confirmation 3 schedule and hearing protocols for this hearing knowing it 4 5 would be your direct testimony in this matter. Sitting here 6 today on August 12th, is there anything in your expert 7 report that you would wish to change? 8 MR. RULE: No, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: And, therefore, nothing in your declaration that you wish to change? 10 11 MR. RULE: That's correct. THE COURT: Okay. All right, does anyone object 12 to the admission of Mr. Rule's declaration and the attached 13 14 expert report as his testimony regarding the expert analysis 15 of the so-called intercompany and noncash transfers? 16 All right, hearing no one, I will admit the 17 declaration and expert report and note that Mr. Rule is 18 therefore qualified as an expert in the accounting matters 19 detailed in his expert report. 20 Does anyone want to cross-examine Mr. Rule? No? All right. Mr. Rule, I've read your -- oh, I'm sorry, Mr. 21 22 Underwood, did you want to? 23 MR. UNDERWOOD: Yes, Your Honor, very briefly if 24 possible.

Sure. Go ahead.

THE COURT:

Pg 141 of 309 Page 141 1 MR. UNDERWOOD: Thank you, Your Honor. 2 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF MARK RULE BY MR. UNDERWOOD: 3 4 Mr. Rule, with regard to the accounting activity 5 (indiscernible) provided, am I correct in understanding that 6 under your report, Purdue Canada is an IAC? 7 Α That's correct, yes. Okay. And with regard to Canadian -- Purdue Canada as 8 9 an IAC, Exhibit 1P of your report states that no funds were 10 charged for certain services? 11 I'm sorry, can you repeat that? You broke up a little 12 bit for me. 13 Sure. With regard to Purdue Canada, Exhibit 1P of your report states that there were certain services that were 14 15 provided on an intercompany basis for which no -- no amounts 16 were charged. 17 I believe that's correct, yes. Okay. Do you know what kind of services those were? 18 I'd have to refresh my recollection by looking at my 19 20 reports, if I could. 21 Please. Thank you. 22 And just for the record, I have a hardcopy, a clean 23 hardcopy next to me, which I'll be looking at. 24 THE COURT: Mr. Underwood, what page -- so I can 25 find it -- are you referring to?

Page 142 MR. UNDERWOOD: Sure, I apologize, Your Honor. It 1 2 would be Exhibit 1P. 3 THE COURT: Right. But if you go to the -- okay, there's no specific page. I'm looking at -- if you can give 4 5 me a page number? If you go up to the top. Maybe there 6 isn't one here. 7 MR. UNDERWOOD: Okay. The copy that I have, Your 8 Honor, is from --9 THE COURT: You know what? I found it, I found 10 it. Never mind. 11 MR. UNDERWOOD: Okay. Thank you. 12 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I'm looking at Page 202 13 of my report. And to answer the question, I think it's 14 highlighted there in yellow or orange. In footnote 3 that 15 says, in certain circumstances, PPLP provided accounting 16 services, human resource services and other similar services 17 for certain of these entities. So, those -- those would be 18 the types of services that were provided. 19 BY MR. UNDERWOOD: 20 Okay. And do you have a better sense of what the 21 other services were? 22 As I sit here right now, I don't recall specifically. 23 So, I don't. I know it's at least accounting human resources and other services. 24 25 THE COURT: And can I interrupt? I just -- were

Page 143 1 those provided to Purdue Canada that you're discussing 2 there? THE WITNESS: It would be, as the callout box 3 4 says, it's certain of the entities including the ones listed 5 on the page there. 6 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 7 BY MR. UNDERWOOD: Now, unfortunately, Mr. Rule, the copy of Page 202 that 8 9 I'm looking at, which came off of the docket, has a large 10 blacked out portion. Now, maybe the exhibits do not have 11 that. Do you know, Mr. Rule whether the trial exhibits 12 versus those filed on the docket have the blacked out 13 portion included? 14 I -- I'm not sure. The version I'm looking at does not 15 have anything blacked out. 16 THE COURT: And it lists -- it lists Purdue Canada 17 on the list. MR. UNDERWOOD: Okay, thank you, Your Honor. 18 BY MR. UNDERWOOD: 19 20 And with regard to the next page, Page 203, 21 intercompany transfers between foreign IACs and Purdue for 22 finished product. And if you look at that chart, there's a reference at the bottom there. Purdue Pharma Canada. And 23 it looks like a sum of -- is that \$13,370 at the end of that 24 25 column?

- 1 A That's correct.
- 2 Q Okay. What would the -- to the best of your knowledge,
- 3 what are the finished products that are referred to here?
- 4 A Specifically, as I sit here right now, I don't -- I
- 5 don't recall what the specific finished products were.
- 6 Q Okay. Is Purdue Pharma Canada, based upon your
- 7 research and report, a part of the Mundipharma group? And I
- 8 don't mean that as a corporate group but a group of
- 9 cooperating, related entities?
- 10 A I don't specifically know, as we sit here.
- 11 Q Okay. Apologize. All right, Mr. Rule, at Page 212,
- 12 Exhibit 1R of your report, with regard to intercompany
- 13 transfers between foreign IACs and Purdue for selling
- 14 OxyContin and products under licensed agreements.
- 15 A I see it.
- 16 Q Is there -- I should say, as of -- or between January
- 17 | 1, 2008 and September 15, 2019, was there a license
- 18 agreement in place between the Purdue Canada entities and
- 19 the U.S. Purdue entities?
- 20 A I'd have to check our work papers on that.
- 21 Q I can see that at Page 216 of your report -- we're
- 22 still in Exhibit 1R -- I've got my reading glasses on, but
- 23 there is a reference about halfway down the page to a Canada
- 24 adjustment. These are royalty payments. It says, do you
- 25 know in the interim whether there was a license agreement

- 1 between these two entities for the sale of OxyContin?
- 2 A Again, it would be the same answer. I would have to go
- 3 back to check to see if there was a specific agreement
- 4 governing the relationship with Purdue Canada.
- 5 Q Okay. Referring again to that same line -- and that
- 6 line, to clarify -- amount in local currency -- the amount
- 7 listed in local currency is \$1,003,621.17, just so we're all
- 8 looking at the same line. And it looks like it's the number
- 9 for 2008. Am I correctly reading that that is the royalty
- 10 payment in 2008?
- 11 A Well, that is an adjustment that was made in December
- 12 of 2008. So, no, I would not consider that the full amount
- of the royalty payment. It's an adjustment for prior
- 14 periods.
- 15 Q I see, so the royalty may have been much larger, or
- 16 perhaps, I suppose, smaller depending on other intercompany
- 17 balances?
- 18 A Possibly.
- 19 Q Again, at Exhibit 1R of Page 226. This is, again, a
- 20 reference to license agreements. These are OxyContin
- 21 license agreements between (indiscernible) Mundipharma, and
- 22 this seems to be after -- at or after January 1, 2017.
- 23 There is a reference here to an agreement apparently between
- 24 Canada and Mundipharma at the box on the lower left. Is
- 25 that correct? And that's the box that's marked regions

Page 146 1 (indiscernible) agreements with Mundipharma (indiscernible) 2 B period, V period (indiscernible)... 3 That's correct. 4 Okay. Did this agreement with Mundipharma that's 5 apparently dated January 1, 2017 -- did this supersede any 6 and all other relations between Purdue Canada and -- and the 7 Purdue U.S. entities with regard to the licensed sale of OxyContin? 8 9 I would have to review the specific agreement to answer 10 that question. 11 Okay. What is the Mundipharma -- Mundipharma network 12 of independent and associated companies? 13 I'm not sure I understand your question. Well, okay, I'll tell you that at least in terms of the 14 15 Canadian -- Canadian entity's website, they describe 16 themselves as being a part of the Mundipharma network of 17 independent and associated companies, and I thought perhaps 18 you would know what that meant. I think they're telling you that they're an IAC. 19 20 Q Okay. Based upon the information in your report, are 21 you able to, for the period of 2008 through, say, 2017 or 22 even '19, establish the amount of revenue that the U.S. 23 entity derived from OxyContin sales in Canada? 24 It is -- it is not specifically broken out in the 25 report, but it would be -- I could ascertain it from the

- 1 underlying work papers.
- 2 Q Okay. And in most cases, that number is reflected in
- 3 that report -- it would be reflected by a credit offset
- 4 balance, meaning that there are no -- meaning that the
- 5 actual credit in offset balance is relatively small, but the
- 6 actual volume in sales could be quite large given the amount
- 7 of business that was (indiscernible) between the Canadian
- 8 entities and the U.S. entities, is that correct?
- 9 A Could you maybe rephrase that? I'm not quite sure I
- 10 understood your question.
- 11 Q Sure. Now, let me even bring you maybe to an exhibit
- 12 | that might clarify it. You know what? I'll withdraw the
- 13 question. That's fine. In -- there's reference to a sale
- 14 in your report of Adhansia (indiscernible) from the Canadian
- 15 entity to the U.S. entity?
- 16 A That's correct.
- 17 Q What is Adhansia?
- 18 A It was a company that was sold, as described in my
- 19 report.
- 20 Q Do you know what business they engaged in?
- 21 A Bear with me for a second.
- 22 Q Sure.
- 23 A As we state on Page 35, we simply say that there was an
- 24 asset purchase agreement, so they sold the asset of Adhansia
- 25 for \$20.2 million. We don't specifically say what line of

- 1 business Adhansia was in.
- 2 Q I see. In the -- in the context of the preparation of
- 3 your report, did you look behind that transaction at all to
- 4 ascertain whether it was conducted on an arm's length basis?
- 5 A That was not part of the scope of our analysis. It was
- 6 simply to identify, quantify, and document the transfer.
- 7 Q I see. So, you would have no opinion as to whether or
- 8 not any third party would pay the sums exchanged between the
- 9 parties for the sale of that asset?
- 10 A That's correct, I have no opinion.
- 11 Q Are you aware of any reason for the transfer based upon
- 12 your review?
- 13 A That, again, was outside the scope of our analysis. We
- 14 were -- we were asked to identify, quantify, and document.
- 15 Q Okay.
- 16 THE COURT: Mr. Underwood, this is starting to
- 17 strike me like a deposition unrelated to the Debtor's
- 18 witness's testimony related to matters that are taking place
- 19 in Canada perhaps. So, I think you ought to cut it short,
- 20 | if that's the direction you're going to continue going in.
- 21 MR. UNDERWOOD: Understood, Your Honor. No
- 22 further questions. Thank you.
- 23 THE COURT: Okay. Does anyone else have any
- 24 questions for Mr. Rule? Okay. You can sign off then, Mr.
- 25 Rule. Your testimony is concluded.

Page 149 1 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: All right. I think that takes us to 3 Mr. Gowrisankaran. No, I'm sorry, Mr. Dubel. Excuse me. CLERK: Your Honor, John Dubel is the next 4 5 witness. 6 MR. KAMINETZKY: Your Honor, Ben Kaminetzky, for 7 the record. 8 THE COURT: Your presence -- oh, there he is. 9 Okay, very well. 10 MR. KAMINETZKY: For the Court's virtual procedures order, as you see, Mr. Dubel is in a room and 11 12 he's along with a single counsel as well, and the camera's 13 on -- on both of them. And Katherine Benedict is the lawyer 14 from Davis Polk assisting Mr. Dubel in the room. 15 technicians there as well, but everyone is -- everything is 16 on camera. 17 THE COURT: All right, very well. Mr. Dubel, would 18 you raise your right hand, please? Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the 19 20 truth, so help you God? 21 MR. DUBEL: I do, Your Honor. And is that picture 22 behind me distracting to anyone? Because I'm sure they can 23 take that down. 24 THE COURT: No. I can handle it, thank you. 25 MR. DUBEL: Okay.

THE COURT: Over the last few months, I've had people who thought they could appear in moving cars. So, if I could handle that I guess I could handle that painting. MR. DUBEL: As long as no one's performing surgery, Your Honor, while (indiscernible)... THE COURT: Very well. So, Mr. Dubel, you submitted a declaration dated August 5, 2021, which, under my order setting the procedures for this hearing, is intended to be your direct testimony as a fact witness. Sitting here today on August 12th and knowing that it would be your direct testimony, is there anything in it that you wish to change? MR. DUBEL: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Does anyone object to the admission of Mr. Dubel's declaration as his direct testimony? MR. EDMUNDS: Your Honor, I object to it in part and would move to strike. The portion of Paragraph 33 and 34 of Mr. Dubel's declaration, which he refers to two exhibits, JX-1807 and JX-2905. I don't think this witness can bring in these documents, and I don't think the documents are permissible and used in (indiscernible) drafted presentation by the lawyers for the A and B side Sacklers that contain -- are extensive. In fact, I'm having trouble keeping them up here. But they are essentially

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(indiscernible) presentations advocacy pieces that contain within them the (indiscernible) argument as to what (indiscernible) -- the lawyer's own arguments as to ultimate conclusions; documents that are not properly authenticated, documents that consist themselves of out of court statements that I think (indiscernible) matters within them. And even more problematic, these are presentations that lawyers for the parties in this case are offering into evidence. Fact evidence.

THE COURT: So, it's a hearsay objection?

MR. EDMUNDS: It's a lawyer-witness and hearsay, I think, objection, or hearsay within hearsay.

THE COURT: Okay. I -- as is often the case when I take testimony of parties who evaluate a settlement, it's usually a trustee, I won't admit it for the truth of what's asserted in the -- in the presentation, in the exhibits, but I'll admit it for what was presented to Mr. Dubel for consideration.

MR. EDMUNDS: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Other than that, is there any objection? Okay, with that caveat, which is that -- and I think Mr. Dubel would agree with me. He's not agreeing for the truth of the assertions in the presentation either, but I'll admit it -- his declaration with that caveat as to the exhibits referenced in Paragraphs 33 and 34.

Page 152 1 So, does anyone want to cross-examine Mr. Dubel? 2 MR. GOLDMAN: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: And, by the way, for the court 3 4 reporter's benefit, in case you didn't get the voice, the 5 lawyer who was objecting in the previous colloquy was Mr. 6 Edmunds. 7 MR. EDMUNDS: My apologies, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: That's fine. So, Mr. Goldman, you can 9 go ahead. 10 MR. GOLDMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF JOHN S. DUBEL 12 BY MR. GOLDMAN: 13 Good afternoon, Mr. Dubel. My name is Irve Goldman with Pullman Comley, and we represent the state of 14 15 Connecticut. 16 Good afternoon. 17 Good afternoon. I'll first address your attention to 18 Paragraph 37 of your declaration --Can I put it in front of myself and peruse it? 19 20 Q Absolutely. 21 Thank you. 22 I'll wait until that passes, Your Honor. Where you mention that the Chapter 11 filings were preceded by months 23 24 of discussions regarding potential framework for settlement 25 claims against Purdue and its shareholders, and you define

19-23649-shl Doc 3564 Filed 08/16/21 Entered 08/16/21 10:08:21 Main Document Pg 153 of 309 Page 153 1 that as the settlement framework. When did those 2 discussions begin? I don't know exactly when they began. I do know that 3 they were ongoing from the time that I joined the board on 4 5 July 2, 2019. I believe they'd been going on for many 6 months prior to that. 7 Did they go back as far as March of 2019? I'm sorry, Mr. Goldman, you got garbled by the noise in 8 9 the background. Could you just repeat your question, 10 please? 11 Certainly. Do you know if they went back as far as 12 March of 2019? 13 I believe that they had been going back prior to that. 14 And with whom were those discussions taking place? 15 There were groups -- besides the company and the 16 Sacklers -- and I'm going to refer to the Sackler Family and 17 the Sackler entities, which have been defined as the 18 Sacklers, if that's okay for ease and convenience. There

- were also groups -- I think there was a -- the various parties in the MDL litigation and others that had sub -subgroups that were negotiating with the Debtors and the Sacklers prior to the Chapter 11 filing.
- Were any of the states involved in those discussions? 23
- 24 It's my understanding that they were part of those 25 groups that were involved in the discussions.

19

20

21

And did the settlement framework, as you've defined it in your declaration, include the concept of the third party release for the Sacklers of claims that the states and others were starting or (indiscernible) against them? I believe it did. And did the special -- the answer to this is probably obvious, but did the special committee endorse pursuing the settlement framework as a means of potentially resolving the Chapter 11 cases? I'm sorry, again, sir, you cut out in the middle. Did the special committee ...? Endorse pursuing the settlement framework as a means of potentially resolving the Chapter 11 cases? Well, we looked at it mainly as one of the components of trying to bring together all of the parties, and then using the Chapter 11 process to enable us to then organize all of the various claimants into one group under -- under the auspices of the Chapter 11 bankruptcy process. If your -- you know, when you say endorse, it was a -- a component that helped us get that process started and a framework, but it was by no means something that we said had to be done. Well, that wasn't my question. I didn't ask if that was the only alternative you were endorsing that the Debtors pursue. But it (indiscernible) is endorsed as one alternative to pursue as a means of resolving the Chapter

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

11s, correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When you say endorse, I'm not sure endorse is the right That's why I'm trying to explain it. It was a means to start the process in an organized Chapter 11 process, and it was the framework that would help us continue to bring all of the various creditor groups towards a decision as to whether it was better to litigate against the Sacklers or attempt to come up with a settlement that would be fair and equitable for all the creditors of the Debtor's estates. Okay. The third party releases that you said were contemplated to be part of the settlement framework, were they contemplated to be just consensual releases? They were -- I don't recall exactly how they were Α contemplated in it. I -- I just knew that they were -third party releases were going to be considered as part of that. But, again, this was just the starting point of a Chapter 11 process, which, in my experience, when you start a Chapter 11 there are many iterations that would go forward until you got to a point where you would have a plan of reorganization ready to be filed. So, the third party releases that you say were part of the settlement framework, wasn't detailed to the degree of -- other than they would just be consensual or nonconsensual, is that correct?

Well, I think it was very clear from the -- from the

Sacklers that if they were going to post up X amount of dollars -- and I believe at the time, the settlement framework was somewhere around \$3 billion or so -- that they were going to seek broad third party releases, and releases from the Debtors, releases of all the estate claims, etc., so that they could be able to put all of that -- all of the litigation behind them. None of it -- none of the releases ever contemplated -- criminal releases, obviously, but it was something that was a prerequisite or a condition to them posting the amount of money that was in the settlement framework and then ultimately what is in the plan of organization we were seeking approval of. So, whether everybody consented to the releases or not, that was a condition of theirs, to any settlement, correct? Again, at the time, I don't recall exactly what the specifics were, at the time the settlement framework was put together. And we knew that the settlement framework was just a starting point to get to move it forward. ultimately became a condition in the plan of organization. And it was part of the conditions that were put forth by the Sacklers as part of all the mediation efforts that took place and, in particular, the Phase 2 mediation, that the mediators made an offer to all the parties to approve. At the time of the Chapter 11 filings, were there any states that had signed on formally or informally to the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 settlement framework, as you've defined it?
- 2 A I believe, Mr. Goldman, that the number was
- 3 approximately 24 states that had signed on. I don't --
- 4 maybe that's the wrong term, signed on -- that were
- 5 acknowledging the settlement framework and were supportive
- 6 of us moving forward in the process of filing a Chapter 11
- 7 and using this as a means of coalescing all the parties into
- 8 one organized spot to address the potential claims that the
- 9 estates would have against the Sacklers.
- 10 Q And there were at least 24 states and the District of
- 11 | Columbia that had not, let's used the word, signed on prior
- 12 to the filings, correct?
- 13 A I believe that's the number. I could be off by a
- 14 couple of states, and a couple of territories, and what have
- 15 you. But it was roughly that number, yes.
- 16 Q Got it. And that brief would later become known as the
- 17 Ad Hoc Committee of Nonconsenting State Governments,
- 18 | correct?
- 19 A I believe that's the case, yes.
- 20 Q (indiscernible) pending, I recognize.
- 21 A I'm sorry, sir, I couldn't hear you.
- 22 Q I'll withdraw that comment. So, the Debtors entered
- 23 Chapter 11 with the idea that the settlement framework were
- 24 sufficiently developed and had substantial creditor support,
- as you put it in Paragraph 38 of your declarations. You

Page 158 1 say, it could have substantial creditor support. The -- the 2 Debtors might have to seek to compel dissenting creditors to 3 give up their claims against the Sacklers via the third-4 party release mechanism. 5 MR. KAMINETZKY?: An objection to the question. 6 I'm sorry, I have to object to the question. There was a 7 very long (indiscernible) to it --8 THE COURT: Right. I think -- I'm not sure you 9 have the right reference in Paragraph 38. But maybe if you 10 could rephrase the question, Mr. Goldman? 11 MR. KAMINETZKY?: Or I'm fine with the question 12 without the buildup. Just the --THE COURT: That's what I was getting to. 13 MR. GOLDMAN: Well, I'll try to shorten it. 14 15 BY MR. GOLDMAN: 16 The Debtors entered into the Chapter 11 case 17 acknowledging that it might be necessary if the settlement 18 framework were actually the framework for a plan, that they might have to force third-party releases on creditors like 19 20 the states of Connecticut, Oregon and Washington if they 21 didn't go along with the releases. 22 THE COURT: That was -- correct, is that correct, 23 Mr. Dubel? 24 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Sorry, Mr. Goldman, I 25 didn't understand that that was a question. I think the

1 Debtors understood that a prerequisite to getting a 2 settlement with -- at the time, it was \$3 billion or so --3 there would be a requirement by the Sacklers for, 4 effectively, you know, what I'll refer to as global peace. 5 And that would include releases by the Debtors and third-6 party releases. But how that was going to be implemented 7 was something that would ultimately have to be worked out and has been subsequently worked out through the mediation 8 9 process and the full support -- 95 percent-plus support of the various creditors for this plan of reorganization. 10 11 BY MR. GOLDMAN: 12 That was not the situation when the plan was originally filed, correct? 13 14 When a plan is filed in a situation like this, it's 15 always going to be the initial steps moving towards it. 16 And, again, it's called the framework for that particular 17 reason, because we wanted to use it as a framework to try and coalesce all of the various creditors towards a 18 resolution, which has resulted, you know, through, again, 19 20 the great efforts of our mediators and all of the parties 21 getting together and supporting this plan of reorganization. 22 Well, the initial plan was filed in March of 2021, 23 correct? 24 I'm sorry, say it -- the plan of reorganization? 25 Right, the initial one.

- 1 A Yes. I -- I -- March. I can't remember the exact date,
- 2 but I believe it was March 20th or something, 21st.
- 3 Q Right. And as of the filing of that plan, there were
- 4 still 24 states and the District of Columbia that did not
- 5 support the settlement framework that started out in the
- 6 months preceding the planning, correct?
- 7 MR. KAMINETZKY?: Your Honor, I'm sorry, I have to
- 8 object. Where like, he mentioned the settlement framework
- 9 and then he equated that to the plan that was followed in
- 10 March. Those are two vastly different things. I mean,
- 11 there was a world of difference between those two documents.
- 12 So, I'm not sure which he's asking about.
- MR. GOLDMAN: I'll rephrase it.
- 14 BY MR. GOLDMAN:
- 15 Q As of the filing of the plan, there were still 24
- 16 states and the District of Columbia that did not support the
- 17 plan, correct?
- 18 A I don't remember how many parties, how many states did
- 19 not support it as the filing of the plan. But, again,
- 20 having been through and doing Chapter 11 processes for, you
- 21 know, 35-plus years, it's not uncommon for the first plan to
- 22 be, again, a steppingstone to what ultimately would be sent
- 23 out for votes to creditors, and that initial plan was that
- 24 steppingstone.
- 25 Q Well, do you recall the nonconsenting state governments

Page 161 1 ad hoc committee opposing the initially filed plan in March 2 of 2021? 3 I believe there was a -- I don't know if the full group 4 did oppose it, but I -- somewhere in the range of 20-ish or 5 so states that were not supportive of the plan at the time 6 when it was originally filed. 7 So, even -- even with that number of states as holdouts, did the special committee still consider there to 8 9 be substantial creditor support to the plan? 10 As I -- as I referenced earlier, Mr. Goldman, this was, again, one of the major steps moving forward. Did we 11 believe the value that would be achieved from the Sacklers 12 13 in the settlement of giving up the company, giving up Purdue 14 to the creditors, getting out of the opioid business, 15 putting \$4.275 billion into the estate and settlement of all 16 of the potential claims that the estate would have -- did we 17 believe that was a fair and equitable settlement? Yes, we did. 18 Well, that wasn't my question. My question was did the 19 20 special committee believe that with 24 states and the 21 District of Columbia not supporting the plan, did they 22 nevertheless think there was substantial creditor support 23 for that first plan? 24 We believed that there was substantial support to bring

the plan forward and continue the process of the Chapter 11

and seeking support. We knew there were going to be, you know, additional discussions with the various parties to bring additional creditors on board prior to the voting on the plan. And that's not uncommon in Chapter 11 processes. But we believed at the time that it was a fair and equitable way to bring value into the company, to be able to use that to distribute it to the various different creditor groups that could then be used for all the various efforts to pay off the creditors and provide, you know, all of the benefits of a public health corporation that was being proposed in the plan. All right. In Paragraph 38, you describe the Phase 2 mediation. If I can direct your attention to that paragraph. And you describe it as mediating "the estate causes of action and any potential claims or causes of action owned by any of the nonfederal public claimants against or that might otherwise become a subject of (indiscernible) for members of the Sackler Families." And (indiscernible) consider it important, that important, because any potential settlement of the claims against the Sackler Families that would be part of a plan had to have substantial creditor support. So, focusing on that language, and correct me if I'm wrong, but the claims that the dissenting states have here against the Sacklers are not being settled; they're being

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 extinguished under the plan over their objections. 2 that correct, if the plan is confirmed? 3 If the plan is confirmed, there will be releases, both state releases and what I'll refer to as third-party 4 5 releases, and the benefit of getting those -- in exchange 6 for getting those releases, the estate is getting 7 approximately, well, now, with the seventh amendment plan, we're getting \$4.325 billion, which will (indiscernible) the 8 9 benefit of all of the creditors, including those creditors 10 who are having their third-party releases extinguished. 11 My question was, it's not a settlement; it's a forced release, correct? 12 13 We believe this is a fair and equitable settlement for 14 these claims --15 THE COURT: No, Mr. Dubel, you should -- you 16 should just answer the question. I mean, I think -- I think 17 you said earlier that as to those who are not consenting, 18 part of the overall settlement is forcing the settlement on 19 them, right? 20 THE WITNESS: There -- those that are nonconsenting are being asked to have -- or being forced to 21 22 have their third party claims extinguished. 23 THE COURT: Right. 24 BY MR. GOLDMAN: 25 Let me turn your attention to Paragraph 49 of the

declaration. You say there that the special committee gave careful consideration to third parties' potential claims against the Sackler Families. Now, did that include reviewing the defense presentations that you say you received from the Side A and Side B Sackler Families in Paragraph 33? I'm sorry, Mr. Goldman, could you repeat the question? I'm not sure I understood the connection between the two. Well, you say in Paragraph 48, you say, the special committee gave careful consideration to "third parties' potential claims against the Sackler Families." Yes. And my question is did that include the defense presentations that you say you received from the Side A and Side B families in Paragraph 33 of your declaration? Okay, I'm sorry, I didn't -- now I understand your question, sir. The defense presentations that were given to us many months earlier were just part and parcel of the things that we received and reviewed. I would -- I would say it was pretty obvious to us that those presentations given by the Sackler Family advisers were very one-sided, and we gave it, you know, the weight that it deserved, which, in my opinion, was it was a very one-sided presentation. We had a tremendous amount of analysis done by the advisors to the special committee, both legal and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- other advisors. We heard from the UCC as part of it. So, I
- 2 would say the weight that was given to that was nominal.
- 3 Q I didn't -- I didn't ask you about the weight. All I
- 4 asked you about is whether that careful consideration
- 5 included reviewing those defense presentations. That was
- 6 the question. Is the answer yes?
- 7 A It was -- it was included, as I explained earlier in
- 8 terms of what we looked at, yes.
- 9 Q Okay. And in Paragraph 33, you characterize those
- 10 presentations as "regarding their defenses to primary
- 11 liability and estate claims." Do you see that?
- 12 A I do.
- 13 Q So, by primary liability, do you mean their potential
- 14 liability for the claims of third-party creditors like the
- 15 states of Connecticut or the other dissenting states here?
- 16 A I believe that's what they were trying to get across in
- 17 their presentations.
- 18 Q Okay. Did you or the special committee invite any
- 19 presentations regarding the Sacklers' primary liability from
- 20 any of the states?
- 21 A We did not invite any presentations on that in
- 22 particular. What we did is we made ourselves available to
- anyone who wanted to make presentations to us so that we
- 24 could hear, you know, views, if people wanted to express
- 25 | their views. Any time -- in my experience, any time you do

litigation work or involved in litigation, it's good not only to have your own side give the understanding of what their views should be, but to hear from the others so that you could get a rounded view. So, we made ourselves available, and only two groups chose to seek -- you know, reach out to us to see if they could make presentations. The Sackler Families was one and the UCC was the other. Did the special committee, after receiving the defense presentations from the Sacklers, reach out to any of the states for a presentation that they might want to give? That's the question. I know our attorneys were in constant communication with all of the various parties as part of the negotiations, and the special committee made itself available if anybody wanted to give presentations. We -- we heard through our advisors all of the various items that were brought before both the mediators that were shared with us, but, you know, nobody else sought to make a presentation to the committee on any of the issues other than the Sacklers and the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors. So -- so, you're not aware, or are you aware of anyone from the special committee or your attorneys (indiscernible) to any of the states to provide a presentation, a competing presentation to that given by the Sacklers? Well, as I said, I'm not -- I'm not aware if we reached

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 167 out to each of the states and asked them for a presentation. But it was made -- the question was made clear to the various parties that if they wanted to reach out to us, they would -- we would be more than happy to listen to them. How was that made clear? That counsel -- my understanding is that counsel had spoken to all the various parties and explained to them what had happened with the various -- different presentations, and we would be available. Had spoken directly to the states, you say? I don't know the --THE COURT: I think we're covering over the same point again and again, so let's move on from this. MR. GOLDMAN: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: I have not known any of these states to be shy. BY MR. GOLDMAN: As part of its careful consideration of third-party potential claims, did the special committee review any of the complaints of the dissenting states that name the Sacklers as defendants? The advisors to the special committee reviewed most of those -- or maybe not most of them but many of the complaints, and used that to inform us of the various

different, you know, complaints that were being brought by

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- the various third parties as part and parcel of the work
  that was being done when we were looking at the estate
- 3 claims, also.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Do you know if that's anywhere in the minutes that you cited in your declaration?
- A I -- I -- as I sit here, I don't -- I can't, you know,
  pull them up or anything. I don't...
- Q Do you recall receiving any sort of presentation from anyone about the merits or lack of merits of any of those complaints?
  - A I recall getting presentations from our legal advisors on the various issues related to the estate claims, and also the third party claims, and assessing the various pluses and minuses on the estate claims and some analysis in relation to the third party claims. And, as I said earlier, the determination was that the 4.275 billion that we would receive, which had a precondition that broad releases would go to the Sackler Families and Entities were part of that -- that it was still the settlement that is incorporated in the plan would be fair and equitable to all the creditors, because those creditors would ultimately receive the benefit of that cash -- those creditors who were having their third party releases -- or third party claims released.
  - Q Well, I noticed you weren't shy about citing the defense presentation exhibits in your declaration. Why

wasn't this presentation that you say was given to you on the states' complaints cited in your declaration, and included as part of the careful consideration you say you gave to third-party potential claims?

A We had over the period of, you know, two years, many

presentations from our advisors, legal and financial advisors. Some of them were oral presentations, some of them were not. We -- we found it to be very helpful to have that. The one key thing for us that we were looking at is -- there's certain information that we did not want to put out in the public domain, because -- advice, that is, from counsel -- because we are still not certain that this plan will be confirmed. Hopefully, it will be. And we also don't have the full certainty that the payments will be made over the next nine years.

And with that in mind, if there is ever going to be further litigation against the Sacklers because of either this plan does not get confirmed and we have to get a different route, or a default on those payments, the one thing we don't want to do is be sharing our internal thoughts and presentations from counsel that could be helpful to the other side in guessing how we looked at things. And so that's why we are not sharing that level of information, legal advice and other.

Q Well, the parties in this case were certainly not

1 hesitant about designating things confidential and for 2 professional eyes only. Why -- why couldn't that be done 3 here with the presentation you say was given on state complaints? 4 5 For the same reason I just -- I just mentioned. We did 6 not feel it's in the best interest of the estates and, 7 ultimately, all the Creditors to have this information out in any way, shape or form. 8 9 But it was okay to do that for the -- for the Side A 10 and Side B defense presentations? 11 Well, that information -- as I understand, almost all 12 of that information is out in the public domain anyway 13 through various presentations that the Sacklers have -- have 14 put out on either the websites or other things. And, again, 15 that's their information. They can do whatever they want 16 with it, if they wanted to make it public. 17 For us, the internal information that we thought was necessary to safeguard, it was important that we 18 safeguard it. 19 20 I don't understand what confidential information you 21 could be guarding with a presentation on the merits or lack 22 of merits of the state court complaints. 23 THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. Goldman, let me make 24 sure I understand. Are you talking about filing this on the 25 docket?

Page 171 1 MR. GOLDMAN: No, not necessarily filing it on the 2 docket, Your Honor, but as an exhibit, as was done with the 3 defense presentations. THE COURT: Well, that would be --4 5 MR. GOLDMAN: It certainly --6 THE COURT: That would be an exhibit as part of 7 the -- the trial record, right? 8 MR. GOLDMAN: Pardon me? 9 THE COURT: Wouldn't that be an exhibit as part of 10 the trial record, if you would be referring to it? 11 MR. GOLDMAN: Yes. 12 THE COURT: All right. So, did you read my opinion 13 from a couple days ago on this very issue? 14 MR. GOLDMAN: Yes. 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 MR. GOLDMAN: I thought you'd unsealed --17 THE COURT: I unsealed it. 18 MR. GOLDMAN: Right. THE COURT: So, do you still want to ask about the 19 20 record as opposed to something else? 21 MR. GOLDMAN: I'll move on, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Okay. BY MR. GOLDMAN: 23 24 At this point, I'd like to ask the witness to look at my first exhibit, which is a summary. And before I do that 25

Page 172 1 2 CLERK: Hold on. It's this document? In -- it's 3 something that --THE COURT: I want to make sure we have a copy of 4 5 it, Mr. Goldman, too. How is it designated when it was sent 6 to chambers? 7 MR. GOLDMAN: It was in an envelope, Your Honor, with the three exhibits that I intended to introduce, and it 8 9 is a summary. It's in one -- they're in one envelope, Your 10 Honor. 11 THE COURT: Do we have that? I don't think we -was it hand-delivered to chambers? 12 13 MR. GOLDMAN: It was Federal Expressed. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Michael, can you check upstairs 15 and see if they have it? 16 Is it just -- is it an exhibit that's in the 17 notebook? MR. GOLDMAN: It is not, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay, so you need to check that. 19 20 Okay. 21 MR. KAMINETZKY: -- Goldman. I have three, what 22 appear to be, documents that came out of the sealed envelope, but I don't see them being marked as any exhibit 23 24 or anything so if you -- are going to ask if you could point 25 -- make sure I'm looking at the proper document.

Page 173 1 MR. GOLDMAN: We need to wait for the judge --2 THE COURT: We have to wait -- Mr. Goldman, it 3 might -- I don't know how long they are but maybe you could 4 someone in your office email them? 5 MR. GOLDMAN: I could do that, Your Honor, yes. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Then we could print them out. 7 MR. GOLDMAN: Your Honor, I've asked for that to 8 I don't know how quickly that's going to occur, be done. 9 however. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Well, the clerk's office is 11 just next door, so if they have the delivery, they'll --12 I'll soon have this document. 13 MR. GOLDMAN: Judge? 14 THE COURT: I'm sorry. He said that there was no 15 Is that he said? The clerks are saying there's no 16 FedEx package in the -- sent. So -- I don't know how long 17 this document is, if it could be just described orally? 18 MR. GOLDMAN: It's a summary --THE COURT: A summary. 19 20 MR. GOLDMAN: It's a summary of the complaints 21 that --22 THE COURT: Well, we have the complaints. I've 23 read the complaints. We have our own summary of the 24 complaints. 25 MR. GOLDMAN: Oh, I thought it would be helpful to

the Court if they -- we had a summary of just certain excerpts that contain complaints that had claims specifically against the Sacklers.

THE COURT: All right. I have the complaints so you can go ahead. I mean, I -- assuming it's an accurate summary, but we -- those a matter of public record. I can take judicial notice of them. In fact, I've reviewed them.

MAN 1: Your Honor, I guess you're said everything I was going to say. It's -- when it purports to do is summarize in like a few sentences the complaint. So it is what it -- I mean, you have the complaint. The complaints are public. They -- I mean, I confess. I didn't think it was worth our time to try to edit whether this is an accurate two- or three-sentence summary because it is what it is. (indiscernible) problem but --

THE COURT: Okay. So you go ahead, Mr. Goldman.

MR. GOLDMAN: Okay. I just thought it would be helpful to the Court to have a summary of that but that's fine.

THE COURT: Okay.

BY MR. GOLDMAN:

Q Are you aware of what the standard is the states of Connecticut or Maryland for hoping an individual liable for a company's deceptive or unfair acts under those states' unfair and trade practices acts?

1 Mr. Goldman, I'm not a lawyer, so you're asking me what 2 I think what is more of a legal question and I don't feel comfortable answering a question when I'm not a lawyer. 3 Are you aware that under Connecticut law an individual 4 5 can be held liable for a company's unfair and deceptive acts 6 if the individual participated directly in the acts or had 7 the authority to control the acts? Are you aware of that 8 concept? 9 MAN 1: I'm going to object that this calls for a 10 legal conclusion and I think Mr. Dubel's (indiscernible) --11 THE COURT: So if you know based on your own 12 knowledge, you can answer that, but if it -- if you're 13 speculating or if you're doing it based on what you think 14 your lawyers have told you, then you should not answer that 15 question. 16 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I would not speculate 17 and I will say whatever knowledge I have as it relates to 18 the third-party claims has come from discussion with 19 counsel. I am not a lawyer so I'm not familiar with all of 20 these acts and --21 THE COURT: By the way, Mr. Goldman, we were able 22 to find it so we have it now. 23 MR. GOLDMAN: Okay. Sorry for that delay, Your 24 Honor. 25 THE COURT: That's fine.

Pg 176 of 309 Page 176 1 BY MR. GOLDMAN: 2 Did -- well, I mean, did the special committee give any consideration to the particular unfair trade practices laws 3 of the various states in considering the potential third-4 5 party claims? 6 I think as I testified earlier, the advisors -- legal 7 advisors to the special committee reviewed a lot of this 8 information with us and we reviewed it connection with the causes of action that the debtors would have against the 9 10 Sacklers -- both families and entities. 11 You are aware of the civil settlement agreement between 12 the United States government and the Sacklers? Are you? 13 I am aware that there was an agreement with the DOJ --Α 14 U.S. government -- and the Sacklers, yes. 15 MR. GOLDMAN: I'll ask that the second exhibit be 16 opened and presented to the witness. 17 MR. KAMINETZKY: Mr. Goldman, is that the thing that's entitled Exhibit C and it's 66 pages long? 18 19 MR. GOLDMAN: No, this was -- this is Document ID 20 1834. 21 MR. KAMINETZKY: I'm sorry. The next one. 22 THE COURT: Well, if you just state the name of 23 the agreement. 24 MR. GOLDMAN: It's settlement agreement

(indiscernible). Settlement agreement between United States

Page 177 1 Government and certain of the named Sacklers. 2 THE COURT: Okay. And this is already an exhibit, 3 right? I mean, it's also attached to an order of mine or an 4 exhibit to an order on mine so this is already in evidence. 5 MR. GOLDMAN: Yes, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: So do you have that settlement 7 agreement in front of you? 8 MR. KAMINETZKY: I believe I do. Let just 9 confirm. It's called settlement agreement. It starts 18 of 10 90 and goes through --11 THE COURT: Correct. MR. KAMINETZKY: -- I think page 87 of 90? 12 13 THE COURT: That's the one --14 MR. KAMINETZKY: (indiscernible) Goldman? 15 MR. GOLDMAN: Yes, sir. 16 MR. KAMINETZKY: Thank you. 17 BY MR. GOLDMAN: 18 Turn to the addendum of that which is page 42 of 90. 4-2?19 20 Q 42 of 90, yes. 21 Α Thank you. 22 Now this is -- first of all, this settlement agreement 23 was entered into after at least four years of Purdue and its 24 affiliates being under investigation by the federal 25 government. Is that correct?

- 1 A I don't know the time frame in which this -- you know,
- 2 the government's pursuit was. I'm not familiar with that.
- 3 Q But according to the debtor's memorandum of law in
- 4 support in confirmation -- have you reviewed that?
- 5 A I have not, sir.
- 6 Q I just represent to you on page 9 that they represent
- 7 that the debtors have been under investigation by multiple
- 8 components of the United States Department of Justice since
- 9 at least June of 2016. So that is in the record. But --
- 10 A I'm sorry, sir. Is that a document I can see?
- 11 Q The debtor's memorandum?
- 12 A But you're making reference to it. I --
- 13 THE COURT: Well, look, I -- rather that ask the
- 14 witness whether he agrees with it -- the debtors have put it
- 15 | in their brief so let's just take it at that if it's in the
- 16 brief.
- 17 MR. GOLDMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
- 18 BY MR. GOLDMAN:
- 19 Q So getting to the addendum, did the special committee
- 20 consider what the United States government found in
- 21 paragraph 4, that from at least 2013 to --
- MR. JOSEPH: Objection, Your Honor. These are
- 23 (indiscernible) that are -- Gregory Joseph at side B. These
- 24 allegations are specifically denied in recital G on about
- 25 page 2 of that agreement. They're inadmissible and they're

Page 179 1 not findings. They're allegations which we vehemently dispute. 2 They're not evidential under rule 408. THE COURT: All right. But --3 MR. GOLDMAN: Your Honor --4 5 THE COURT: -- I don't think the question assumed 6 that they were admissions or true. I think -- correct me if 7 I'm wrong, Mr. Goldman -- you were asking whether they were 8 considered, right? Just --9 MR. JOSEPH: He was asking whether --THE COURT: -- just as Mr. Dubel considered the 10 11 side A and side B presentations. Not whether he viewed them 12 to be true or not. Was that your question, Mr. Goldman? 13 MR. GOLDMAN: I asked him it was -- he consider it -- yes, Your Honor -- but I do dispute the idea that this is 14 15 not admissible for the truth as a finding. It's a public 16 record. It's what the -- our view is what the United States 17 found but that Purdue denied. 18 MR. JOSEPH: This is not an agreement with Purdue. 19 This is --20 MR. GOLDMAN: (indiscernible) 21 MR. JOSEPH: -- and agreement with the Sacklers. 22 It doesn't say these are findings. Is says these are 23 allegations of covered conduct, all of which are expressly 24 denied. They are not findings. They're not under rule 25 8038. They're not admissible under rule 8038.

1 MR. GOLDMAN: I would dispute that.

BY MR. GOLDMAN:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But in any event, I asked the witness if he considered the allegation of the United States as set forth in paragraph 4, that from at least 2013 to 2018 Purdue developed an aggressive marketing program that focused on Purdue sending over 100,000 doctors and nurse practitioners nationwide each year, including thousands of prescribers that (indiscernible) Sacklers knew or should have known were prescribing opioids that were not always medically accepted to indicate them or sometimes unsafe, ineffective, and medically unnecessary and what was sometimes diverted to uses that lack a legitimate purpose. Was considered as part of evaluating the potential third-party claims? Mr. Goldman, I have never seen this document you're reading from and so you're asking me to comment on a very specific set of, I guess, allegation that are included in here. What I would say is, the special committee, through its legal advisors, did hear about the settlement with the DOJ and it was part and parcel of all of the various different information that we took into consideration in determining whether (indiscernible) \$4.27 billion settlement was fair and equitable for all the settlement of the debtor's estate -- claims and for the benefit of all of our creditors.

Page 181 THE COURT: Can I ask when -- Mr. Dubel, when you say the settlement, you mean the settlement between the DOJ and the Sacklers as opposed to between the DOJ and the debtors? You just said the Sacklers. THE WITNESS: No, Your Honor, I was -- I think I was referring to the 4.25 settlement that's incorporated in the plan. I'm not -- we heard from our legal advisors about the settlement with the DOJ and the Sacklers. Yes, we did hear about that. THE COURT: That was my question. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Okay. BY MR. GOLDMAN: But you didn't specifically review the actual settlement and the addendum. Is that what you're saying? I have not seen this document settlement agreement, no, sir, nor have I seen all of the 960,000 documents that our lawyers reviewed in their analysis that they presented to us. So it obviously couldn't have been considered by you if you are seeing it for the first time today? I don't agree with that statement, Mr. Goldman. Were you saying you got a -- some sort of debriefing on this, the specific allegations? I think I testified just a few minutes ago that we

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

received legal advice from counsel as it related to the settlement between the Sacklers and the DOJ. I did not look at this particular document as part of that, but it was definitely something that we got legal advice on and was considered as part of our overall work towards determining whether this \$4.275 billion settlement with the Sacklers an account of the debtor's estate claims was fair and equitable, and we believed that that \$4.275 billion in the statement is fair and equitable. Was there some indication to disbelieve and allegation like that or not credit it in terms of evaluating the thirdparty potential claims? MR. KAMINETZKY: Objection. Foundation. MR. GOLDMAN: Well, he said he received --THE COURT: Well, no. I think -- I mean, -- I think -- did you understand the question, Mr. Dubel? I don't think that you need a foundation for that. We're talking about approving the settlement and what was taken into account in doing so. So I don't know, Mr. Goldman, if you want to say it again so it's fresh in his mind, but --MR. GOLDMAN: Yeah. I will try, Your Honor. BY MR. GOLDMAN: Was there -- you're saying you received advice or information. Was there some communication that indicated that the special committee should not believe or discredit

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 183 1 the allegation in this paragraph and others in this 2 addendum, that it was not worthy of belief? 3 Α Sir, I --MR. KAMINETZKY: (indiscernible) interrupt. 4 5 Dubel, I'm going to have to object because that calls for 6 privileged information. He's asking for the content of 7 advice from legal counsel. 8 THE COURT: Do you have a response to that, Mr. 9 Goldman? 10 MR. GOLDMAN: I'm sorry, Your Honor? 11 THE COURT: Do you have a response to that 12 objection? 13 MR. GOLDMAN: I'm saying he opened the door by testifying that he received information from counsel and he 14 15 can't now use the attorney/client privilege to -- invoke it 16 to refuse the answer a question about whether they were told 17 not to credit it. I think it's a legitimate question that 18 he opened the door to. 19 MR. EDMUNDS: Your Honor, if I may, I would --20 Brian Edmunds -- and I would join Connecticut's statement. 21 MR. GOLDMAN: Again, Your Honor, the facts given 22 is one thing. The content of the advice is another so I 23 don't see what he said as opening the door to the contents 24 of the advice. 25 THE COURT: Well, let me ask you -- let me ask it

first in this way. Was there a discussion of the merits of the state's claim such as for public nuisance or consumer -- unfair trade practices with counsel?

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, you're asking I think two specific sections of the law or claims. We did get advice from counsel about the variety of state claims that were put forward and we incorporated that in our thought process. But specifically, which statutes or whatever -- I -- as I'm sitting here right now, I don't remember exactly everything that was, you know, discussed.

THE COURT: Okay. And was there a qualitative presentation as to those merits by counsel, like, you know, this one is has a good chance of succeeding? This one has a very poor chance of succeeding? This is novel theory? This is a well-tried theory, et cetera?

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I don't believe it was done that way. It was more incorporated into an overall picture of the analysis of the estate claims -- estate meaning debtor estate not states but debtor estate claim -- and the third-party release issues.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So I think that's a much of an answer as I'm going to let him give you, Mr. Goldman.

MR. GOLDMAN: Okay, Your Honor. I'll move on.
BY MR. GOLDMAN:

1 You're aware that -- of Purdue's guilty plea that was 2 the subject of a motion that was also filed in October of 2020 to (indiscernible) as well as a civil settlement 3 4 agreement between DOJ and Purdue? You're aware of that, 5 sir? 6 There is a guilty plea that I believe is subject to 7 finalization. The exact entity -- when you say Purdue, there are many entities -- the exact entity that that's 8 9 taking that plea, I'm not -- I don't recall the name of it 10 right off the top of my head. 11 Was there any reevaluation of the potential third-party 12 claims after that guilty plea was made public in October of 2020? 13 14 I'm sorry, sir. You cut out a little bit in the 15 beginning of your question. Could you --16 Was there any reevaluation of the estate's claims and 17 other third-party potential claims after that guilty plea 18 and the civil settlement agreement with the government when I was made public in October of 2020? 19 20 We had a constant flow of discussions and information, 21 advice from, you know -- our legal advisors as to any 22 variety of issues all along the timeline from formation of 23 the committee until and including through -- you know, more 24 recently, the last couple of days as to things but mainly, you know, through the time in which we approved the \$4.275 25

billion settlement. So if you're asked me what and when and where we looked at anything specifically versus anything else, I can't give you, you know, that information right off the top of my head. But I would think an event like a guilty plea and a civil settlement agreement that is of the type of was attached to the motion to approve it would be something that may have precipitated a reevaluation of the claims. than telling me generically that you reviewed them constantly, that wasn't an event that precipitated a further review? Is that what you are saying? No. What I'm saying is, there was a constant review of various issues that came up through the bankruptcy process as we were gathering more information from our legal advisors -- where that information came from, it wasn't -you know, it didn't really matter. As further information was developed by our legal advisors, it was presented to us. And so we got constant updates and flows of information based on what was taking place in the case and directly related to the case throughout this whole time frame, all prior to our agreement to settle the claims for the \$4.275 billion -- the estate claims -- and for the benefit of all of the creditors. Okay. So you don't recall sitting down specifically in response to this and reevaluating the potential claims,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 specifically, in response to this guilty plea and the civil
- 2 | settlement (indiscernible)? Is that what you're saying?
- 3 A That's not what I'm saying. I'm saying we got
- 4 information flow throughout the process.
- 5 Q Okay. And is -- if you can turn to the addendum A of
- 6 the civil settlement agreement with the government which is
- 7 the first document that you have looked at as a 66-page
- 8 document --
- 9 A This is the document referenced in Exhibit C?
- 10 Q Yes, sir.
- 11 A I'm not -- this is not the first document I've looked
- 12 at. I'm sorry, sir, but if you would have asked about it
- 13 before.
- 14 Q And if you'll note on page 25 of 66, that also has an
- 15 addendum (indiscernible). Have you seen that document
- 16 before?
- 17 A I don't think I have, sir, no.
- 18 Q Now in terms of how a particular sovereign state might
- 19 value its own direct claims against the Sacklers, wouldn't
- 20 you agree that bringing the Sacklers to justice through the
- 21 adversarial process however that might turn out is a
- 22 legitimate consideration?
- 23 A Sir, I think you're asking me a legal question.
- 24 Q I'm not.
- 25 A My view is what we were responsible for was determining

- the best way to get a fair and equitable settlement on
  behalf of the estate claims for the benefit of all of the
- 3 creditors.
- 4 Q I understand that's what you view it as, but my
- 5 question is not directed to that. I'm asking you if you
- 6 agree that (indiscernible) consideration of a sovereign
- 7 state in valuing its direct claims that bringing the
- 8 Sacklers to justice through the adversarial system --
- 9 A I'm sorry, Mr. Goldman, there's a lot of background
- 10 noise that's making it difficult to hear you. Could you
- 11 start again, please?
- 12 Q In terms of how a particular sovereign state might
- 13 value its own direct claims against the Sacklers, wouldn't
- 14 you agree that bringing the Sacklers to justice through the
- 15 adversarial process, however that might turn out, is a
- 16 legitimate consideration?
- 17 A I think you're asking me what a sovereign state would
- 18 do. I am not a sovereign state so I can't answer that
- 19 question.
- 20 Q You wouldn't -- you would think that that is not a
- 21 | legitimate consideration or -- I think it's an answerable
- 22 question.
- 23 A Well, I think you're asking me what a sovereign would -
- 24 how it would view it and I'm not a sovereign state. I'm
- 25 not a member of a --

1 I'm not asking you to put yourself in the shoes of 2 a sovereign state. I'm asking you --3 Well, then could you please repeat the question Α because I believe that's what you were asking me and if --4 5 In terms of how they value their direct claims, 6 wouldn't you agree that it would be a legitimate 7 consideration to bring the Sacklers to justice through the adversarial process rather than through the Chapter 11 8 9 process? 10 Again, sir, I'm sorry to answer it this way, but I am 11 not a sovereign state. I am not a prosecutor for a 12 sovereign state so I don't believe it's -- I can't answer 13 that question. All I know is, the debtor's estate had the 14 opportunity to look at the various claims that the estate 15 could bring -- the debtor's estate could bring -- against 16 the Sackler family, the Sackler entities and that is, you 17 know, what we were looking at. 18 Well, you were also proposing to have those claims released and you are advocating that as an acceptable means 19 20 to resolve the state's direct claims, are you not? 21 That is the case, yes. 22 And my question is, isn't a reasonable view of a 23 creditor like a sovereign state to take to rather want their

claims resolved through the adversarial process so their

view of justice can be served?

24

Mr. Goldman, as I understand that there are objections to the plan that are -- I'm not going to say exactly that -what you're saying -- but are similar to that and those are objections. We have 95-plus percent support from all of our creditors and that was what we felt was very important. All of the states were included in the mediation efforts and with such overwhelming support, we believed the \$4.275 billion settlement is fair and equitable, and part and parcel of that settlement was a requirement to have, in essence, a -- the releases for the -- for -- that the Sacklers were requesting so we could get that money in the door and then distribute it to all of the creditors out there, including those parties that had third-party claims that were being released. But your view is that under those circumstances, it's appropriate to just wipe away the state's direct claims against the Sacklers even if they view justice being served better, in their view, by prosecuting the Sacklers? THE COURT: Well, when you say "prosecute," you don't mean -- again, this doesn't --MR. GOLDMAN: Not criminally. THE COURT: Not criminally. MR. GOLDMAN: Not criminally. THE COURT: Pursuing a civil claim against them. MR. GOLDMAN: Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 191 1 MR. KAMINETZKY: It was also asked and answered. 2 THE COURT: Right. I think this has all been 3 asked. At this point, we're covering old ground. 4 MR. GOLDMAN: Your Honor, I have no further 5 questions. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Does anyone else want to cross-7 examine Mr. Dubel? 8 MR. EDMUND: Your Honor, if I may. Brian Edmund, 9 State of Maryland. 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. EDMUND: 12 BY MR. EDMUND: 13 Mr. Dubel, could I refer you to Paragraph 33 on Page 13 14 of your declaration? 15 Mr. Edmunds, I think I heard you but there is a point 16 in time when you appear to moving away from the microphone 17 and you're cutting out so I apologize. But if you could --18 Sure. Let me just ---- pay attention to that. 19 20 Q I'm -- yes, I will. Just refer you -- if you could 21 take a look at Paragraph 33 on Page 13 extending into 14 of 22 your declaration. 23 I'm sorry. You cut out a little bit. I think 24 you're asking me to look at Paragraph 33 --25 THE COURT: He wants you to look at Paragraph 33

Page 192 1 of your declaration. 2 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. 3 MR. EDMUND: Thank you, Your Honor. Let me see if I can --4 5 BY MR. EDMUND: 6 And my question, Mr. Dubel, if you're ready, is just, 7 you refer, if you turn into Page 14, second sentence of the 8 paragraph. It's two -- it's the second line from the top on 9 Page 14. You refer to the fact that you and other members 10 of the special committee received an oral presentation from 11 the Debevoise law firm on behalf of the Mortimer Sackler 12 side, and -- (indiscernible) oral presentation? 13 I'm sorry. Say the last part again. 14 Did you receive an oral presentation from ?? & Plimpton 15 on behalf of the Mortimer Sackler family? 16 Yes, there was a presentation made by counsel for this 17 -- Mortimer Sackler family to the special committee, yes. 18 And did you also receive an oral presentation from Milbank and Joseph Hage Aaronson for the Raymond side of the 19 20 Sackler family? 21 Α Yes, sir. 22 Those were oral presentations (indiscernible). Is that 23 correct? 24 Yes, sir. 25 Were they at the same time or were they separate dates?

- 1 A No, they were at the same -- well, they were on the
- 2 same date in the same meeting, not obviously, at the same
- 3 time. But I can't remember who went first. One party went
- 4 first. The second party went next, but they were all part
- 5 and parcel at the same meeting, sir.
- 6 Q Fair enough. It would difficult for them to go at the
- 7 same --
- 8 A Yeah. I have heard people try and say the same -- you
- 9 know, have -- (indiscernible) things at the same time so --
- 10 but this was one meeting. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q And who from Debevoise -- which attorneys were there
- 12 presenting to you?
- 13 A There were many attorneys. I believe Jeffrey Rosen,
- 14 Mary Jo White. I don't remember all of the other attorneys.
- 15 I don't even remember how many but it was a crowded room,
- 16 sir.
- 17 Q Fair enough. And who from Milbank?
- 18 A I think it was Gerry Uzzi and I don't remember who
- 19 else.
- 20 Q Okay. And who from Joseph Hage Aaronson?
- 21 A I believe Greg Joseph was there and Maura -- gosh, I'm
- 22 forgetting her last name -- I believe was there at the same
- 23 meeting.
- 24 Q Is it Monaghan, by any chance?
- 25 A That could -- I -- yeah. I just don't -- yes, sir.

Pg 194 of 309

- 1 Oh, I'm sorry. You know, it may have been Mara
- 2 Leventhal.
- 3 Mara Leventhal, yes. Right. Yes, sir.
- Okay. And did you have other meetings with any of the 4
- 5 attorneys from those firms other than the one that is
- reflected here concerning the presentation? 6
- 7 Are you saying at any point in time or from this point
- forward? 8
- 9 Other than this time. At any point in time other than
- 10 this time related to your work as debtor's special
- 11 (indiscernible).
- 12 Well, not related to my work at the special committee
- 13 but as I discussed with the examiner, I did meet with
- 14 several of these attorneys prior to my appointment to the
- 15 board. But after my appointment to the board, I had no
- 16 further communications with them until this meeting when
- 17 they came in to make the presentation.
- 18 And did you have any subsequently?
- No, sir. 19
- 20 And what was the date of your appointment to the board
- 21 -- remind me?
- July 2nd of 2019, sir. 22
- 23 And when did you first come under consideration
- for (indiscernible) board, to the best of your knowledge? 24
- 25 It was either April or May of 2019.

Page 194

Page 195 1 Okay. Thank you. No further questions, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Does anyone else want to crossexamine Mr. Dubel? 3 MR. OZMENT: Your Honor, this is Frank Ozment. 4 I 5 have two very brief questions, if I may. 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 BY MR. OZMENT: Mr. Dubel, my name is Frank Ozment. I represent 8 9 Creighton Boyd, Charles Fitch, and Stacy Bridges. Did the 10 jurisdiction or the scope of responsibility for the special 11 committee extend not just to investigating third-party 12 claims against the Sacklers but also to whether to approve 13 the settlement agreement, in particular the criminal plea 14 agreement with the United States? 15 I -- just -- sorry. I'm just trying to recollect. 16 settlement agreement which is incorporated in the plan -- if 17 that's the -- the 4.275 agreement -- was part and parcel of 18 the responsibility of the special committee to approve. 19 don't remember whether the plea agreement with the U.S. 20 government for the Purdue entity I mention earlier -- I don't remember the exact name of -- was done at the special 21 22 committee or at the full board level. 23 Would you have expected to -- for that to occur at the 24 full board level, though? 25 AS I said, I don't remember whether it was done at the

- special committee or at the full board level.
- 2 Q Do you remember whether anybody at the special
- 3 committee had any inquiry or question regarding whether the
- 4 settlement, including the plea agreement, would provide
- 5 restitution directly to victims or -- or people who claim to
- 6 be victims -- of the crimes to which Purdue agreed to plead
- 7 | guilty? I'm not asking what those conversations. I'm just
- 8 asking you, do you recall whether that would have any
- 9 implications for liability for restitution of that group of
- 10 people.

- MR. KAMINETZKY: I'm going to object. It's beyond
- 12 the scope of his direct.
- 13 MR. OZMENT: Well, he was talking about his
- 14 criminal plea agreements and I'm just trying to get a handle
- on what they were discussing.
- 16 THE COURT: I think you can answer that question.
- 17 You -- Mr. Dubel does cover the DOJ settlement agreement and
- 18 point out its importance in the special committee's
- 19 thinking. So if you know the answer to that question you
- 20 | should answer it, Mr. Dubel.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm sorry,
- 22 Mr. Ozment. Could you just repeat the question because with
- 23 all of the colloquy back and forth I want to make sure I
- 24 answer your question.
- 25 MR. OZMENT: I understand and it probably wasn't a

1 very good question.

2 BY MR. OZMENT:

Q I guess what I'm asking is, did the special committee have any consideration regarding whether the criminal plea agreement, in particular, would have any bearing on the company's liability to persons who claim to be victims of the company's criminal misconduct?

A So I would say it this way. The -- as I mentioned earlier, the special committee in particular received a lot of advice every step of the process through this Chapter 11. It has included discussions about this particular -- you know, the issues you're talking about and it was all factored into whether or not we felt the filing of a plan of reorganization which would in essence take all of the value of Purdue along with the settlement with the Sacklers and turn it over to creditors for the benefit of all these creditors was fair and equitable, and we believed that is the case. So to the extent that there is a creditor who is -- a creditor of the estate, they would have their proportionate share of the value of this Chapter 11 reorganization process.

Q I think we're on the same page, but I'll try to ask a more pointed question to cut to the chase and that is whether the company was going to have to pay criminal restitution to any of the alleged victims of criminal

Page 198 1 misconduct really wasn't a deciding factor or something that 2 today stands out in your mind in consideration of whether to 3 approve the criminal plea agreement, was it? 4 I'm sorry. Could you repeat that question? I'm not 5 sure I totally understood it (indiscernible). Sorry. 6 Sure. What I'm trying to get to is, as you sit here 0 7 today, you don't recall whether the company's potential 8 liability for criminal restitution to victims of, you know, 9 the crimes to which the company pled guilty was really a 10 deciding factor in your analysis for whether to approve the 11 settlement agreement or plea agreement? 12 Well, as I said, earlier --13 MR. KAMINETZKY: I just don't understand the 14 question. Could --15 THE COURT: Well, do you understand the question, 16 Mr. Dubel? 17 MR. OZMENT: I'll (indiscernible) where it is. 18 You know, if nobody can understand it, I'll withdraw it. THE COURT: Well, I guess maybe the confusion is 19 20 what you mean by deciding factor. MR. OZMENT: I understand. That is -- you're 21 22 right. I will -- let me try to recast it one more time, 23 Your Honor, if I may. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. 25 MR. OZMENT: Thank you. Thank you for your

Pg 199 of 309 Page 199 1 patience. 2 BY MR. OZMENT: 3 I guess what I'm getting at is this. There were a lot of different factors that you had to analyze when you're 4 5 considering whether to approve a settlement agreement, 6 right? 7 Yes, sir. And as you sit here today, you don't recall, you know, 8 as one of those factors in this particular consideration, 9 10 will the company have to provide restitution to victims as 11 part of the settlement agreement -- the criminal plea 12 agreement. That's not something that looms large in your 13 memory today, is it? 14 That's not what I said, sir. Okay. Well, did it or does it? 15 16 I'm sorry. You cut out there for a second. 17 I said, is it something you remember having discussion 18 about in connection with approval of the settlement agreement? By the settlement agreement, I really mean to 19 20 include the criminal plea agreement. 21 MR. KAMINETZKY: That's where I think the 22 confusion is, Your Honor, that settlement agreement -- Mr. 23 Dubel, he's -- you're talking about the plan settlement 24 agreement and I think counsel is referring to something 25 And I think that's where the confusion is arising.

I'm sorry. I didn't mean to -- but I really -- that's why
we're (indiscernible) here.

THE COURT: So, Mr. Dubel, what Mr. Ozment is talking about is the DOJ settlement agreement/criminal plea agreement -- that agreement -- that settlement. And his question is, is the possibility of a restitution obligation to victims of the criminal conduct that that agreement pleas to -- was that possibility or that issue one of the issues that was considered when the board considered agreeing to the DOJ plea agreement?

I can answer is this, that to the extent that there were creditors who might have claims against the company that are being referenced by Mr. Ozment, that they would be part and parcel of the overall plan of reorganization process and part and parcel of the overall plan of reorganization process was getting to be able to turn over the value of Purdue -- the entity of Purdue -- along with the settlement from the Sacklers for the benefit of all creditors and that -- how that got divvied up was something that had been part of the phase one mediation and, I think, you know, ultimately, through the phase two mediation. So I'm not sure if that answers his question, but that -- I think I'm trying to answer -- I'm trying to answer his question. I

Page 201 1 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 2 MR. OZMENT: Nothing further, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. All right. Anyone else? 4 5 MR. HIGGINS: Yes, Your Honor. Ben Higgins for 6 the U.S. Trustee. May I proceed? 7 THE COURT: Sure. 8 BY MR. HIGGINS: 9 Good morning, Mr. Dubel. My name is Benjamin Higgins. 10 I represent the United States Trustee. Can you hear me 11 okay? I can. Your video's off a little bit but I can hear 12 13 you clearly, Mr. Higgins. 14 Okay. Thank you. You had a colloquy with Mr. Goldman a while back about the plan releases and whether parties 15 16 consent to those releases or not. Do you recall that 17 exchange? 18 I recall an exchange about that. I don't recall every specific piece of it, Mr. Higgins, but I do recall that we 19 20 talked about that. Yes, sir. Thank you. The plan provides for releases of the 21 22 Sacklers and other third parties regardless of whether the 23 parties giving those releases consent. Isn't that true? 24 THE COURT: Yes. 25 THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe that's true.

1 g 202 01 303

- 1 THE COURT: We've established that.
- 2 MR. HIGGINS: Thank you, Your Honor. Thank you,
- 3 Mr. Dubel. One other question, Mr. Dubel.
- 4 BY MR. HIGGINS:
- 5 Q You've testified regarding the settlement and how you
- 6 believe it's fair and equitable. According to the debtor's
- 7 disclosure statement, opioid victims are -- or certain
- 8 opioid victims will receive between \$3500 and \$48,000. Is
- 9 that correct?
- 10 A I'd have to go back and refresh my recollection by
- 11 looking at the document, sir. I don't recall as I sit here
- 12 right this second.
- 13 Q Okay. Did the special committee take in consideration
- 14 the amount that is -- people would be receiving when you
- 15 determined whether or not it would be a fair and equitable
- 16 settlement?
- 17 A We took into account the magnitude of the claims that
- 18 were filed. We took into account the mediation that was
- 19 done -- the phase one mediation -- and the phase two
- 20 mediation to determine if the overall settlement and the
- 21 | plan that was going to be put forth, which included the full
- value of the estate's -- the money coming in from the
- 23 | Sacklers -- was fair and equitable, knowing full well that
- 24 it would be distributed to all of the creditors of the
- 25 estate.

Page 202

Page 203 And so did you consider that \$3500 amount or 3500 to 1 2 \$48,000 range? 3 I don't recall that we looked at it as that particular 4 range. We looked at it -- you know, there were many 5 creditors here. I want to say there's 600,000 creditors. 6 And most of these issues were mediated with all the parties 7 sitting at the table and so we took into consideration the support through the mediation process of these parties and 8 9 the good efforts of our two mediators, Mr. Feinberg and the 10 Honorable Judge Lane Phillips. 11 But did the special committee -- did you consider the 12 amount of money that opioid victims --13 THE COURT: Mr. Higgins, you're repeating yourself. 14 15 MR. HIGGINS: Okay. No further questions, Your 16 Honor. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else want to question Mr. Dubel 18 MR. UNDERWOOD: Your Honor, if there's no one 19 20 else, I would like to briefly question Mr. Dubel. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MR. UNDERWOOD: This is Allen Underwood on behalf 23 of the committee, the municipal credit committee of 24 creditors. 25 MR. DUBEL: I'm sorry. Mr. Underwood, you cut

Pg 204 of 309 Page 204 1 out. Could you please state that again? 2 MR. UNDERWOOD: Yes, certainly, Mr. Dubel. My name is Allen Underwood from the firm of Lite DePalma 3 4 Greenberg Afanador representing certain Canadian 5 Municipality Creditors and also represent certain Canadian 6 First Nation Creditors. 7 MR. DUBEL: Thank you, sir. MR. UNDERWOOD: Thank you. And first of all, I 8 9 just want to make clear, Mr. Dubel, that I have an 10 understanding of the difficulty of your tasks with regard to 11 your role and relationship to the Debtors here. 12 BY MR. UNDERWOOD: 13 My first question to you is, do you have a -- what 14 would be a working understanding of the class structure 15 contained in the proposed plan? 16 I have general -- I haven't reviewed it in a while, but 17 general understanding. I'd have to refresh my recollection 18 if you're going to ask me about specific classes. Okay. Did the special committee weigh in as to the 19 20 manner in which the classes were originally structured when 21 the plan was original drafted and circulated? 22 I --Α 23 MR. KAMINETZKY: Your Honor, I'm going to object

that this is beyond the scope of his direct. I mean, you

can't find anything on this topic in his -- as direct

24

19-23649-shl Doc 3564 Filed 08/16/21 Entered 08/16/21 10:08:21 Main Document Pg 205 of 309 Page 205 1 testimony. 2 THE COURT: Right. I think that's right, Mr. Underwood. Mr. Dubel doesn't really talk about 3 classification. He covers four topics. 4 5 MR. UNDERWOOD: I'll withdraw the question. 6 THE COURT: Okay. They don't include that topic. 7 MR. UNDERWOOD: Understood. 8 BY MR. UNDERWOOD: 9 So Mr. Dubel, Mr. Goldman just asked you, did the 10 special committee reach out to the various states with

So Mr. Dubel, Mr. Goldman just asked you, did the special committee reach out to the various states with regard to the -- I gather the settlement process that's been ongoing. And I believe that you answered -- I don't want to put words in your mouth, but I believe that you answered the special committee did not itself affirmatively reach out to the states. The question that I ask you is the same question, but with reference to different parties. And in that circumstance, what I am asking you is did the special committee itself reach out to any Canadian cities, municipalities, or provinces with regard to a resolution of claims?

A I believe I answered that before that we did not -- the special committee did not reach out directly. We were avail- -- made ourselves available to anyone who wanted to speak with us, and that was the two parties that I referenced before, the Sacklers and the Official Committee

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- of Unsecured Creditors. But our advisors, I believe, did
- 2 make it clear that we would be available to speak to anyone
- 3 who wanted to talk to us because we felt that was a fair and
- 4 appropriate thing to do, but only two parties availed
- 5 themselves of that.
- 6 Q The two insider parties?
- 7 A I'm not sure.
- 8 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, there's some people on.
- 9 Yeah, thank you.
- 10 A When you say insider parties, as I said earlier, it was
- 11 the Sackler family and the Official Committee of Unsecured
- 12 Creditors.
- 13 Q Okay. So that's, in effect, one insider. I apologize.
- 14 Now, Mr. Dubel, had you been made aware by legal counsel for
- 15 the Debtor in your capacity with the special committee of
- 16 any complaints that had been filed in Canada naming the
- 17 Debtor?
- 18 A I believe that we were made aware of that. I just
- 19 don't recall the timeframe. And as I said earlier, there
- 20 are, you know, over 600,000 claims filed against the estate.
- 21 Q And even to the extent you did discuss those -- a
- 22 | Canadian complaint with counsel, I'm going to refer you to
- 23 Paragraph 10 Page 4 of your declaration.
- 24 A I'm sorry, sir. Hold on one second, please.
- 25 Q No problem. Thank you.

1 The carryover paragraph on Page 4 you're saying, sir? 2 It actually starts -- I apologize -- on Page 3 3 and it references the special committee's amended role with your responsibility to oversee the Purdue affiliate 4 5 litigation. So with reference to this, which it puts the 6 amendment as stating, "No dividend distribution, affiliate 7 transaction or affiliation litigation shall take place 8 without approval of the special committee." 9 Was the approval of the special committee ever 10 sought with reference to any Canadian litigation? 11 Are you referring to litigation that Purdue would have 12 against an affiliate in Canada or are you referring to 13 something different, Mr. Underwood? I'm not sure I 14 understand. 15 That's a good distinction. I'm referring to two 16 things: one would be in the context of litigation that named 17 U.S. Purdue entity as a defendant in Canada. And the --18 THE COURT: That's not what this prefers to, so 19 that's not --20 MR. UNDERWOOD: So maybe the second part, Your 21 Honor. I apologize. 22 BY MR. UNDERWOOD: 23 The second part of the question then is, presumably if 24 these entities are separate and presumably and (sound 25 glitch) entities and the committee and Debtor.

presumably if the U.S. Debtor is sued in Canada with regard to actions that are taking place in Canada, based upon this definition of the responsibilities of the special committee, I would think that they would have to consider whether they needed to bring a separate claim against this separate Canadian entity for either indemnity or as co-defendant. Was that issue ever addressed by this special committee with regard to litigation since you took a role on the special committee? Mr. Underwood, I apologize. You cut out quite a bit through that. It was a long question and you cut out a lot and I want to make sure I can answer it properly. If you could just maybe break it into pieces, sir. Right, I understand. I think what the question is, is clearly the special committee has a role with regard to affiliate litigation and were there circumstances where the special committee discussed whether it be by cross-claim or a defense of claims that were brought against the U.S. Debtor in Canada. I don't recall specifically looking at claims against U.S. Debtor by Canadian affiliates or entities. That would have all been part and parcel of the overall settlement, sir. And when you say overall settlement, what settlement are you referring to?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 To the extent that they were affiliated entities but 2 not part of Purdue, then it would have been part and parcel of the settlement agreement with the Sacklers and the 3 Sackler entities. But I just don't recall specific 4 discussions about litigation with Canada. 5 6 All right. So there was an instance where the Debtors, 7 through their counsel, sought to bring a settlement before 8 this Court, and the document references on the docket, 9 Docket No. 1313; the date it was filed is June 30th, 2020. 10 And my understanding is that that was a settlement of 11 Canadian consumer claims with reference to the Debtor. 12 you have any recollection of that from that time period or 13 discussions before the special committee of those proposed 14 settlement? 15 Sir, is that a document that I could take a look at to 16 help refresh my recollection? 17 I apologize. I don't have the document handy other 18 than I have the docket entry opened in front of me. THE COURT: All right. How does this relate to 19 20 your objection, Mr. Underwood? Is this the settlement that 21 I approved on the 9th; is that what you're referring to? 22 MR. UNDERWOOD: No, Your Honor. This is -- so this is a Canadian settlement that was brought before this 23 24 Court that was, to my knowledge, never approved. 25 possible that Davis Polk may --

THE COURT: Okay. I was just trying to figure out what -- because Mr. Dubel doesn't have a copy. I wasn't sure what you were referring to either.

MR. UNDERWOOD: Right. If he doesn't have a copy and he doesn't recall it, I guess that's an answer.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: My answer is I don't recall it. If there was a document that I could look at to refresh my recollection if you're talking about something over a year ago, you know, it might be helpful to me to review it. I don't want to say we didn't because I said I don't recall.

MR. UNDERWOOD: Okay. I'll move on.

## BY MR. UNDERWOOD:

Q You reference, Paragraph 18 of your declaration, (sound glitch) special committee was operating under the premise that any proposed settlement is fair and equitable. And when you used that phrase fair and equitable, who is entitled to fair and equitable treatment in your view?

A Well, was the settlement fair and equitable for the Debtor and the Debtors estates, which ultimately would inure to the benefit of all of the various creditors of the Debtors' estate since in this particular situation the company was being turned into an entity for the benefit of its creditors and the settlement proceeds were going to be used for the benefit of the various creditors.

And how do you juxtapose that standard with your business judgment standard or your best interests of the corporation standard that I would think would normally apply in terms of a corporate board? Well, when you're saying my business my judgment as to whether or not this was a fair and equitable settlement? As I think I've testified earlier, we had a tremendous amount of work done under our direction by our advisors to look at whether or not the settlement was fair and equitable. So I think, you know, based upon all the work that was done by the special committee, that informed our business judgment as to whether it was fair and equitable. Okay. And in terms of the pending proposed plan, at present, it is my understanding that Canadian Municipal and at this point, Canadian Provincial Creditors are not receiving treatment under the NOAT or treatment as governmental or tribal claimants, do you believe that that is fair and equitable to the extent that the confirmed plan will be a contract between the Debtor and its creditors? MR. KAMINETZKY: Objection. Beyond the scope of his direct. I think that's right, Mr. Underwood. THE COURT: MR. UNDERWOOD: Your Honor, I disagree insofar as I do suggest it would be a -- the plan itself is, in effect, a settlement between the Debtor and its creditors; that's my

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

understanding it under basic bankruptcy law. And if the special committee is tasked with determining actions against affiliates to whether or not they're fair and equitable, I think it is a material question based upon the duties of the special committee as represented.

THE COURT: I'm not sure how this ties into actions against affiliates.

MR. UNDERWOOD: Well, I think it ties into the actions (indiscernible) affiliates, the fact that there is none, and that was a determination that was made by this committee.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. I don't under- -- maybe if you asked then what you -- who are you covering with the word affiliates.

MR. UNDERWOOD: Well, I'm talking about the Purdue Canada entities.

THE COURT: Purdue Canada. Look, there were expert witnesses that analyzed transfers to IACs; they testified already. I don't --

MR. UNDERWOOD: Well, I think, Judge, what I'm driving at is h ere under the notion that these are separate corporations. And we're not talking about the issue of financial -- I'm not talking about the issue of financial transfer between two separate entities or two even affiliate entities.

What I'm talking about is the legal decisions that were made here with regard to Purdue Canada insofar as there is seemingly a determination -- and maybe not, maybe this was never address and that's a fine answer too. But there was seemingly a legal determination not to pursue indemnity from Purdue Canada, but that's really the question, meaning there were actions in Canada. THE COURT: Okay. That, I guess -- see, that really wasn't clear from your official question. MR. UNDERWOOD: I apologize. THE COURT: All right. Kam Your Honor, you're on your own. I am so confused, I don't even know how to object. THE COURT: I'm kind of lost too. I think you have to lay a pretty heavy foundation for all of that, Mr. Underwood. I mean, Purdue Canada is in its own case, right, in Canada? MR. UNDERWOOD: That's correct. THE COURT: All right. MR. UNDERWOOD: And I'm not presupposing that --THE COURT: So your question is whether -- all right. Did the special committee consider pursuing any claims against Purdue Canada in Purdue Canada's, I guess is it a CCAA proceeding in Canada? That's my understanding or MR. UNDERWOOD:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 214 1 presumably before --2 THE COURT: Okay. Did the special committee consider pursuing any claims against the CCAA in Canada --3 4 against Purdue Canada and the CCAA proceeding? 5 THE WITNESS: So should I answer your 6 clarification, Your Honor? 7 THE COURT: Yes. 8 THE WITNESS: Okay. So to the extent that there 9 were any entities that are Sackler entities, which would be 10 IACs, et cetera, and to the extent that the entities that 11 Mr. Underwood is referring to are part of that, then it was 12 all considered as part of the overall settlement with the 13 Sacklers because they had asked for releases for all of 14 their related entities. If that's the question you're 15 asking, Mr. Understood, that is the answer. I hope that's 16 helpful. 17 THE COURT: And that would include Purdue Canada. 18 THE WITNESS: I believe that's the case, yes, Your 19 Honor. 20 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 21 BY MR. UNDERWOOD: 22 Now, Mr. Dubel, with regard to Paragraph 40 of your 23 declaration, you state you also evaluated the feasibility, 24 risks --25 I'm sorry, sir. Hold on just one second, please. Let

1 me just turn to the page. Yes, sir. Go ahead, please. 2 At Paragraph 40, you state, "We also evaluated the 3 feasibility, risks, and benefits of several alternative structures for the Debtors' plan." May I ask what other 4 5 structures for Debtors' plan you evaluated, the special 6 committee evaluated? 7 I believe it's laid out in the balance of that 8 paragraph, sir. 9 So, in fact, a decision was made by the committee not 10 to pursue litigation against the Sacklers or the affiliates; 11 is that correct? The decision was made that it was in the best interest 12 13 of all of our creditors to settle with the Sacklers, Sackler 14 family, and Sackler entities, and that the settlement, which 15 included a, you know, payment of \$4.27 billion was fair and 16 equitable to all of our creditors and it had the 17 overwhelming support, as we've seen, 95 plus percent support 18 of all of our creditors. And most importantly, it was a settlement that was brokered by two esteemed mediators with 19 20 all of the creditor constituents, you know, sitting at that 21 table and through the mediation efforts. 22 Were you aware, Mr. Dubel, that the Canadian creditors 23 may not be a part of the NOAT that was negotiated with 24 reference to this plan?

MR. KAMINETZKY: This is the same question that I

Page 216 1 objected and said beyond the scope, so same objection. 2 MR. UNDERWOOD: Well, it seems that -- it seems 3 that based upon Paragraph 40, the special committee was involved (sound glitch) remain as to the structure of the 4 5 plan. 6 THE COURT: I actually don't think so. Paragraph 7 40 deals with the alternative to the Debtors' plan and specifically with regard to the decision whether to go with 8 9 just a standalone Purdue plan with a litigation vehicle to 10 go against the Sacklers and their entities. 11 MR. UNDERWOOD: Okay. So I'll ask a different 12 question then. BY MR. UNDERWOOD: 13 14 And the question is, did the special committee consider 15 the fairness of equity of the treatment of any of the 16 creditors with regard to the proposed plan? 17 I believe I've answered that question already, Mr. 18 Underwood. 19 THE COURT: He has. He has. 20 MR. UNDERWOOD: Okay. All right. I have no 21 further questions. Thank you, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else before redirect? Okay. You can go ahead, Mr. Kaminetzky if you have 23 24 redirect.

MR. KAMINETZKY: Thank you, Your Honor.

Page 217 1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF JOHN DUBEL 2 BY MR. KAMINETZKY: Very briefly, Mr. Dubel. (sound glitch) Paragraph 38 3 4 on Page 17 of your --5 Mr. Kaminetzky, could you get closer to the microphone, 6 please? 7 Yeah. So Page 17, Paragraph 38. Mr. Goldman, are you 8 there? 9 THE COURT: Are you are at Paragraph 17, Mr. 10 Dubel? 11 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Okay. 12 13 BY MR. KAMINETZKY: 14 Mr. Goldman asked you about the last sentence and 15 specifically, the words, "The plan of reorganization 16 received substantial creditors support." Do you recall 17 that? Do you recall testifying --18 Mr. Kaminetzky, I may be looking at the wrong paragraph. Could you -- Page 17, which paragraph are you 19 20 referring to? 21 Paragraph 38, the last -- the end of Paragraph 38. 22 Where it says, "without that support." Oh, I'm sorry, 23 right before that. Sorry, sir. I have it there. Go ahead. 24 Okay. So do you recall Mr. Goldman asking you about 25 the phrase, "substantial creditor support."

A I do.

- 2 Q Okay. Can you think of any group other than the 24
- 3 states that comprised the non-consent state group that did
- 4 not support the plan filed in March of 2021?
- 5 A I don't -- I don't think there were any others, other
- 6 than that group.
- 7 Q There was a long colloquy during your cross about the
- 8 DOJ plea agreement and civil settlements. The DOJ plea deal
- 9 was with the company, the civil settlement with the company
- 10 and the Sacklers' civil settlement. Do you recall those
- 11 developments being discussed in special committee meetings?
- 12 A I do.
- 13 Q Okay. And did you take into accounts those
- 14 developments when doing the work of the special committee
- and analyzing whether to go forward with the plan of
- 16 reorganization that we're trying to get confirmed today?
- 17 A Mr. Kaminetzky, as I testified earlier, we looked at a
- 18 | lot of things throughout the timeline and these were the
- 19 things that were reviewed by our legal advisors for the
- 20 | special committee and all of this formed our opinion as to
- 21 whether it was, you know, fair and appropriate and equitable
- 22 to approve the settlement with the Sacklers.
- 23 Q Mr. Higgins from the U.S. Trustee's office asked you
- 24 whether you considered the recoveries, the specific
- 25 recoveries for personal injury victims. Do you recall that

Page 219 1 testimony? 2 THE COURT: The recoveries under the plan. 3 THE WITNESS: Under the plan. Yeah, I think he was referencing 3500 to 4800 whatever. 4 5 MR. KAMINETZKY: Right. 6 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 7 BY MR. KAMINETZKY: 8 Do you know one way or the other whether the personal 9 injury victims had representation in the phase one 10 mediation? 11 I believe they did in the phase one mediation. 12 And do you know if they were represented, the ad hoc 13 group of personal injury victims, did they support the 14 result or did they support the settlement that's now 15 embodied in the plan, including the various allocation among 16 the various group of creditors? 17 I believe they do. We have, you know, 95 plus percent 18 support from all of our creditors. 19 MR. KAMINETZKY: Thank you. That's all I have. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Any recross on that -- brief 21 recross on that redirect? 22 MR. UNDERWOOD: I don't, Your Honor, but I do want 23 to raise one point about the -- one of the exhibits I had 24 presented to the witness. 25 THE COURT: Okay, all right.

Page 220 1 MR. UNDERWOOD: May I do that, Your Honor? 2 THE COURT: Go ahead. 3 MR. UNDERWOOD: Okay, sorry. I believe Your Honor 4 mentioned when I was questioning the witness on the exhibit, 5 which was the civil settlement agreement between DOJ and the 6 Sacklers, that it was already in evidence. 7 THE COURT: No. 8 MR. UNDERWOOD: So I --9 THE COURT: No, I'm sorry. I thought at that 10 point you were referencing the other settlement agreement, 11 DOJ and Purdue. 12 MR. UNDERWOOD: I thought there might have been a 13 miscommunication. 14 THE COURT: Right. 15 MR. UNDERWOOD: Which leads me to request that 16 that exhibit be admitted into evidence. 17 THE COURT: The DOJ/Sackler settlement. 18 MR. UNDERWOOD: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. 19 20 MR. UNDERWOOD: Yes, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: All right. Is there any opposition to 22 that? MR. KAMINETZKY: None from the Debtors. 23 THE COURT: All right. I'll admit it. I'm not 24 25 sure what number you're up to, but it'll be the next number.

Page 221 1 MR. UNDERWOOD: Thank you, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Okay. MR. HIGGINS: Your Honor, this is Ben Higgins for 3 the U.S. Trustee. May I do a brief recross? 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 MR. HIGGINS: Thank you, Your Honor. 7 RECROSS EXAMINATION OF JOHN DUBEL 8 BY MR. HIGGINS: 9 Mr. Dubel, you were just asked on redirect regarding 10 what you considered with respect to the settlement, and you 11 mentioned that having the support of over 95 percent of your 12 creditors was something that you considered; is that 13 correct? If I implied that, I apologize. I believe I was 14 15 stating that we have over 95 percent at the time the 16 settlement was made and at the time we filed our plan. We 17 considered the support of all of the parties that would 18 agree to this that were part -- you know, that parties to, you know, the phase one mediation because obviously that was 19 20 important that we have the cash value to pay those parties, 21 and then the parties that were sitting at the table in the 22 phase two and that incorporated all of our major creditor 23 groups. And so, we knew we had, you know, tremendous 24 support from those parties. 25 And then subsequently, obviously when the plan was

Page 222 1 sent out for voting and votes were in, we accumulated 2 approximately 95 percent of it. 3 And that 95 percent number, that's 95 percent of the 4 creditors that voted, not 95 percent of all of your 5 creditors, correct? 6 I believe that's the way the Prime Clerk folks 7 calculated it. 8 THE COURT: That's the law actually, Mr. Higgins. 9 We could take this up at oral argument. 10 MR. HIGGINS: Thank you, Your Honor. No further 11 questions. 12 THE COURT: That's usually how elections are 13 counted as well, Mr. Higgins, in any election. 14 All right. You can sign off, Mr. Dubel. 15 MR. DUBEL: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: All right. The Debtors are ready to 17 call their next witness? 18 MR. KAMINETZKY: We're ready with our next witness if you could just give us one sec to reorient. 19 20 THE COURT: Sure. 21 MR. JOSEPH: Your Honor, Gregory Joseph. Just for 22 clarification, the Sackler settlement agreement is already in evidence. It's Joint Exhibit 2096. 23 24 THE COURT: Okay. All right, so we don't need to give it a new designation. 25

Page 223 1 MR. JOSEPH: Correct. And just for clarification, 2 since some of the questioning that was just asked suggested 3 that Purdue Canada is in CCAA proceedings, I'm advised that it is not. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. Is it some sort of proceeding? 6 MR. JOSEPH: No. Well, I mean, it's litigation, 7 but just it's not in any proceeding. 8 THE COURT: Okay, fine. 9 MR. JOSEPH: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 MR. KAMINETZKY: Okay, we're just setting up. 12 MAN: Your Honor, I hate to interject, but -- and 13 I don't mean to question Mr. Joseph. But, you know, my understanding is that there is a proceeding, a recognition 14 15 proceeding, which is certainly pending, a CCAA proceeding in 16 Canada. 17 THE COURT: The record will reflect whatever that 18 proceeding is. I thought there was a recognition proceeding 19 too, but --20 MAN: Understood. Thank you, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MR. KAMINETZKY: Your Honor, just to clear it up. 23 We, Purdue, has a recognition proceeding, not Purdue Canada. 24 THE COURT: Right, okay. 25 MR. KAMINETZKY: Okay. We're all set up here.

Page 224 1 I'm going to turn the podium over to my colleague, Marc 2 Tobak. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And I see -- and correct me if I mispronounce this, sir -- it's Mr. Gowrisankaran? 4 5 MR. GOWRISANKARAN: That's pretty close, yeah, 6 exactly. 7 THE COURT: Okay, all right. So let me swear you in, please. Will you raise your right hand? Do you swear 8 9 or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing 10 but the truth so help you God? 11 MR. GOWRISANKARAN: I do. 12 THE COURT: Okay. And the first name is G-A-U-T-13 A-M, second name is G-O-W-R-I-S-A-N-K-A-R-A-N, correct? 14 MR. GOWRISANKARAN: That's right. 15 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Gowrisankaran, you 16 submitted a declaration dated August 5, 2021. It attaches 17 your expert report from June 15, 2021. These documents were 18 submitted as part of my order establishing procedures for this hearing and knowing that they would be your direct 19 20 testimony for this hearing. 21 With that understanding, is there anything in 22 either your declaration or the expert report that you would 23 like to change? 24 MR. GOWRISANKARAN: No, there is not. 25 THE COURT: Okay. And does anyone object to the

Page 225 1 admission of the witness's declaration or his expert report? 2 Okay. I will admit the declaration and the expert report. And also Mr. Gowrisankaran's qualifications as an expert in 3 industrial economics and healthcare economics, including 4 5 with respect to the information set forth in his expert 6 report. 7 So does anyone want to cross-examine Mr. 8 Gowrisankaran? 9 MR. ROBINSON O'NEILL: This is Tad Robinson 10 O'Neill on behalf of the State of Washington, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Okay, go ahead. 12 MR. ROBINSON O'NEILL: Can you hear me all right, 13 Professor? 14 MR. GOWRISANKARAN: Yes. There's a little bit of 15 a lag on the audio. I'll let you know if some of the 16 questions are garbled, and you can repeat them then. 17 MR. ROBINSON O'NEILL: All right. I will try to 18 speak more slowly then. 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF GAUTAM GOWRISANKARAN 20 BY MR. ROBINSON O'NEILL: Professor, can you -- do you have your report with you? 21 Q 22 Yes, I do have my report. Can you turn to Paragraph 8, which is on Page 5 of your 23 24 report? 25 Α Sure.

Page 226 1 You indicate in your report that you understand that 2 the NOAT funds, as well as some private claimant funds will 3 be dedicated to what you call abatement in your report; is that correct? 4 5 Yes. 6 And if you turn to Page -- or Paragraph 50 of your 7 report --8 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Did you say Paragraph 50? 9 MR. ROBINSON O'NEILL: Paragraph 50, yes. 10 Page 21, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 THE WITNESS: I'm there. 13 BY MR. ROBINSON O'NEILL: 14 You describe abatement programs as what you call public 15 goods and then that's a defined term of art in your 16 profession; is that correct? 17 I'm sorry. I didn't quite hear the question. Would 18 you mind repeating it? Sure. You defined abatement programs, that they 19 20 function as what you call public goods, which is apparently 21 a defined term of art, you've got it quotations; is that 22 correct? Well, I think that's basically correct. But just to be 23 24 clear, I said that abatement programs may function as public 25 goods, and I didn't use the term, term of art, but it is a

- 1 term that we use professionally as economists.
- 2 O Okay. Well, let me see if I understand it then. The
- 3 abatement programs, they have a public health benefit that
- 4 exceeds what money that may be put into it. Is that a fair
- 5 layman's understanding of what's described in Paragraph 50?
- 6 A No, I would -- I don't think it is.
- 7 Q Okay. And by what do you mean then by the term public
- 8 good in the context of these abatement programs?
- 9 A Well, Paragraph 50 is specifically about the definition
- 10  $\mid$  of a public good in general, not about what a public good --
- 11 not about the nature of these programs as a public good.
- 12 And as I said in Paragraph 50, there's two aspects of a
- 13 position or a product or a service or any of those that may
- 14 constitute them being a public good. One is that that
- 15 product is not a rival. So in other words, if one person
- 16 consumes it, that does not stop another person from enjoying
- 17 it. And the other is that the product is non-excludable.
- 18 So in other words, if that product is provided, it's not
- easy to exclude people from using that product.
- 20 Q And it's your testimony that the abatement programs
- 21 described in the NOAT Trust and some of the private
- 22 abatement program -- or private abatement funds, excuse me,
- 23 may well constitute a public good in the sense that you mean
- in that paragraph; is that correct?
- 25 A That's basically right. But as I said, it may function

- 1 in public goods.
- 2 Q In Paragraph 52, which is on Page 22, you describe what
- 3 are called positive spillover effects of these abatement
- 4 programs. Do you see that?
- 5 A Yes, I do.
- 6 Q And by that, you mean investment in abatement programs
- 7 may have effects in other areas of each of the states in
- 8 | their public and healthcare settings; is that right?
- 9 A That's not right exactly.
- 10 Q Okay. Could you explain it to me?
- 11 A So a positive spillover, as a general concept, really
- 12 relates to the concept of a public good being non-
- 13 excludable. That if one person consumes it, then that will
- 14 -- then there will be benefits to others. In this context,
- 15 I identified three sources of positive spillovers that can
- 16 result from this, abatement programs.
- 17 One of those sources is that if the abatement
- 18 programs, to the extent that they reduce opioid use
- 19 disorder, that may help other individuals who are also
- 20 struggling with opioid use disorder.
- 21 Another positive spillover is to the extent that
- 22 the abatement programs help medical providers, such as
- 23 physicians, in learning how to treat opioid use disorder,
- 24 this may help other medical providers because they might
- 25 learn from each other through (indiscernible) conferences or

informal settings.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

And a third positive spillover that I identified is that to the extent that these programs reduce the amount that state governments, for instance, might have to spend on treatment of OUD, or treatment of substance use disorder more generally, or abatement of these conditions, that might free up some state budgets, which might also generate spillovers, for instance to other entities that a state might help support, such as municipalities within the state.

Q As a general matter, would you agree with me that the

- investment in public abatement programs described by this plan would have a benefit to the members of the public in general, as well as to the constituent recipients of the money?
- A Well, I think basically, yes. As I wrote in my report, the abatement programs that are proposed under the plan would have benefits that would extend well beyond the recipients of payouts under the plan.
- Q And those public benefits -- well, I'll leave that for argument. Then my next question is, in Paragraph 35, if you can turn to that? Are you there?
- 22 A Almost. Give me a second.
- 23 Q Sure. It's on Page 15. I apologize.
- 24 A Yes, I'm there.
- 25 Q You indicate that you relied upon the expert reports of

Page 230 1 Dr. Jeffrey Liebman and Dr. Caleb Alexander. Is that 2 correct? 3 Α Yes. 4 And those were experts that produced reports in the 5 State of Washington's case against Purdue Pharma, et al. 6 that correct? 7 In part, yes. They also produced reports in two counties in Ohio. 8 Right. So in two counties in Ohio and in the state of 9 Washington? And you had copies -- well, first of all, how 10 11 did you get copies of Washington's expert reports? 12 Those reports were provided to me by counsel, and I 13 think that I may have obtained redacted copies. I'm not --14 I don't remember at this point. 15 Were you -- well, do you have any knowledge of where 16 the litigation in the State of Washington v. Purdue Pharma 17 was when the bankruptcy was filed? 18 I'm not very familiar with where it was. No. Do you recall from those reports that you reviewed what 19 20 the total estimate was by Dr. Liebman and Dr. Alexander as 21 to the amount of money necessary to address the opioid 22 crisis in the state of Washington was? 23 I don't remember the precise number. 24 But do you recall that it was in excess of \$3.5 25 billion?

Page 231 I don't remember that, but it wouldn't surprise me. 1 2 MR. O'NEIL: I don't have any other questions, 3 Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Okay. Does anyone else have any 5 questions for Mr. Gowrisankaran? 6 MR. OZMENT: Your Honor, if no one else has any 7 questions, I have a couple. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 BY MR. OZMENT: Dr. Gowrisankaran, I represent -- my name is Frank 10 11 Ozment, and I represented three opioid use disorder 12 patients. Two of them are in medicine assisted therapy. 13 Mr. Ozment, may I interrupt and ask you to speak a little louder, please? I'm having a hard time hearing you. 14 15 Not a problem. I represent three opioid use disorder 16 victims or patients, two of whom are medicine assisted 17 therapy patients. And I guess my question to you is would 18 payments to them to subsidize their medicine assisted therapy qualify as what you would characterize as, I think 19 20 you called it a public good. Would it fall within that 21 definition? 22 I'm really not sure. I investigated whether the plan 23 and the payments under the plan would have aspects of a public good. 24 25 Got you. So you didn't take a position on it, right?

1 I'm not aware of the claims that were filed by the 2 creditors whom you represent, and I take no position on that because I have not investigated those claims. 3 I don't expect you to know about their particular 4 5 claims, but within the rubric of abatement, you are talking 6 about a lot of measures constituting public goods. Okay? 7 And my question to you is, does subsidy of medicine assisted 8 therapy constitute a public good within that rubric as 9 you've used it in your report? So payments to states and municipalities to support 10 11 abatement programs, including medical assisted therapy, do 12 have aspects of the public good, as I discussed in my 13 report. I didn't specifically in my report analyze whether 14 any individual therapy would be a public good. Rather, I 15 analyzed overall that these abatement programs would have an 16 aspect of public goods. 17 I think we are on the same page, and that is to say you 18 did not restrict your definition of public good by any means through the -- through medicine assisted therapy, and you 19 20 had other things that fell under that rubric of public good. 21 Is that fair? 22 I think so. And I think that's what I would say. just to be clear, I investigated overall whether these 23 abatement programs have aspects of public goods and found 24 25 that in fact they do.

Page 233 1 And under the rubric of abatement programs, there are 2 many things that are unrelated to medicine assisted therapy or direct treatment of opioid use disorder victims. 3 4 that right? 5 I'm sorry. I didn't understand the question. 6 That's okay. We're fine. Thank you. 7 MR. OZMENT: Nothing further, Your Honor. Thank 8 you. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Any other questions for the 10 witness? 11 MR. UNDERWOOD: Your Honor, if there are no 12 further questions, I do have four or five brief questions 13 for the witness. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 MR. UNDERWOOD: This is Allen Underwood, on behalf 16 of the Canadian Municipality Creditors and the Canadian 17 First Nation Creditors. BY MR. UNDERWOOD: 18 Mr. Gowrisankaran, with regard to your valuation of 19 20 whether the abatement program funded with distributions 21 under the plan provided value, did you investigate whether 22 they provided value to Canadian Municipal Creditors? 23 I did not specifically in my report investigate whether 24 they provided value to Canadian Municipal Creditors. But I 25 did investigate that they would provide value well beyond

- 1 that of creditors that receive payouts under the plan.
- Q Can you clarify what you stated with reference to my (indiscernible)?
- THE COURT: Sorry, you're going to have to repeat that. It didn't come through very clearly, Mr. Underwood.
- MR. UNDERWOOD: Yes, Your Honor. I was hoping
  that Mr. Gowrisankaran could clarify his last statement in
  fact. What I took the witness to say was that he did not
  investigate the benefit for Canadian Municipal Creditors.
- 10 Is that correct?
- 11 BY MR. UNDERWOOD:
- A Well, that's not exactly correct. I didn't -- it
  wasn't the focus of my report. But Canadian creditors may
  very well gain from this plan because the benefits of the
  plan will extend far beyond the set of creditors that
  receive payouts.
- 17 Q How will the benefits under the plan extend to Canadian
  18 Municipalities?
  - A Well, the benefit under the plan will -- as a mentioned in response to a previous question, there is a number of potential spillover that will happen in the plan, and some of those spillovers will reduce opioid use disorder in Canada. And that will, in fact, benefit Canadian municipalities, just because having less opioid use disorder will be helpful to them.

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 So, sir, when you witness the spillovers, can you give 2 me examples that aren't included in your report or exhibits of spillovers that benefit Canadian municipalities? 3 MR. HUEBNER: Your Honor, this is Marshall 4 5 Objection. We're back to a deposition again that 6 he cannot take of a witness who he did not examine. This is 7 not the purpose of cross-examination. This is a deposition. 8 THE COURT: Let me ask this question. Are the 9 Debtors... I think what you're saying is that this question 10 has no bearing on the objection by Mr. Underwood's clients 11 to the plan< and therefore should be cut short. 12 MR. HUEBNER: Your Honor, that's also true. We 13 made that point at the outset, that his objection is 14 entirely legal, and we've no sat here as he's questioned 15 witness after witness, and we haven't said a word. But, you 16 know, the cost to abatement of this trial occasionally 17 necessitates an expression of our views about the propriety of the examination. 18 These witnesses were all available to be deposed, 19 20 et cetera, and this is just -- you know, it's becoming a 21 little burdensome. I don't mean to say more than that. 22 THE COURT: Okay. I guess... 23 MR. UNDERWOOD: Your Honor, if I may, the 24 objection is based upon the categorization and the 25 classification of Canadian Municipal and First Nation's

creditors under this plan, and ultimately how they'll be treated. My understanding is right now that there's little to no funding that is going to end up going to the creditors I represent, and that they are not treated under the NOAT.

I think it's fair to ask the witness, who is sitting on the stand, how there could possibly be spillover into Canada from the -- and abatement that will be effectuated in the U.S., but frankly, as far as I know, not for municipalities or First Nations of Canada.

THE COURT: Okay. That's a fair question --

MR. UNDERWOOD: And that's what my question --

THE COURT: That's a fair question to ask. Sir, are you aware of ways that there would be spillover benefit to Canadian Municipalities, now knowing what you weren't told before, that they would not be participating in the NOAT Trust?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I am.

THE COURT: Okay.

19 THE WITNESS: Should I answer how, or --

THE COURT: Yes.

THE WITNESS: -- Your Honor -- yeah. So one example of this is that if there are -- part of the plans include money that will go to providers to help them in learning how to better treat opioid use disorder. Providers in Canada, physicians in Canada, often train in the United

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

States and vice versa. They go to medical conferences that are joint with both countries. Medical licensing between Canada and the U.S. is very, very harmonized and common.

So, for those reasons, medical providers in Canada would also learn through spillovers from conferences and interactions with their colleagues in the United States about how to better treat OUD as a result of (indiscernible) programs.

Another example is that -- I had mentioned that people are in networks and that if there is lower OUD through abatement, or better uses of this, or better treatment, better protocols to make sure that people do not become -- do not contract opioid use disorder, then those programs will also have spillovers. People who live in the United States often know people and our friends with people in Canada.

The United States and Canada share an enormously long border, the longest in the world. And so that will also create spillovers to Canadian Municipalities and First Nations creditors.

## BY MR. UNDERWOOD:

Q So, sir, besides the (indiscernible) spillover, or what I would characterize as indirect benefits, are you aware of any other direct benefits that are being provided to Canadian provinces, Canadian municipalities, Canadian

- cities, under the proposed abatement plan?
- 2 A I'm not sure. I've identified three sources of
- 3 spillovers in my report, and two of those sources pertain to
- 4 Canada that I just described. I didn't specifically
- 5 identify any others, but I wouldn't rule them out either.
- 6 Q But -- and this is the last of my questioning -- the
- 7 example that you gave her all indirect. They would require
- 8 Canadian physicians reviewing American materials, going to
- 9 American classes, learning from American abatement
- 10 procedures. Are any of those, to the best of your
- 11 knowledge, any of those abatement procedures or benefits
- 12 being provided directly to --
- 13 THE COURT: We know the answer to that question
- 14 Mr. Underwood. That's in your objection. And the Debtors
- are not opposed -- are in agreement with it. There's no
- 16 reason to ask this question. The plan itself says --
- 17 answers your question.
- 18 MR. UNDERWOOD: All right. I'm through. Thank
- 19 you, Your Honor.

- 20 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Before redirect, I
- 21 had a couple questions for Mr. Gowrisankaran. Sir, you
- 22 describe your understanding of the abatement procedures
- 23 under the NOAT in Paragraph 20 and Paragraph 21 of your
- 24 declaration. Then you describe the procedures for abatement
- 25 with respect to the other trusts that deal with abatement,

Pg 239 of 309 Page 239 like the NAS monitoring trust distribution, the Tribe trust distribution procedures, the hospital trust distribution procedures, the TPP trust distribution procedures, the donation to Truth Initiative Foundation. You see all those descriptions in your declaration, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. That's correct, except Paragraph 20 is, I think, about the approved uses of the trusts, not about the trust distribution procedures themselves. THE COURT: That's fair. So in one paragraph talk about the uses, and then you talk about the procedures in the other one, or the programs in the next paragraph. THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. It's in the next subsection under Page 10, on the top of this. THE COURT: Right. THE WITNESS: Well, actually -- sorry. about tribes. I do talk about them in the next paragraph. You're correct. THE COURT: Do you know who designed these procedures and uses for the trusts? THE WITNESS: I'm not aware who designed them, no. THE COURT: Okay. Would you think that they were designed by bankruptcy professionals, as opposed to people concerned with public health?

THE WITNESS: I wouldn't -- first of all, I don't

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

know bankruptcy professionals. I'm a healthcare economist, and industrial organization economist. So I can tell you that the procedures look consistent with a design that considers public health law. I really don't know if it's consistent with how bankruptcy professionals would design it.

THE COURT: Okay. And you used as a comparator, expert reports from the litigations that you already discussed during cross-examination in Washington and Ohio by public health experts that also discussed opioid programs and procedures? There was a comparison to these?

THE WITNESS: Well, that was -- I used them specifically to say that there is supporting evidence that the creditors in this matter value these programs.

THE COURT: Okay. And then, this is maybe a broader question. Your declaration lists a number of different ways that -- and these are my words, not yours -- the money that is spent on these programs and procedures and policies has a multiplier factor, because of spillover and because of public good, et cetera. Yet, you've not quantified that factor. Was that part of your assignment? And if so, why were you not -- why did you quantify it?

THE WITNESS: Oh. So, Your Honor, first of all,

those are your words, but I would agree with them that the programs have a multiplier factor. I think that's a fair

way of putting it.

I was asked, as my assignment, to understand whether the programs, first of all, created value, and second, whether the value extended beyond the creditors that would directly receive payouts from the programs.

It was not part of my assignment to quantify how big that multiplier was or how much value would be received by any individual creditor or an aggregate, like creditors who did not receive payouts, but rather just to opine on whether there was a positive value beyond -- a positive value in general and a positive value beyond the creditors who will receive payouts from the programs.

THE COURT: Okay. Is that an exercise that can be done, or is it in the development of public health economics still too early to be able to do that type of calculation?

THE WITNESS: I think it would be difficult to quantify how much spillovers there are two other individuals. That would require quantifying these three sources of spillovers that I discussed in response to the first attorney who asked me questions. And those three types of spillovers are definitely there; they're present.

But understanding, for instance, how much a state government would be able to contribute more money to municipalities and if some of its budget were freed up because of the NOAT trust, seems to be fairly difficult to

Page 242 1 do for a healthcare economist without a lot of further 2 information and other testimony from states and stuff like 3 that. 4 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. So, any 5 redirect? 6 MR. ROBINSON O'NEIL: No redirect, Your Honor. 7 THE WITNESS: All right. Then --MR. ROBINSON O'NEIL: Your Honor, may I -- I have 8 9 a follow-up question to Your Honor's question --10 THE COURT: Sure. That's fine. I was going to say, does anyone have any recross, including on any of the 11 12 questions that were asked. 13 RECROSS-EXAMINATION OF GAUTAN GOWRISANKARAN 14 BY MR. ROBINSON O'NEIL: 15 Professor -- and I apologize -- I'm not going to try to 16 pronounce your name, because I know I will butcher it, so is 17 it all right if I call you Professor? I should have asked earlier. 18 That's totally fine. 19 20 Thank you. Professor, you indicated that it's 21 difficult for a healthcare economist to quantify in terms of 22 economic numbers what the spillover effect would be. 23 that correct, within the colloquy with the Judge? 24 Yes. I did say that. Is it also true that that kind of analysis of public 25

- Page 243 1 health programs is specifically what state governments do 2 every year that they approve a budget? 3 I'm not an expert on state government budgeting procedures. I do come across that as a health economist. 4 5 And I know that what state governments would do is to 6 understand cost impacts of budgets. But I don't know that they have -- I've ever seen a state government try to 7 8 quantify spillover benefits from programs. And then is it also true that -- I mean the reports 9 10 that you reviewed from Dr. Alexander and Dr. Liebman, for 11 example, those were for massive investments in public health 12 in either Ohio counties or Washington state. Do you know 13 how the investments from this bankruptcy compare to what Dr. 14 Alexander and Dr. Liebman suggested would be necessary in 15 those jurisdictions? 16 I didn't investigate the relative dollar amounts. That 17 wasn't part of my opinion. My opinion was focused on 18 understanding whether the abatement programs proposed under the plan would add value, and whether they would add value 19
- 22 Thank you, Professor. And I forgot to identify Okav. myself. This is Tad Robinson O'Neil, from the state of

beyond the creditors that receive direct payout from the

24 Washington.

plan.

20

21

23

25 Yes, I remember. Thank you, Mr. Robinson O'Neil.

Page 244 1 THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else? All right. You 2 can sign off, sir. Thank you. 3 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Okay. You want to call your next 5 witness? 6 MR. TOBAK: I believe I didn't identify myself. 7 Marc Tobak, Davis Polk & Wardwell, for the Debtors. 8 Debtors' next witness is Deborah Greenspan, and I believe 9 she'll be connecting shortly. 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 MR. TOBAK: Oh, she's having trouble logging on. 12 We will... Let me... I'm having the -- the screen's been 13 having the same take passcode that we were having earlier, 14 but we're working on it. THE COURT: Okay. 15 16 MR. TABAK: She's trying desperately to get in. 17 Could we just do, in the interim, Your Honor, just to tell 18 you where we are? So, we have Ms. Greenspan. I think then we've -- well, I shouldn't be presumptuous. Would that be 19 20 the last witness of the day? 21 THE COURT: Wall, perhaps. I guess the witness 22 after her is Mr. DelConte, and he was the one that was the subject of the motion in limine. And I don't know if that's 23 been resolved or if it's been narrowed. And I guess, 24 25 depending on that, we might have him, or we might hear the

Pg 245 of 309 Page 245 1 motion in limine, or I might give you more time to see if 2 you can resolve it overnight. 3 MR. TABAK: With respect to the motion in limine, that's a very narrow issue that we're all but almost worked 4 5 out. So I don't think that's going to -- either way, that's 6 just on a very limited person, one paragraph of his 7 testimony. So he (indiscernible) a lengthy witness, I would 8 have thought, but... 9 THE COURT: Okay. Well, we might have him, then. 10 MS. MARKMAN GOSTIN: Your Honor, may I be heard on 11 this? This is Isley Gostin. I'm from Wilmer Hale, on 12 behalf of Navigators Specialty Insurance Company. 13 THE COURT: Right. MS. MARKMAN GOSTIN: As the Debtors said, we are 14 15 indeed working on a stipulation to hopefully resolve our 16 motion in limine with respect to Mr. DelConte. So we would 17 just ask that if he does take the stand today, our rights to address our motion in limine at a later time be reserved. 18 THE COURT: Yeah, that's fine. That's fine. 19 20 That's where I was headed. 21 MS. MARKMAN GOSTIN: Thank you. 22 THE COURT: Could someone walk her through how to 23 sign on? MR. ANDINO: Yeah. What's the full name? 24

THE COURT: Deborah Greenspan, G-R-E-E-N-S-P-A-N.

Page 246 1 MR. ANDINO: It's going directly to her voicemail, 2 not even ringing. MR. TABAK: Deb's calling chambers now for 3 guidance. Getting in contact with Eddie Andino? 4 5 THE COURT: Right. Mr. Andino can walk her 6 through it, I suppose. 7 MR. HUEBNER: Your Honor, one process suggestion, 8 similar to before, does it make sense to poll people now to 9 see if anybody actually plans to cross-examine her? Because 10 if the answer is nobody, then they might actually get 11 simpler. But I'm just curious whether that would be an 12 efficient use of our interregnum. 13 THE COURT: All right. Does anyone intend to have a cross-examination on Ms. Greenspan? No? I actually had 14 15 one question for her, unfortunately, which I could ask her 16 tomorrow, I suppose, too. Although, Mr. Kaminetzky could 17 probably answer it as well. 18 MR. KAMINETZKY: You're going to leave me hanging 19 like that. 20 THE COURT: My question was, what role, if any, 21 did Ms. Greenspan have in the creation of the trust 22 procedures under the plan? If you know. 23 MR. TOBAK: None. 24 THE COURT: None? Okay. 25 MR. TOBAK: Yeah, it was negotiated by the PI

Page 247 1 Group. You know, she's opining --2 THE COURT: From the outside. 3 MR. TOBAK: I don't want to say any more, but yes. THE COURT: Okay. All right. All right. Well, I 4 5 would like to get her under oath, but that could wait until 6 tomorrow, if you want to move to Mr. DelConte instead. 7 MR. TOBAK: Your Honor, just -- would you want us 8 to -- pursuant to your procedures, if there's a technical 9 breakdown, we're allowed to -- you're allowed to do this by 10 phone --11 THE COURT: Yes. 12 MR. TOBAK: -- if you wanted to, you could swear 13 her by phone? Did you want to just -- I don't want to say 14 get her over with. That doesn't sound good. But would you 15 want to do it by phone so we could --16 THE COURT: Yes. We could do it by phone, 17 particularly since no one --18 MR. TOBAK: Okay. THE COURT: -- has raised their hand to cross-19 20 examine. 21 MR. TOBAK: Right. Okay. 22 THE COURT: Is she signing in? She might well be signing in right now, I'm being told. There's -- no, that's 23 24 Mr. DelConte. Okay. All right. Let's go ahead with Mr. 25 DelConte.

Page 248 1 MAN: (indiscernible) 2 THE COURT: Oh, I'm being told that Ms. Greenspan 3 is also signing in. So we could have her instead. MR. TOBAK: Okay. So, Mr. DelConte --4 5 MR. DELCONTE: Yeah. 6 MR. TOBAK: -- please drop. MR. HUEBNER: Your Honor, just for the -- one 7 second. For the benefit of others, just so the public is 8 9 aware, we're sequestering each witness. And the reason for 10 these complexities is that for sort of having the most clean 11 and appropriate process, no witness is allowed to listen --12 THE COURT: Right. 13 MR. HUEBNER: -- to any other witness's testimony. 14 THE COURT: Right. 15 MR. HUEBNER: And they have to be sort of locked 16 away somewhere until out of the blue recalled and say, right 17 now dial in, which is also why Mr. DelConte is dropping. So 18 we are obviously very sorry about this, but it is actually 19 necessitated by --20 THE COURT: Right. 21 MR. HUEBNER: -- trying to ensure and unusually 22 clean process --THE COURT: Well, unless someone's --23 24 MR. HUEBNER: -- that no witness hears other 25 witnesses' testimony.

Page 249 1 THE COURT: Unless someone's a corporate 2 representative, I always exclude them if they're a 3 prospective witness. 4 MR. HUEBNER: Yep. Okay. Mr. Kaminetzky, back to 5 you. 6 THE COURT: But I was told Ms. Greenspan's signing 7 on, right? Correct. 8 MAN: I heard she's (indiscernible). 9 THE COURT: So, Ms. Greenspan, are you on the...? 10 I'm told you're on, but we can't see you. 11 MAN: She should be (indiscernible) be able to 12 speak, but her video, I'm asking her to turn 13 (indiscernible). 14 THE COURT: So you need to turn on your video. 15 MAN: She should be able to speak (indiscernible). 16 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Greenspan, can you 17 hear us? 18 MR. HUEBNER: Let me make a suggestion, if I may. It is 5:20, and hopefully, the DelConte issues can get 19 20 resolved overnight. We're going to figure out what's been 21 going wrong for working with your chambers tonight, and 22 either have witnesses where we can in a different location, 23 or at our offices, or otherwise, starting tomorrow, so we 24 don't keep having these difficulties. We'll also endeavor 25 to have, you know, sort of redundancy plans for immediate

Page 250 1 electronic contact. We just don't understand why this is 2 happening. Obviously, the witnesses have all the information, and it's inefficient and costly to the estate, 3 and frankly, just suboptimal. 4 5 So I think if it meets with the Court's approval, 6 I think probably, unless we can find Ms. Greenspan in the 7 next two minutes, let's just call it a day. We will work 8 through chambers to figure out what is going wrong 9 technologically, and hopefully, today will be the only day 10 where we keep having these complexities. 11 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, that's fine. 12 I mean, we could go with Mr. DelConte too, but I don't know 13 whether there's going to be extensive cross of him or not. 14 So we could pick up tomorrow morning at 10:00 with both of 15 them. So let's do that. 16 MR. HUEBNER: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 MAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: All right. Very well. So we'll end 19 for the day and pick up again tomorrow at 10:00. Thank you. 20 MAN: Thank you. 21 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 22 5:21 PM) 23 24 25

Page 251 1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing 4 transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. Digitally signed by Sonya Ledanski 5 Sonya Ledanski Hyde DN: cn=Sonya Ledanski Hyde, o, ou, 6 Hyde email=digital@veritext.com, c=US 7 Date: 2021.08.13 18:44:55 -04'00' 8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Veritext Legal Solutions 21 330 Old Country Road 22 Suite 300 23 Mineola, NY 11501 24 25 August 13, 2021 Date:

[& - 3] Page 1

&	152:23 153:22	<b>19-23649</b> 1:3 9:23	224:16,17 251:25
	154:9,13,16,18	<b>19th</b> 38:13 39:7	<b>203</b> 143:20
<b>&amp;</b> 8:12,16 14:1,2 28:1 30:1 192:14	155:4,17,18	40:8	<b>20852</b> 29:18
	156:24 157:6,23	<b>1p</b> 141:9,13 142:2	<b>2096</b> 222:23
244:7	158:16 160:20	<b>1r</b> 144:12,22	<b>20th</b> 88:21 160:2
0	161:25 162:4	145:19	<b>21</b> 226:10 238:23
<b>0</b> 138:8	189:8 197:10,20	2	<b>211</b> 28:18
<b>06604</b> 28:12	<b>1126</b> 61:25		<b>212</b> 144:11
<b>07102</b> 28:5	<b>11501</b> 251:23	2 55:5,11 98:18	<b>216</b> 144:21
1	<b>1179</b> 88:22	100:16 106:17	<b>217</b> 29:3
<b>1</b> 38:4 41:13 42:14	<b>119</b> 76:17	153:5 156:22	<b>21st</b> 160:2
105:3,9 138:9	<b>11c</b> 81:4,14,20,25	162:12 178:25	<b>22</b> 132:12,16
144:17 145:22	<b>11s</b> 155:1	<b>2.6</b> 103:16	228:2
146:5 174:8 175:9	<b>11th</b> 54:9	<b>20</b> 61:10,13,19	<b>226</b> 145:19
<b>1,000</b> 76:11	<b>12</b> 1:16 2:1	107:13 161:4	<b>23</b> 100:17 117:23
1,003,621.17	<b>120</b> 43:3	238:23 239:7	<b>24</b> 83:21 157:3,10
145:7	<b>120,301</b> 61:4,7	<b>20.2</b> 147:25	160:4,15 161:20
<b>1,050</b> 76:11	<b>1201</b> 28:4	<b>200</b> 29:17	218:2
1,171,269.04	<b>12th</b> 87:18 134:2	<b>20006</b> 30:11	<b>248</b> 1:13
81:25	136:15 140:6	<b>2008</b> 144:17 145:9	<b>24th</b> 40:3
<b>10</b> 84:14 206:23	150:10	145:10,12 146:21	<b>25</b> 83:22,24 84:5
239:14	<b>13</b> 191:13,21	<b>201</b> 27:21	187:14
<b>10.6</b> 116:1	251:25	<b>2013</b> 178:21 180:5	<b>26</b> 54:24
<b>10.0</b> 110.1 <b>100,000</b> 180:7	<b>13,370</b> 143:24	<b>2016</b> 178:9	<b>26th</b> 55:5
<b>100,000</b> 180.7 <b>10001</b> 30:4	<b>1313</b> 209:9	<b>2017</b> 145:22 146:5	<b>2905</b> 150:20
10001 30.4 10014 27:22	<b>14</b> 84:15 191:21	146:21	<b>2921</b> 2:11
<b>10014</b> 27.22 <b>10017</b> 27:13 29:11	192:7,9	<b>2018</b> 118:2,10,18	<b>2966</b> 2:14
<b>1001</b> 7 27.13 29.11 <b>1006</b> 27:21	<b>145592</b> 72:4	119:19 120:3,11	<b>2982</b> 4:6 5:13
1000 27:21 10601 1:14	<b>15</b> 84:14 136:12	121:5,11 122:4	13:15,21 14:15
<b>10:00</b> 1.14 <b>10:00</b> 250:14,19	140:2 144:17	129:21 180:5	16:10,22
<b>10:00</b> 230.14,19 <b>10:07</b> 1:17	224:17 229:23	<b>2019</b> 144:17 153:5	<b>2983</b> 4:6 5:13
<b>10:07</b> 1.17 <b>10:16</b> 43:3	<b>16</b> 83:21,21 87:21	153:7,12 194:22	<b>2988</b> 3:4,14,17,21
<b>10.10</b> 45.5 <b>11</b> 2:4 4:5 6:7,24	88:2	194:25	3:24 4:1 8:5,10,13
· ·	<b>16th</b> 38:13,15,24	<b>202</b> 142:12 143:8	8:17 9:23 10:4
8:5,8,21 9:17 10:12,24 11:9,23	38:25 39:5	<b>2020</b> 88:20,22	<b>2:15</b> 138:18 139:4
	<b>17</b> 217:4,7,9,19	185:3,13,19 209:9	2nd 55:9 69:17
12:12,20 13:1,19	<b>18</b> 55:1 95:12,14	<b>20201</b> 136:11,12	194:22
14:9,19,25 15:15 15:23 16:3,9,21	177:9 210:14	<b>2021</b> 1:16 2:1	3
, ,	<b>1807</b> 150:20	54:24 55:5,11	_
17:5,12 20:16 21:1,17 24:7,15	<b>1834</b> 176:20	87:15 92:11 94:1	3 14:21 87:21
24:22 25:14 26:2	<b>1875</b> 30:10	106:2 133:25	92:11 93:25 95:9
59:21 62:23 76:13	<b>19</b> 61:15	134:7 140:1,2	142:14 156:3
80:24 95:3 114:17		150:7 159:22	159:2 207:2
00:24 93:3 114:17		161:2 218:4	
Veritext Legal Solutions			

[**3,400 - 4**]

<b>3,400</b> 87:23	<b>3264</b> 5:8	<b>3396</b> 12:23 17:7	<b>3443</b> 22:13
<b>3,700</b> 87:23	<b>3265</b> 5:15 13:8	20:18	<b>3446</b> 22:19
<b>3.5</b> 230:24	<b>3268</b> 5:19 13:8	<b>3397</b> 17:14	<b>3447</b> 22:25
<b>300</b> 1:13 251:22	<b>3270</b> 5:24 13:8	<b>3398</b> 17:16	<b>3448</b> 23:3
<b>3028</b> 2:17	<b>3271</b> 6:3 12:13	<b>34</b> 150:19 151:25	<b>3449</b> 23:9
<b>3057</b> 2:20	<b>3272</b> 6:11 11:18	<b>3403</b> 17:21	<b>3450</b> 23:16
<b>3099</b> 2:23	13:8	<b>3404</b> 10:8	<b>3451</b> 23:20
<b>30th</b> 29:10 209:9	<b>3273</b> 6:15 107:19	<b>3405</b> 17:25	<b>3452</b> 23:24
31,775,120.20.	<b>3274</b> 6:19	<b>3407</b> 18:3	<b>3453</b> 11:15
81:9	<b>3275</b> 7:2	<b>3408</b> 18:7	<b>3455</b> 11:19
<b>3100</b> 3:1	<b>3276</b> 7:7 13:8	<b>3409</b> 18:11	<b>3456</b> 24:2
<b>3110</b> 3:5	<b>3277</b> 7:10	<b>3410</b> 18:15	<b>3457</b> 24:10
<b>3111</b> 3:8	<b>3278</b> 7:14	<b>3411</b> 18:18	<b>3459</b> 12:2
<b>3120</b> 13:16	<b>3279</b> 7:17	<b>3412</b> 18:22	<b>3460</b> 24:18
<b>3121</b> 13:22	<b>3280</b> 7:23	<b>3413</b> 12:8	<b>3461</b> 13:5
<b>3122</b> 3:11	<b>3283</b> 15:18	<b>3414</b> 19:1	<b>3462</b> 25:1
<b>3123</b> 3:14	<b>3288</b> 8:2	<b>3415</b> 19:4	<b>3465</b> 13:11
<b>3125</b> 3:18	<b>3292</b> 8:6	<b>3416</b> 19:7	<b>3480</b> 25:16
<b>3129</b> 14:2 15:6	<b>3293</b> 8:10	<b>3417</b> 19:10	<b>3490</b> 25:5,8 26:9
<b>3166</b> 14:15	<b>3298</b> 8:14	<b>3418</b> 19:13	<b>3491</b> 25:9 26:9
<b>3185</b> 2:7 4:6,16,21	<b>3299</b> 8:18	<b>3419</b> 19:17	<b>35</b> 147:23 160:21
5:13 6:9,14,25	<b>33</b> 113:8 114:4,8,9	<b>3420</b> 19:21	229:20
8:23 11:1 12:13	150:18 151:25	<b>3421</b> 19:25	<b>3500</b> 202:8 203:1
13:4,9 14:5,11	164:6,15 165:9	<b>3422</b> 20:2	203:1 219:4
15:2,17 17:14	191:13,21,24,25	<b>3424</b> 20:4	<b>3515</b> 25:16
23:2,19,23 26:4	<b>330</b> 251:21	<b>3425</b> 20:11	<b>3521</b> 25:21
<b>3186</b> 14:6	<b>3301</b> 8:25 11:18	<b>3426</b> 20:18	<b>35213</b> 29:4
<b>3187</b> 14:12	13:9	<b>3427</b> 12:15	<b>3522</b> 25:25 107:18
<b>3188</b> 3:21	<b>3304</b> 9:5 11:18	<b>3428</b> 20:22	<b>3528</b> 26:5
<b>3199</b> 3:25	13:9	<b>3429</b> 21:2	<b>3530</b> 26:10
<b>3231</b> 14:16	<b>3305</b> 15:24 16:4	<b>3430</b> 10:15	<b>36</b> 99:18,21 100:1
<b>3232</b> 14:22	<b>3306</b> 9:8 13:9	<b>3431</b> 21:5	<b>37</b> 152:18
<b>3235</b> 4:2	107:24	<b>3432</b> 21:9	<b>38</b> 157:25 158:9
<b>3246</b> 15:3	<b>3309</b> 16:4	<b>3433</b> 21:12	162:12 217:3,7,21
<b>3248</b> 15:8	<b>3323</b> 9:12 12:6	<b>3435</b> 11:10 21:21	217:21
<b>3251</b> 15:12	<b>3327</b> 16:12,22	<b>3437</b> 22:1	<b>39</b> 98:15,16,19
<b>3256</b> 4:8 11:14	<b>3335</b> 9:20	<b>3438</b> 10:21	<b>3:00</b> 39:24,24
12:13 13:8 17:14	<b>3355</b> 16:16	<b>3439</b> 11:4	<b>3rd</b> 88:20
<b>3257</b> 4:12 25:24	<b>3357</b> 9:24	<b>3440</b> 22:4	4
<b>3262</b> 4:17 12:6	<b>3359</b> 10:2	<b>3441</b> 22:7	4 65:20 68:6,7,7
<b>3263</b> 5:3 9:3 11:18	<b>3368</b> 10:5	<b>3442</b> 11:11 23:2	68:18,24 69:11,15
13:9	<b>3372</b> 16:24 59:15	23:19,23	72:9,11 73:2,4
			74:12,13,24,25
			14.12,13,24,23

[4 - activities] Page 3

	I	I	I
178:21 180:5	6	<b>88041</b> 2:10	249:11,15
206:23 207:1	<b>6</b> 76:3 77:24 78:2	<b>895</b> 76:17	abrams 7:16
<b>4-2</b> 177:19	78:4,4,8 79:8,12	9	30:17
<b>4.25</b> 181:6	88:2	<b>9</b> 120:9 123:11,15	absolute 51:24
<b>4.27</b> 180:22	<b>6-1-21</b> 2:13	178:6	absolutely 42:8
215:15	<b>6/28/21</b> 3:7	<b>90</b> 177:10,12,18	152:20
<b>4.275</b> 161:15	<b>6/30/21</b> 2:25	177:20	abstract 51:18
168:16 182:6,8	<b>600,000</b> 203:5	<b>9263332</b> 43:11	accept 74:8 76:17
185:25 186:21	206:20	<b>95</b> 61:17,18 159:9	acceptable 189:19
190:7 195:17	<b>615,000</b> 60:3	190:4 215:17	acceptance 56:6
<b>4.325</b> 163:8	<b>6163</b> 43:11	219:17 221:11,15	accepted 73:12
<b>40</b> 214:22 215:2	<b>6177</b> 2:16	222:2,3,3,4	82:15 180:10
216:3,7	<b>618,194</b> 60:11,14	<b>960,000</b> 181:17	accepting 60:23
<b>401</b> 67:6,8 74:19	61:6	<b>9th</b> 209:21	61:2 74:25 75:2
<b>408</b> 179:2	<b>619028</b> 3:10		81:5
<b>42</b> 177:18,20	<b>62</b> 83:24	a	access 13:24 15:6
<b>450</b> 27:12	<b>66</b> 176:18 187:7	<b>a.m.</b> 43:3	37:13 43:2,11
<b>46204</b> 28:20	187:14	<b>a.w.</b> 34:25	96:18 101:11
<b>48</b> 164:9	<b>6750</b> 2:19	<b>aaron</b> 5:13 31:11	account 73:23
<b>48,000</b> 202:8	7	34:11	182:7,19 202:17
203:2		aaronson 29:8	202:18
<b>4800</b> 219:4	<b>7/23/2021</b> 16:1	192:19 193:20	accounting
<b>485</b> 29:10	<b>717</b> 17:19	abatement 226:3	134:15 140:18
<b>49</b> 163:25	<b>719</b> 17:19 88:20	226:14,19,24	141:4 142:15,23
5	<b>750,000</b> 97:20,25	227:3,8,20,22,22	accounts 218:13
<b>5</b> 65:23 67:1,3	100:17	228:3,6,16,17,22	accumulated
72:10,11,18 74:14	8	229:6,11,16 232:5	222:1
74:15,17,18,19	<b>8</b> 59:17 60:2,6	232:11,15,24	accurate 111:4
87:15 106:2	225:23	233:1,20 235:16	127:15 174:5,14
133:25 136:11	<b>8.4</b> 10:24	236:7 237:11	251:4
140:1 150:7	<b>8/5/2021</b> 20:13,24	238:1,9,11,22,24	achieved 161:12
224:16 225:23	<b>8/9/2021</b> 25:11,18	238:25 243:18	acknowledge 46:4
<b>5.6</b> 103:17	<b>80</b> 95:13,14	ability 64:2 70:25	62:12
<b>50</b> 226:6,8,9 227:5	<b>8038</b> 179:25,25	71:3	acknowledging
227:9,12	<b>82739</b> 2:13	able 36:15 38:8	157:5 158:17
<b>501</b> 29:3	<b>84</b> 99:21 100:1	40:12,13 64:18	act 125:19
<b>52</b> 228:2	<b>844-867</b> 43:10	65:7 76:14,21	action 62:22
<b>55</b> 30:3	<b>85</b> 14:21	79:8 82:24 101:12	162:15,16 176:9
<b>570</b> 28:4	<b>850</b> 28:11	103:21 110:8,20	actions 116:12
<b>5:20</b> 249:19	<b>86</b> 96:16	111:2,7,16 112:13	208:2 212:2,7,9
<b>5:21</b> 250:22	<b>87</b> 177:12	112:14 125:10,12	213:7
5th 106:10 134:7	<b>88</b> 76:12	146:21 156:6	activities 124:14
		162:6 175:21	
		200:17 241:15,23	

activity 141:4	adjourn 39:12	advertised 94:25	16:4,10,22 17:6
acts 174:24,25	adjourned 40:20	advertising 95:6	17:13 20:17 21:2
175:5,6,7,20	adjournment	96:7 97:17 99:20	21:20 24:8,16
actual 77:9	39:21 40:19	100:2,11	25:16,21 26:4
127:20 131:11,12	adjusted 68:3	advice 169:11,24	209:1
147:5,6 181:14	adjustment	182:1,4,23 183:7	affiliates 13:3
<b>ad</b> 11:15 12:7,10	144:24 145:11,13	183:22,24 184:6	24:24 177:24
12:14,17,22 13:7	adjustments	185:21 197:10	208:21 212:3,7,9
13:10 15:7 17:1,7	67:17 68:14,21,25	advise 98:11	212:14 215:10
17:10,15,24 18:2	69:9	107:12	affiliation 207:7
18:6,10 21:25	administrative	advised 98:7	<b>affirm</b> 54:15 87:6
22:10,12,16,18,21	36:19 123:23	223:3	105:17 133:17
22:24 23:6,8,12	admissibility	advisers 164:21	136:3 139:18
23:14 28:17 39:10	89:25	advisors 164:25	149:18 224:9
39:19 41:24	admissible 179:15	165:1 166:16	<b>affirmatively</b> 63:3
103:20,22 104:7,8	179:25	167:22 168:11	205:14
104:9 108:1	admission 55:19	169:6,7 176:6,7	afternoon 133:10
157:17 161:1	88:4,25 89:3,14	180:19 181:7	139:14 152:13,16
219:12	89:18 106:10	185:21 186:15,17	152:17
adam 33:15	134:10 140:13	206:1 211:8	<b>age</b> 95:12,14
<b>add</b> 60:11,11 61:2	150:15 225:1	218:19	agenda 2:1,1
243:19,19	admissions 179:6	advocacy 151:1	agents 131:22,22
addendum 177:18	<b>admit</b> 55:21	advocating	aggregate 241:8
178:19 181:15	134:13 136:21	189:19	aggressive 180:6
183:2 187:5,15	137:2 140:16	afanador 28:1	<b>ago</b> 43:3 171:13
addition 88:12	151:15,17,24	204:4	181:25 210:10
additional 162:2,3	220:24 225:2	<b>affairs</b> 123:22	<b>agree</b> 46:3 51:10
additionally	admitted 48:6,9	124:3,4,4,5,15,16	57:8,19,24 116:21
95:10	55:22 88:17,25	124:17 125:6,11	120:7 151:22
address 38:2	89:2,23 106:11	126:9,14 127:17	181:22 187:20
64:23,24 65:2,5,6	134:17 137:5	127:17,24 129:20	188:6,14 189:6
79:21 86:11 96:10	220:16	129:22	221:18 229:10
121:22 152:17	ads 103:21	affect 48:16	240:24
157:8 213:4	<b>adult</b> 96:11	affidavit 17:23	agreed 44:19
230:21 245:18	adults 95:12,14	18:1,5,9	46:20 49:8 51:4
addressed 99:23	96:17	affiliate 207:4,6	51:13,14 89:15,19
134:16 208:7	advance 106:18	207:12 208:16	196:6
addresses 64:4,20	adversarial	212:24	agreeing 151:22
65:7	187:21 188:8,15	affiliated 2:5 4:6	200:9
addressing 77:21	189:8,24	6:8,25 8:23 9:18	agreement 44:14
<b>adhansia</b> 124:9,24	adversary 52:1	10:13,25 11:10,24	45:21 46:17 47:3
125:2,5 147:14,17	advertise 98:8,12	12:21 13:21 14:11	106:18 144:18,25
147:24 148:1	98:16	14:21 15:2,17,23	145:3,23 146:4,9

1 0	-		$\mathcal{E}$
147:24 176:11,13	allee 3:24	amendment 10:23	112:13,14 118:20
176:23,24,25	allegation 180:4	163:7 207:6	118:23 119:2
177:7,9,22 178:25	180:17 182:10	<b>america</b> 4:22 5:19	121:18 122:16,17
179:18,21 181:16	183:1	american 4:11,24	123:4 124:13,21
185:4,18 186:6,21	allegations 178:24	4:25 5:2 25:25	124:25 125:12,14
187:6 195:13,14	179:1,23 181:24	238:8,9,9	126:20 142:13
195:16,17,19	alleged 197:25	<b>amici</b> 15:10 16:14	145:2 146:9 154:6
196:4,17 197:5	<b>allen</b> 7:1 28:7	<b>amount</b> 81:5,24	163:16 165:6
198:3,11,11 199:5	65:12 80:20 94:17	97:14 98:3 145:6	175:12,14 183:16
199:11,12,19,19	203:22 204:3	145:6,12 146:22	184:22 188:18
199:20,22,24	233:15	147:6 156:1,10	189:10,12 196:16
200:4,5,5,7,10	alleviates 46:14	164:24 202:14	196:19,20,24
209:3 218:8 220:5	allocated 97:24	203:1,12 211:7	200:12,24,24,25
220:10 222:22	allocation 219:15	229:3 230:21	208:12 210:5,7
238:15	<b>allow</b> 15:10 17:1	amounts 100:10	213:4 214:5,15
agreements	21:14 24:4,20	141:15 243:16	236:19 238:13
144:14 145:20,21	78:14 79:4	analysis 113:1	246:10,17
146:1 196:14	allowance 8:9	136:25 140:14	answerable
<b>agrees</b> 178:14	<b>allowed</b> 247:9,9	148:5,13 164:24	188:21
<b>ahc</b> 42:18 44:13	248:11	168:14 181:18	answered 78:12
44:22 107:21	alluded 47:8	184:18 198:10	91:20 191:1
ahead 56:15 62:15	alternative 93:8	242:25	205:12,13,21
63:22 73:15 75:24	154:23,25 215:3	analyze 199:4	216:17
90:5 94:21 108:22	216:7	232:13	answering 70:5
140:25 152:9	alternatively	analyzed 212:18	175:3
174:5,16 198:24	138:17	232:15	answers 124:23
215:1 216:23	amend 61:25	analyzing 218:15	200:23 238:17
217:23 220:2	amended 2:4,4	anderson 10:1	anybody 37:16
225:11 247:24	4:5,10,14 5:5,12	andino 42:24	43:2 166:14 196:2
aid 37:17	6:7,17,23 7:12 8:5	245:24 246:1,4,5	246:9
<b>aisling</b> 34:24 <b>aka</b> 4:2	8:8,21 9:10,10,17	andrew 15:7	anyway 46:14
	10:12,18,24 11:8	82:19	170:12
al 12:2 24:10,18	11:23 12:5,20 13:1,19 14:5,9,19	angela 33:18	<b>apologies</b> 50:19
29:4 36:4 230:5	14:25 15:15,22	anker 4:21 30:20	104:11 135:4
<b>albert</b> 30:18 <b>aleali</b> 30:19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ann 34:10 announced	152:7 <b>apologize</b> 70:7
alex 30:6 47:6	16:3,8,20 17:4,12 20:8,16 21:17	120:12,14	80:20 99:24 100:9
alexa 23:22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ,	108:14 142:1
alexa 23.22 alexander 230:1	24:6,15,22 25:14 25:18,20 26:2,7	announcement 120:10	144:11 191:17
230:20 243:10,14	36:5 41:13,22	announcements	206:13 207:2,21
alixpartners	43:8 45:2 89:1	121:11 122:19	208:10 209:17
135:1	207:3	answer 39:25 46:9	213:10 221:14
133.1	201.3	52:9 91:12 98:5	229:23 242:15
		32.7 71.12 90.3	227.23 2 <del>4</del> 2.13

	T	I	I
apology 107:3	ardavan 32:8	214:15 217:24	attendance 38:20
apparently 83:18	<b>area</b> 97:2 101:22	249:12	attention 76:3
138:2 145:23	126:24	<b>aspect</b> 232:16	152:17 162:13
146:5 226:20	areas 98:10 228:7	aspects 227:12	163:25 191:19
appeal 45:12	<b>argued</b> 62:4,5	231:23 232:12,24	attorney 5:11,15
appear 150:2	argument 77:17	aspen 4:25	27:11 28:10 29:1
172:22 191:16	99:8 151:2 222:9	asserted 81:18	29:15,16 83:11,11
appendix 109:14	229:20	151:16	183:15 241:20
109:22 111:10	arguments 116:18	assertions 151:23	attorneys 27:20
applicable 72:11	122:9 151:3	assessing 168:13	28:2 29:2 30:2,9
applications 39:3	<b>arik</b> 35:6	asset 88:15 147:24	166:12,22 193:11
<b>apply</b> 114:25	arising 114:16	147:24 148:9	193:13,14 194:5
211:3	199:25	assets 110:2,9,18	194:14
appointed 39:2	<b>arm's</b> 148:4	110:23 111:2,7	attribute 77:14
appointment	arranging 38:20	123:23 128:9	audible 87:8
194:14,15,20	<b>art</b> 226:15,21,25	assignment	audience 95:18
appreciate 73:5	ascertain 146:25	240:21 241:2,6	<b>audio</b> 42:24 43:10
100:18	148:4	assistant 83:11	225:15
approach 100:8	<b>asked</b> 38:9 44:5	assisted 62:22	audited 95:19
appropriate 41:2	80:8 102:15,17	231:12,16,18	<b>august</b> 1:16 2:1
41:19 64:15 117:9	113:22 121:20	232:7,11,19 233:2	38:13,13,15 54:9
190:16 206:4	132:10 148:14	assisting 149:14	55:4,9,11 69:17
218:21 248:11	163:21 165:4	associated 79:12	87:15,18 106:2,10
approval 36:4	167:1 173:7	146:12,17	133:25 134:2,7
92:14 156:12	179:13 180:3	assume 58:25	136:11,15 140:1,6
199:18 207:8,9	186:1 187:12	112:13	150:7,10 224:16
250:5	191:1,3 205:9	assumed 179:5	251:25
approve 25:3	212:13 214:13	<b>assuming</b> 57:8,10	auslander 30:23
156:23 186:7	217:14 218:23	58:20 83:2 85:7	auspices 154:18
195:12,18 198:3	221:9 223:2 241:2	85:10 174:5	authenticated
198:10 199:5	241:20 242:12,17	assumption 78:24	151:4
218:22 243:2	<b>asking</b> 64:23 70:8	83:5	authority 175:7
approved 93:16	76:8 78:1,5,6,8	asudulayev 30:21	authorize 15:21
94:1 185:25	106:22 112:21	atinson 30:22	20:6 21:23
209:21,24 239:7	113:3 114:24	atkinson 24:13	authorized 83:10
approving 92:11	117:11 119:17,17	attached 48:2	avail 205:23
93:15 182:18	160:12 175:1	56:1 134:11	<b>available</b> 37:18,24
approximately	179:7,9 180:16	136:10 140:2,13	38:3,4 101:24,25
97:20 100:16	183:6 184:4	177:3 186:7	102:1 106:25
157:3 163:7 222:2	187:23 188:5,17	attaches 224:16	110:17 111:6
<b>april</b> 194:25	188:23 189:1,2,4	attempt 155:8	112:7 165:22
<b>arda</b> 6:18	191:24 196:7,8	attempting 86:8	166:5,14 167:9
	197:3 205:17		205:23 206:2

[available - believe] Page 7

	T	I	
235:19	145:3 153:7,11,13	<b>bar</b> 59:25 90:17	15:3,7,11,18
availed 206:4	196:23 201:15	90:20,24 91:4,7	16:11,15,23 17:6
ave 29:10	202:10 235:5	91:19,22 92:3,4	17:15,20,24 18:2
avenue 27:12	249:4	95:2 115:5,7	18:6,10,14,18,21
30:10	background	<b>barbara</b> 10:8 32:9	18:25 19:4,7,10
average 95:12	153:9 188:9	barker 30:25	19:13,16,20,24
110:16 111:5	<b>balance</b> 147:4,5	<b>based</b> 45:14 49:20	20:2,4,10,21 21:5
112:6	215:7	49:23 56:19 65:24	21:8,12,20,25
avoidance 46:3	balances 145:17	68:22 70:21 74:16	22:4,7,12,18,24
<b>aware</b> 57:19 67:9	<b>ball</b> 11:11 21:20	91:12 110:17	23:2,7,14,20,23
67:12 92:10 93:14	23:2,19,23 25:4,9	111:6 112:7	24:2,9,17,25 25:5
93:25 109:17,17	26:9 30:24 52:20	114:15,23 115:2	25:9,24 26:5,9
109:22,25 110:1,4	<b>ballot</b> 63:10 64:6	144:6 146:20	28:10 36:22 57:13
110:6 111:10	64:8,10,11 67:22	148:11 175:11,13	57:23 65:13 72:14
113:2 116:4 130:2	68:2,17 69:14,16	186:19 208:2	94:18 95:15
130:11,13 148:11	69:16 70:1,10,13	211:10 212:4	108:15 188:2
166:21,21,25	70:17 71:20,21	216:3 235:24	192:11,15 203:22
174:22 175:4,7	72:6,11,11 73:22	<b>basic</b> 212:1	225:10 233:15
176:11,13 185:1,4	73:25 74:21,22	basically 66:16	245:12
206:14,18 215:22	79:9	226:23 227:25	behaviors 127:19
232:1 236:13	balloting 62:23	229:15	belief 111:9
237:23 239:21	<b>ballots</b> 8:17 16:8	<b>basis</b> 141:15	119:23 183:2
248:9	16:20 56:4 58:9,9	148:4	<b>believe</b> 41:18 50:2
b	58:17 63:1,5,6	beacon 11:11	50:8 51:1 60:13
<b>b</b> 1:21 10:1 13:25	68:10,20 69:2,8	21:21 23:3,20,24	76:11,12 84:14
23:11 44:14 47:2	69:19 70:5,21	25:5,9 26:10	85:25 91:1,2,10
49:24 51:4 56:20	71:2,4 72:14,18	<b>bear</b> 147:21	92:6,22 93:6,13
116:1 146:2	73:3,4,20,21 74:4	bearing 48:16	93:24 97:25 98:2
150:23 164:5,15	74:8,9,12,14 75:1	197:5 235:10	98:3,25 101:7
170:10 178:23	76:6	becoming 235:20	102:15 105:9
179:11	balots 8:13	<b>began</b> 153:3	111:15 124:11
<b>babies</b> 12:23 17:7	baltimore 29:18	beginning 185:15	125:17 132:20
17:16	<b>band</b> 72:16	<b>begins</b> 114:11	141:17 153:5,13
back 43:14,15	banging 36:24	<b>behalf</b> 2:6,20 4:7	154:5 156:2 157:2
45:6 51:10 57:10	bankruptcy 1:1	4:11,17,21 5:7,14	157:13,19 160:2
67:12,15,25 68:6	1:11,23 2:10 38:4	5:18,23 6:2,10,14	161:3,12,17,20
70:10 73:19,21	62:1,9,11 73:13	6:18 7:1,6,10,14	163:13 165:16
74:4 76:21 82:21	154:18 186:13	7:16,22 8:1,24 9:3	177:8 182:25
106:25 108:21	212:1 230:17	9:19 10:8,14,20	184:16 185:6
118:17 120:8	239:23 240:1,5	11:2,11,15,19,25	189:4,12 193:13
123:17 126:20	243:13	12:7,14,22 13:5	193:21,22 201:25
129:19 135:13	<b>banner</b> 103:21	13:10,16,22,25	202:6 205:12,13
137:21 139:4,15		14:6,12,16,22	205:21 206:1,18
131.21 137.7,13			

	143.13		
<b>bernard</b> 6:18 32:8	<b>blacked</b> 143:10,12 143:15	43:10	brunswick 31:9
bentley 7:25	blabey 31:3	bridge 42:24	<b>brown</b> 31:8
201:9	208:10 225:14	183:20 191:8	209:23
59:10 90:9 109:1	191:23 201:12	117:18 138:20	167:25 208:18
27:24 30:18 36:9	141:12 185:14	29:20 34:19 50:18	117:24 166:16
22:3 24:1 27:15	61:13 123:11	<b>brian</b> 2:20 7:13	brought 37:7
20:21 21:4,8,11	<b>bit</b> 39:19 44:10	breene 31:7	brooks 30:25
18:17,21,25 20:3	birmingham 29:4	brecon 10:1	brokered 215:19
<b>benjamin</b> 18:14	<b>binding</b> 45:25	breakdown 247:9	<b>broken</b> 146:24
238:11 243:8	113:18	208:13	<b>broke</b> 141:11
234:17 237:23,24	<b>binder</b> 113:12,17	138:17 139:2,3	240:16
229:17,19 234:14	<b>bind</b> 112:17	break 137:21	broader 92:24
215:3 228:14	215:15 230:25	brauner 31:6	broadcasts 97:6
<b>benefits</b> 162:9	186:1,22 190:8	branford 72:23	96:10 97:15
236:13 248:8	180:22 182:6,8	<b>branded</b> 122:20	broadcasting
234:9,19,23 235:3	163:8 168:16	boyle 6:14	96:22 97:1,5,12
227:3 229:12	159:2 161:15	101:3 195:9	broadcast 96:18
210:21,23,25	103:16,17 156:3	<b>boyd</b> 63:16,18	168:17
197:16 200:19	<b>billion</b> 42:16	145:24,25	128:23 156:4
186:22 188:2	<b>billing</b> 39:17	113:21 143:3	<b>broad</b> 28:4 116:10
168:21 180:24	<b>big</b> 241:7	box 29:3 113:19	<b>british</b> 9:15,20
152:4 163:5,9	31:2	bouling 38:4	188:7,14
41:7 111:22 125:1	<b>bickford</b> 17:9	<b>bound</b> 63:4	<b>bringing</b> 187:20
benefit 38:11,14	241:11 243:20	<b>bottom</b> 14.1	209:7
111:23	234:15 241:4,10	<b>boston</b> 14:1	189:15 208:5
beneficiaries	229:17 233:25	237:18	162:3,6 189:7,15
benedict 149:13	211:20 216:1	<b>border</b> 97:3	155:5 161:24
149:6 201:5 221:3	196:11 204:24	<b>books</b> 36:25	150:21 154:15
88:7 90:3 106:13	<b>beyond</b> 97:2	89:25	bring 147:11
54:5 56:10 84:22	237:7,11,11,12	<b>bograd</b> 31:5 <b>book</b> 40:2 89:5,12	203:20 217:3
ben 5:7 33:17	190:18 236:24		<b>briefly</b> 73:8 126:19 140:23
91:25 <b>belk</b> 3:21	<b>better</b> 135:24 142:20 155:7	195:22,24 196:1 200:9 211:4	221:4 233:12
believes 43:25	238:10	194:15,15,20,24	195:5 219:20
197:17	211:2 215:12	<b>board</b> 153:4 162:3	157:16 178:15,16
162:5 182:8 190:7	194:24 200:11	<b>blue</b> 248:16	100:23 102:12
believed 161:24	144:2 170:6 188:1	<b>bloyd</b> 7:10 29:2	63:16 65:15
244:6,8	125:9 130:25	blowbacks 40:10	<b>brief</b> 15:10 16:14
221:14 222:6	121:1 122:18	<b>blouin</b> 19:16	195:9
219:11,17 220:3	97:18,19 119:22	<b>blank</b> 139:9	63:16,18,21 101:3
215:7 216:17	<b>best</b> 57:16 74:2	<b>blain</b> 31:4	<b>bridges</b> 6:2 29:2
			<b>bridgeport</b> 28:12

[bryce - certainly] Page 9

hwygo 6.0 22.22	coloulation 07:0	222,16 22 224,22	06.4 07.2 115.4
<b>bryce</b> 6:9 32:22 <b>budget</b> 95:6,23	<b>calculation</b> 97:9 241:15	223:16,23 234:23 236:7,9,25,25	96:4 97:3 115:4
,		, , ,	138:7,22 151:8,13
97:14,17,20,22,23	caleb 230:1	237:3,4,16,17	152:4 157:19
98:1 100:15,16	california 6:19	238:4	158:16 169:25
241:24 243:2	83:5	canada's 213:23	186:19,20 189:21
budgeting 243:3	call 70:19 79:19	canadian 6:22,22	197:18 213:16
budgets 229:7	83:3 117:7 118:7	9:16 28:2,3 65:13	214:18 230:5
243:6	118:19 119:12,16	65:14 72:14 94:18	cases 41:16 42:11
<b>buildup</b> 158:12	121:10 125:11	94:19 95:14 96:11	62:23 63:1 114:17
<b>bulk</b> 101:17	133:8 222:17	96:17 100:16	147:2 154:9,13
<b>bumim</b> 15:11	226:3,14,20	141:8 146:15,15	cash 168:22
16:15	242:17 244:4	147:7,14 204:4,5	221:20
<b>burden</b> 39:15	250:7	205:18 206:22	cast 16:8,20 56:2
burdensome	called 83:20 84:12	207:10 208:6,21	64:5,16 65:2 83:7
235:21	95:18 107:23	209:11,23 211:14	catch 121:2
burns 31:10	118:12,15,21	211:15 215:22	categories 100:7
burris 2:17	119:9,13,18,24	233:16,16,22,24	110:22
busch 18:1	124:19 140:15	234:9,13,17,23	categorization
business 42:15	159:16 177:9	235:3,25 236:14	235:24
79:10 98:10,14	228:3 231:20	237:19,25,25,25	category 100:11
100:6 123:18,20	<b>calling</b> 121:4,14	238:8	100:12,12 110:10
147:7,20 148:1	246:3	canadians 96:2	111:18 112:2,9
161:14 211:2,5,11	callout 143:3	<b>can't</b> 160:1 168:6	catherine 108:14
businesses 110:24	calls 41:10,11	capacity 206:15	causes 162:15,15
111:3,8	115:23 131:24	captured 64:7	176:9
<b>butcher</b> 242:16	175:9 183:5	67:18	caveat 151:21,24
butler 4:2	<b>camera</b> 149:16	careful 95:20	ccaa 213:24 214:3
<b>button</b> 42:21	<b>camera's</b> 149:12	164:2,10 165:4	214:4 223:3,15
<b>buy</b> 125:4	<b>canada</b> 95:2,5,6	167:18 169:3	ceased 118:3
buyers 77:9	95:11,17,21 96:22	carefully 132:17	120:3 122:20
c	96:24 97:4,6,15	<b>carl</b> 19:3	<b>certain</b> 4:11,19
c 10:14 17:19 27:1	97:18,19 98:8,12	caroline 32:23	6:21 9:1,7 10:11
31:20 32:4 34:8	99:19 100:3,7,12	carrie 2:11 34:21	15:20 25:25 28:2
34:20 36:1 69:17	141:6,8,13 143:1	carryover 207:1	47:4 64:18 94:2
69:19 133:22	143:16,23 144:6	cars 150:2	100:6 101:10
176:18 187:9	144:18,23 145:4	carter 31:12	107:12 109:15
251:1,1	145:24 146:6,23	cartwright 63:21	124:9 141:10,14
<b>c'orange</b> 72:16	148:19 206:16	case 1:3 9:23	142:15,17 143:4
cabin 52:11,12	207:12,17 208:1,2	38:13 39:2 47:4	169:10,12 174:1
<b>cabined</b> 52:11	208:19 209:5	51:5,17 63:6,8	177:1 202:7 204:4
cahn 5:13 31:11	212:16,17 213:2,6	68:2 70:12 71:25	204:5
calculated 222:7	213:7,16,17,23,24	73:13,22 83:3	certainly 39:16
carculated 222.7	214:3,4,17 223:3	86:17,20,21,24	68:21,25 97:23

[certainly - clarify] Page 10

100 01 150 11			CT ( 0 10 CO T )
127:24 153:11	characterize	circumstance	67:6,9,19 68:5,8
169:25 171:5	165:9 231:19	68:1 69:25 70:8	68:12,19 71:2,13
204:2 223:15	237:23	205:17	72:1,8,12,13,17
certainty 169:14	charged 141:10	circumstances	72:21,25 73:24
certified 251:3	141:16	68:16 142:15	74:1,12,13,18,19
<b>cetera</b> 184:15	charles 63:19	190:15 208:16	74:19,20 75:12
214:10 235:20	101:3 133:10	<b>cited</b> 168:5 169:2	76:3,6,9,15,16,19
240:20	195:9	<b>cities</b> 205:18	77:10,11,13,15
<b>cfo</b> 110:13	<b>chart</b> 60:6,10,22	238:1	78:12 79:24 81:14
chakraborty	65:21 67:2,5 68:4	<b>citing</b> 168:24	81:15,19 90:17,21
19:19	68:6 74:17,19	<b>citizens</b> 96:11,12	90:21,25 91:4
challenge 51:24	143:22	city 5:5,7 72:5,22	92:4 112:18 115:7
<b>chalos</b> 31:13	<b>chase</b> 197:23	72:23,23,24,24	115:9,15,16,19
chambers 49:5	<b>check</b> 58:18 59:1	civil 176:11 185:3	116:4,11 122:10
172:6,12 246:3	78:23 81:21 92:22	185:18 186:6	152:25 154:3
249:21 250:8	98:4 144:20 145:3	187:1,6 190:24	156:5 157:8 158:3
<b>chance</b> 184:13,14	172:14,19	218:8,9,10 220:5	161:16 162:15,20
193:24	checking 137:14	<b>claim</b> 2:10,16 3:10	162:24 163:14,22
<b>change</b> 55:6,15	<b>chief</b> 105:4	47:24 59:24 60:4	164:2,11 165:11
61:15 77:14 87:19	children 111:11	62:19 66:24 67:20	165:14 167:19
106:6,8 134:4	111:16	67:20,23 68:2,17	168:3,12,13,14,15
136:17 140:7,10	children's 12:17	70:22 71:3,4,11	168:23 169:4
150:12 224:23	17:2,10	71:11 72:4,6,22	174:2 175:18
<b>changes</b> 41:14,18	<b>china</b> 98:22	73:3,10,11,12	176:5 180:14,24
<b>chapter</b> 2:4 4:5	chipper 114:17	78:10 79:21,23	182:7,12 184:5,6
6:7,23 8:5,8,21	choose 58:11	81:18 92:5 114:15	184:18 185:12,16
9:17 10:12,24	choosing 63:3	114:19 115:1,5	185:17 186:8,21
11:8,23 12:12,20	<b>chose</b> 166:5	184:2,19 190:24	186:22,25 187:19
13:1,19 14:9,19	christina 16:6,18	196:5 197:6 208:5	188:2,7,13 189:5
14:25 15:15,23	54:11,19 55:22	208:17	189:14,18,20,24
16:3,9,21 17:5,12	59:8 63:24 75:25	claimant 46:5	190:13,16 195:12
20:16 21:1,17	81:6	226:2	200:13 202:17
24:7,15,22 25:14	christine 65:18	claimants 3:23	205:20 206:20
25:20 26:2 59:21	christopher 12:13	13:11 22:13,19,25	208:18,20 209:11
62:23 95:3 152:23	15:24 31:12,14	23:9,15 76:23	213:23 214:3
153:22 154:9,13	35:15	154:17 162:16	232:1,3,5
154:16,18,25	<b>chubb</b> 8:20,24	211:17	clarification
155:4,17,18	<b>cicero</b> 31:15	claimholder	47:18 214:6
156:24 157:6,23	<b>circuit</b> 101:25	115:11	222:22 223:1
158:16 160:20	circuited 138:12	claims 48:14,17	<b>clarify</b> 58:1 61:21
161:25 162:4	circulated 204:21	51:7 52:10 60:7	91:18 121:23
189:8 197:10,20	circulating 49:21	60:11,12,14 61:6	145:6 147:12
		65:25 66:1,3 67:5	234:2,7

<b>clarity</b> 48:8 89:7	clerk 2:22 16:7,19	collect 64:4	committee 11:22
<b>class</b> 56:3 60:8	62:22 65:24 68:9	collected 64:3	12:1,17,23 13:10
65:22,23,23,25	69:14 70:9,20,22	colloquy 152:5	17:1,7,10,15
66:4,18,19,23	71:5,19 73:1 79:8	196:23 201:14	22:12,18,24 23:8
67:3,13,23 68:7,9	86:1,18,20,22	218:7 242:23	23:14 24:4,9,14
68:18,19,24 69:11	87:2 96:6 149:4	collura 18:13	24:17 39:11,19,20
69:15 71:5 72:5,9	172:2 222:6	31:16 133:12,13	41:25,25 83:20
72:10,11,11,18,21	<b>clerk's</b> 68:16	133:15,16,20,23	108:2 154:7,11
73:2,4,10,11,12	86:23 135:8 138:4	133:24 134:5,14	157:17 161:1,8,20
74:10,12,13,14,15	173:10	134:17,18,20,22	164:1,10,25
74:19,20,21,24,25	<b>clerks</b> 173:15	135:7	165:18 166:8,14
74:25 76:3 77:2,4	<b>client</b> 63:17 75:1	<b>collura's</b> 134:7,10	166:18,20,22
77:5,24,24 78:2,4	83:19 183:15	137:9	167:19,22 176:2,7
78:4,8 79:8,12,25	<b>client's</b> 128:18	columbia 5:23	178:19 180:18
80:24,25 81:4,14	<b>clients</b> 71:13,25	9:15,20 57:24	182:25 185:23
81:20,25 204:14	72:9 84:5 235:10	83:6 157:11 160:4	192:10,17 194:12
classes 204:18,20	<b>clinical</b> 126:8,11	160:16 161:21	195:11,18,22
238:9	126:12,16 127:18	<b>column</b> 60:22,24	196:1,3 197:3,9
classification	127:20,22,23	81:4 143:25	202:13 203:11,23
66:19 68:5,14,17	<b>clint</b> 32:3	combination	203:23 204:19
69:7,21,23 70:4	<b>clock</b> 41:9	130:21	205:10,14,18,22
205:4 235:25	<b>close</b> 42:3 44:1	<b>come</b> 74:4 76:21	205:25 206:11,15
classifications	224:5	82:21 84:9 106:25	207:8,9,25 208:3
66:17 67:16 68:22	closed 80:6	135:13 137:21	208:7,9,15,17
classified 60:7	101:25	155:8 175:18	209:13 210:15
66:18 67:22 79:24	<b>closer</b> 217:5	194:23 234:5	211:11 212:2,5,11
classifying 68:12	<b>club</b> 29:3	243:4	213:22 214:2
<b>clean</b> 141:22	<b>cnn.com</b> 103:21	comfortable	215:6,9 216:3,14
248:10,22	coalesce 159:18	42:22 58:16 175:3	218:11,14,20
<b>clear</b> 41:7 44:18	coalescing 157:7	<b>coming</b> 108:21	committee's 13:7
46:9,11,16 51:7	<b>cobb</b> 8:13	202:22	22:10,16,22 23:6
53:23 57:3 58:7	<b>code</b> 43:11 62:1,9	comley 28:9	23:12 196:18
66:8,16 73:13	62:11 64:14,17	152:14	207:3
78:24 84:13 85:3	<b>codes</b> 64:4,13,21	<b>comment</b> 157:22	<b>common</b> 237:3
88:12 103:18	cognizant 99:2	180:16	communicate
130:16 134:20	122:13	comments 44:11	125:7
155:25 167:2,5	collaborative	commercial	communication
204:9 206:2 213:9	70:23	122:23	166:12 182:24
223:22 226:24	collateral 46:13	commercials	communications
232:23	colleague 54:12	101:13	194:16
clearly 58:15	135:7 224:1	commitments	companies 129:4
201:13 208:15	colleagues 237:6	124:6,10	131:3 146:12,17
234:5			

<b>company</b> 4:23,23	concept 154:2	<b>confirm</b> 38:10	139:10 164:8
4:25 5:1,1,2,3 6:6	175:8 228:11,12	82:24 177:9	176:8 199:18
6:7,10,11 9:4 11:2	concern 46:14	confirmation 2:2	connolly 31:17
11:11 14:1 21:21	concerned 55:11	4:14,20 5:5,11,21	<b>conroy</b> 22:21
23:3,20,24 25:5,9	58:23 78:23 79:2	6:1,13,17,21,23	consensual 38:16
26:10 30:9 123:1	239:24	7:4,9,21 8:4,20	155:12,23
125:2 147:18	concerning 48:13	9:2,10,16 10:7,18	consent 58:16
153:15 161:13	194:6	11:8,17,22 12:11	63:3 85:18 201:16
162:6 197:24	concerns 3:3	13:1,24 15:6 20:8	201:23 218:3
198:9 199:10	concluded 43:13	20:25 21:17 22:11	consented 39:20
200:13 210:23	148:25 250:21	22:17,23 23:7,13	156:13
218:9,9 245:12	conclusion 39:13	24:6,14,22 25:14	consenting 15:8
company's 174:24	47:23 106:24	25:19 36:4,10	82:20 83:20
175:5 197:6,7	112:21 114:24	39:13,16,17,21,23	163:17
198:7	115:23 117:8	44:17 45:9,9,12	consents 16:14
comparator 240:7	131:25 175:10	45:17,17,19,24	conservative
<b>compare</b> 243:13	conclusions 48:2	46:1 47:23 48:13	96:23 97:8,10
comparison	116:16 151:4	88:14 91:19 92:8	consider 8:4
240:11	condition 156:9	92:23 93:2,11	145:12 161:8
<b>compel</b> 158:2	156:14,19	94:2 140:3 178:4	162:19 178:20
competing 166:23	conditions 156:20	confirmed 45:11	179:13 203:1,11
compiles 49:22	229:6	85:8 163:2,3	208:4 213:22
complaint 174:10	<b>conduct</b> 115:20	169:13,18 211:18	214:3 216:14
174:11 206:22	125:17 179:23	218:16	consideration
complaints	200:7	confused 83:19	151:18 164:2,10
167:20,24,25	conducted 96:8	213:13	165:4 167:18
168:10 169:2	148:4	confusion 198:19	169:3 176:3
170:4,22 173:20	conducting	199:22,25	180:21 187:22
173:22,23,24	126:25	<b>congress</b> 62:8,12	188:6,16,21 189:7
174:2,4,11 206:16	<b>confer</b> 47:10	connecticut 5:22	194:23 197:4
complete 124:11	conference 38:10	5:24 28:10 44:19	198:2 199:9
completed 73:21	41:10,11 44:12	47:15 50:9 57:14	202:13 203:7
complexities	47:8	83:5 152:15	considered 88:18
248:10 250:10	conferences	158:20 165:15	155:15 179:8,10
component	228:25 237:1,5	174:23 175:4	180:3,13 181:20
154:19	<b>confess</b> 174:12	connecticut's	182:5 200:9,9
components	confidential 57:9	183:20	214:12 218:24
154:14 178:8	57:20 58:5 76:8	connecting 244:9	221:10,12,17
comprised 218:3	76:21 78:16,18	connection 45:5,8	considering
compromising	170:1,20	45:14,16 88:13,16	138:15 176:4
64:15,21 65:3	confidentiality	88:18 89:19 96:4	199:5
computer 49:12	64:2,15	105:25 106:2	considers 240:4
		122:9,10 126:25	
		1014	

[consist - country] Page 13

		I	
consist 151:5	227:8 228:14	corporations	249:7
consistent 240:3,5	contingent 13:11	212:22	corrected 55:3
constant 166:12	22:13,19,25 23:8	<b>correct</b> 38:6,6	68:19
185:20 186:12,18	23:15	41:4 43:10 54:18	correction 43:9
constantly 186:10	continue 42:1	54:20,22 59:21,22	81:11
constipation	138:16 148:20	60:1,4,13,20,21	correctly 123:24
119:7 120:1,5	155:5 161:25	61:8 62:13,19,20	145:9
constituent	continued 120:4	63:9 64:9 70:22	correspondence
229:13	121:10	72:19,20 73:3,20	49:23
constituents	contraband 2:23	75:13 77:3,25	cosmetic 125:19
215:20	contract 126:10	80:10 81:10,12,25	<b>cost</b> 65:22 235:16
constitute 45:20	127:21,25 128:5,8	82:17 87:13 90:18	243:6
227:14,23 232:8	128:10 211:19	90:25 91:9,17	<b>costly</b> 250:3
constituting 232:6	237:13	92:5,6,8,9,13,18	<b>couldn't</b> 157:21
consulted 98:9	contracted 126:15	92:20,22 93:1,12	170:2
consumer 184:2	contractors	93:13,20,23,24	counsel 28:17
209:11	130:14,22,24	94:7,8,11,12,25	66:18,20,24 67:13
consumes 227:16	131:2,18	95:8 98:3 103:2	67:16,24 68:1
228:13	contracts 10:23	105:22 109:6,7,20	69:7,23 70:24
consumption	contribute 241:23	109:21 111:8,24	71:25 98:9,11
95:20	control 40:15	111:25 114:21	102:16 108:11
<b>contact</b> 126:14	175:7	115:1,6 116:8	130:17 149:12
128:2 135:8 246:4	convenience	119:1,4 129:7	167:6,6 169:12,21
250:1	153:18	131:4,9 132:18	175:19 182:1
contacting 125:24	conversation	133:23 136:8	183:7,14 184:3,6
135:10	56:19	139:24 140:11	184:12 192:16
contain 63:10	conversations	141:5,7,17 144:1	199:24 206:14,22
103:5 150:24	196:7	145:25 146:3	209:7 230:12
151:1 174:2	<b>convey</b> 36:12	147:8,16 148:10	<b>count</b> 60:19 62:12
contained 51:25	cooperating 144:9	155:1,24 156:14	64:13,20,25 65:1
93:22 94:10	coordination	157:12,18 158:22	75:11
110:25 204:15	66:17	158:22 159:13,23	<b>counted</b> 74:13,14
contains 109:22	<b>copies</b> 42:9 109:8	160:6,17 162:23	75:7,10,12 222:13
116:17,18	230:10,11,13	163:2,12 177:11	<b>counter</b> 123:21
contemplated	<b>copy</b> 49:5 54:10	177:25 179:6	counterparties
155:11,12,14	59:14 90:13 142:7	192:23 202:9	107:13
156:8	143:8 172:4 210:2	213:18 215:11	<b>counties</b> 230:8,9
<b>content</b> 101:24	210:4	221:13 222:5	243:12
102:5 183:6,22	corporate 144:8	223:1 224:3,13	countries 66:13
contents 183:23	211:4 249:1	226:4,16,22,23	98:15,17,20,20
context 47:20	corporation 4:24	227:24 230:2,6	237:2
49:13 79:5,6	62:22 162:10	234:10,12 239:5,6	country 29:3
148:2 207:16	211:3	239:18 242:23	95:17 96:21,21,24
			, ,

[country - creditor]

Page 14

120:24 121:3	82:4,6,9,17,21,23	152:3,3,8 158:8	239:15,19,22		
251:21	83:1,13,15 84:7	158:13,22 163:15	240:7,15 241:13		
<b>counts</b> 130:3	84:16,19,21 85:1	163:23 167:12,15	242:4,10 244:1,4		
county 8:1	85:4,7,14,17,21	170:22,23 171:4,6	244:10,15,21		
<b>couple</b> 64:3 71:1	85:23 86:2,6,9,11	171:9,12,15,17,19	245:9,13,19,22,25		
102:12 129:10	86:12,17,19,21,23	171:22 172:4,11	246:5,13,20,24		
132:6 157:14,14	87:3,9,11,14,24	172:14,19 173:2,6	247:2,4,11,16,19		
171:13 185:24	88:3,23 89:3,11	173:10,14,19,22	247:22 248:2,12		
231:7 238:21	89:14,17 90:5	174:1,4,16,18,20	248:14,20,23		
<b>course</b> 40:23 41:4	91:10,15,20,23,25	175:11,21,25	249:1,6,9,14,16		
41:20 44:6,9	92:10 94:15,21	176:22 177:2,6,11	250:11,18		
49:10 50:4 69:22	96:15 99:10,15	177:13 178:13	<b>court's</b> 93:14,25		
130:1 139:11	100:20,24 102:4,9	179:3,5,10 181:1	250:5		
<b>court</b> 1:1,11 36:2	103:12,16,25	181:10,12 182:15	courthouse 37:16		
36:12,18,20 37:1	104:6,10,12,14,16	183:8,11,25	<b>courts</b> 120:24		
37:11 38:1,3,4,8	104:20,22 105:1,6	184:11,21 190:19	121:3		
39:2 40:5,16,18	105:8,10,13,16,20	190:22,24 191:2,6	<b>court's</b> 149:10		
41:5 43:1,5,13,16	105:23 106:9,16	191:10,25 195:2,6	<b>cover</b> 196:17		
44:8,20 46:11	107:1,3,4,6,9,12	196:16 198:15,19	coverage 96:17		
47:3,5,21,22	107:15 108:11,17	198:24 200:3	98:10		
48:24 49:1,3	108:19 112:12,22	201:1,3,7,24	coverages 125:3		
50:11,14,16,20,23	112:25 113:10	202:1 203:13,17	<b>covered</b> 123:16,19		
51:7,13 52:4,5,14	114:2 115:24	203:21 205:2,6	130:18 136:24		
52:18,24 53:2,5,8	116:21 117:2,14	207:18 209:8,19	137:1 179:23		
53:10,15,24 54:2	117:16,20 121:17	209:24 210:1,6	covering 167:12		
54:9,13,18,21,23	121:20 122:16	211:22 212:6,12	191:3 212:13		
55:10,17,24 56:13	126:1,5,22 128:16	212:17 213:8,11	<b>covers</b> 116:23		
56:15 57:6,19,25	129:1,3,8,13,17	213:14,19,21	205:4		
58:2,6,8,22 59:4,6	130:5 131:24	214:2,7,17,20	<b>covid</b> 37:19		
61:22,25 62:3,6	132:3 133:3,7,13	216:6,19,22 217:9	<b>cpa</b> 20:3 25:4,8		
62:15 63:13,17,20	133:16,21,24	217:12 219:2,20	26:8		
63:22 64:14 65:11	134:6,23 135:2,6	219:25 220:2,7,9	<b>crack</b> 68:12		
65:16 66:9,14	135:12,16,20,25	220:14,17,19,21	crawley 3:7		
69:1,4,12 71:16	136:2,6,9,19	220:24 221:2,5	<b>create</b> 237:19		
71:18 73:15 74:23	137:6,13,16,19,24	222:8,12,16,20,24	created 241:3		
75:4,6,9,11,14,18	138:3,7,17,23	223:5,8,10,17,21	creation 246:21		
75:20,24 76:9,15	139:2,7,14,22,25	223:24 224:3,7,12	<b>credit</b> 147:3,5		
76:20,24 77:1,4,9	140:9,12,25	224:15,25 225:11	182:11 183:17		
77:18,20,23 78:1	141:24 142:3,9,25	226:8,11 231:4,8	203:23		
78:3,7,13,17,19	143:6,16 148:16	233:9,14 234:4	creditor 58:11		
78:20,22 79:4,5	148:23 149:2,8,17	235:8,22 236:10	78:5,9 155:6		
79:16,18,20 80:2	149:24 150:1,6,14	236:12,18,20	157:24 158:1		
80:9,11,17 81:2	151:5,10,13,20	238:13,20 239:10	161:9,22 162:7,22		

[creditor - debtors] Page 15

	I	I	I
189:23 197:18,19	criminally 190:21	cyganowski 31:18	days 40:2 171:13
215:20 217:25	190:22,23	czechoslovakia	185:24
221:22 241:8	<b>crisis</b> 230:22	98:22	<b>dc</b> 30:11
creditors 6:22,23	<b>cro</b> 126:15	d	deadline 14:14
11:22 12:1 24:5,9	cross 43:22 44:2	<b>d</b> 1:22 4:21 10:1,5	40:9,13,21,24
24:14,18 28:2,3	45:7,16 48:10	11:6 21:14 25:12	70:14 90:20
41:25 61:18 65:13	56:11,16 59:8	30:20 32:20 33:23	<b>deal</b> 37:8 38:23
70:21 71:5 73:2	63:14,24 65:18	36:1 136:6	42:16 50:25
73:22 94:18,19	75:21,25 88:5	<b>d'apice</b> 4:11 25:24	137:21 218:8
155:9 158:2,19	90:1,7 94:15,19	daniel 2:19 11:1	238:25
159:10,18 160:23	94:23 99:3 100:20	31:17	dealing 113:1
161:14 162:3,9	100:25 106:12,14	<b>darren</b> 34:7	<b>deals</b> 216:7
163:9,9 165:14	106:18,20 108:4	darren 34.7 dasaro 31:21	deaman 31:25
166:20 168:20,21	108:22,24 117:11	data 95:16,18	<b>deb's</b> 246:3
168:22 170:7	117:16 134:18	96:21	debevoise 192:11
180:25 186:23	137:6,10 138:10	database 65:8	193:11
188:3 190:5,12	138:10,21,24	127:18	deborah 18:20
197:16,17 200:13	140:20 141:2	date 40:20 59:25	33:11 244:8
200:19 202:24	152:1,11 191:6	60:1 90:17,20,24	245:25
203:5,5,24 204:5	195:2 208:17	91:4,7,19,22 92:3	debriefing 181:23
204:6 206:1,12	218:7 225:7,19	92:5 115:5,7	<b>debtor</b> 1:9 13:2
210:21,24,25	235:7 240:9 246:9	120:22 160:1	24:24 43:18 70:11
211:15,19,25	246:14 247:19	193:2 194:20	70:11,19,20 94:25
215:13,16,18,22	250:13	209:9 251:25	95:15 184:19,19
216:16 217:16	crossing 108:10	dated 54:24 55:4	206:15,17 207:25
219:16,18 221:12	crowded 193:15	87:15 88:19,21	208:1,19,21
222:4,5 232:2	crystal 46:16	106:2 133:25	209:11 210:20
233:16,17,22,24	ct 28:12	136:11,12 140:1,2	211:19,25
234:1,9,13,15	curascript 11:2	146:5 150:7	<b>debtor's</b> 36:4 39:9
236:1,3 237:20	curiae 15:10	224:16	39:10 40:12 59:21
240:14 241:4,8,11	16:14	dates 95:2 192:25	66:17,20,24 67:12
243:20	<b>curious</b> 246:11	daubert 46:22	67:16,24 68:1
<b>cree</b> 72:14	<b>currency</b> 145:6,7	50:1,3,24	69:23 70:24 85:24
creighton 7:10	currently 56:24	david 19:12 20:20	92:11,12 98:9,11
63:18 101:3 195:9	<b>cut</b> 126:1,2	31:3,8,24 32:17	109:19 178:3,11
<b>crimes</b> 196:6	148:19 154:10	136:6 137:5	180:24 182:7
198:9	185:14 191:23	davis 9:3 27:10	189:13,15 194:10
criminal 156:8	197:23 199:16	31:22,23 36:9,22	202:6
195:13 196:14	203:25 208:10,11	54:5 113:16	<b>debtors</b> 2:6 3:16
197:4,7,24,25	235:11	133:11 149:14	4:6 5:6,12 6:9,25
198:3,8 199:11,20	cutler 30:8	209:25 244:7	7:21 8:23 9:18
200:4,7	<b>cutting</b> 191:17	day 70:18 72:2	10:13,18,25 11:10
		244:20 250:7,9,19	11:24 12:12,22,25
		2 <del>11</del> .40 430.7,7,19	

13:1,21 14:11,21	120:11 123:2	225:1,2 238:24	delconte 18:17
15:2,17,24 16:4	155:6 215:9,12	239:5 240:16	24:1 244:22
16:10,22 17:6,14	216:8	declarations	245:16 247:6,24
20:8,17 21:2,20	decisions 213:1	88:15 89:4,9,22	247:25 248:4,5,17
24:8,16,20,22	declaration 16:6,6	157:25	249:19 250:12
25:16,21 26:4	16:18,18 17:9,18	<b>declared</b> 78:16,17	delivered 104:3
27:11 36:9,23	17:18,23 18:1,5,9	dedicated 226:3	172:12
41:24 42:19 43:20	18:13,17,20,24	<b>deemed</b> 45:20	delivery 173:11
44:6,13,22 46:4	19:3,6,9,12,15,15	114:14	<b>denied</b> 178:24
47:25 48:14 49:21	19:19,23 20:1,3	default 169:19	179:17,24
54:5,7 56:19,22	20:20 21:4,7,11	defect 70:16	depalma 28:1
56:25 61:16 62:4	22:3,6,9,9,15,15	defendant 207:17	204:3
66:19 68:13,20	22:21,21 23:1,5	208:6	department 27:19
70:2,16 71:24	23:11,18,22 24:1	defendants	124:5,15,16
73:1 90:22 92:4	24:12,12 48:1	107:23 167:21	125:20 126:10
95:10 98:9,11	51:9,15 52:8	<b>defense</b> 164:4,13	178:8
107:21 108:1	54:23,24 55:3,4,5	164:17 165:5	depending 104:17
109:5 110:13	55:11,22 56:20	166:8 168:25	145:16 244:25
112:19 114:17,19	59:14,18 60:2,17	170:10 171:3	<b>depends</b> 52:9,9
115:6,7,9,16	65:21 67:8 69:18	208:18	71:6
129:4 133:11	74:17 76:5,17	defenses 48:15	<b>deposed</b> 235:19
153:21 154:23	82:15 87:15 88:4	165:10	deposition 148:17
156:5 157:22	88:13,17,19,21	<b>defer</b> 66:24	235:5,7
158:2,16 159:1,5	89:1,21 90:2,14	deferring 108:4	depromoting
176:9 178:7,14	90:16 91:6,9 92:7	define 97:1	132:11
181:4 204:11	95:9 98:6 99:1,18	152:25	deramus 20:20
209:6 210:20,22	103:13 106:1,10	<b>defined</b> 45:2 66:1	31:24 135:13,17
215:4,5 216:7	106:11 116:22,23	66:2 68:11 153:17	135:18,21,21,24
220:23 222:16	117:24 120:9	154:1 157:1	136:1,5,8,9,18,20
235:9 238:14	122:8 123:12,15	226:15,19,21	136:23 137:3,5,7
244:7,8 245:14	123:15 132:13	definitely 58:4	137:11,12
<b>debtor's</b> 139:16	133:25 134:7,12	182:4 241:21	<b>derive</b> 68:8,9
148:17 155:9	134:13,17 136:10	definition 66:5	99:20
<b>decade</b> 130:11	136:11,16,20	68:9 77:2,5	derived 146:23
december 145:11	137:2,5 140:1,2	109:14 111:20	describe 40:20
deceptive 174:24	140:10,13,17	113:4 208:3 227:9	42:5 146:15
175:5	150:7,15,19	231:21 232:18	162:12,14 226:14
decide 80:12	151:24 152:18	<b>degree</b> 155:22	228:2 238:22,24
<b>deciding</b> 198:1,10	154:2 164:1,15	delaconte 32:1	described 65:23
198:20	168:5,25 169:2	<b>delaware</b> 7:19,23	147:18 173:17
decimal 81:10	191:14,22 192:1	83:6	227:5,21 229:11
decision 44:16	206:23 210:14	<b>delay</b> 105:24	238:4
45:6,14 52:21	214:23 224:16,22	175:23	

1	1. 104.45	14.1 100 5	1' 50 1
describes 77:24	device 104:4,5	directed 188:5	<b>discussions</b> 52:1
description 65:22	devon 32:2	<b>directing</b> 132:12	106:21,23 108:3
descriptions	dial 37:14 43:9	<b>direction</b> 148:20	123:16,19 152:24
239:5	248:17	211:8	153:2,14,23,25
deserved 164:22	dialed 139:10	directly 57:4	162:2 185:20
design 240:3,5	<b>dialing</b> 39:15	101:5 167:10	197:11 209:5,13
designated 97:2	didn't 152:4	175:6 186:19	<b>disorder</b> 228:19
172:5	154:22 158:21,25	196:5 205:22	228:20,23 229:5
designating 170:1	164:16 165:3,3	238:12 241:5	231:11,15 233:3
designation	difference 160:11	246:1	234:22,24 236:24
222:25	different 39:6	director 62:21	237:13
designed 239:19	77:6 124:22	disagree 58:2	displayed 103:22
239:21,23	127:22 160:10	211:23	<b>dispute</b> 179:2,14
<b>desire</b> 40:12 46:16	162:7 167:8,25	disagreement	180:1
desktop 104:5	169:19 180:21	45:21	dissenting 57:17
desperately	199:4 205:16	disbelieve 182:10	158:2 162:24
244:16	207:13 216:11	disclosure 2:16	165:15 167:20
despite 121:11	240:17 249:22	3:3,13,20 79:1	distancing 37:20
detail 128:4	differently 74:23	92:11,16 93:15	distinction 77:6
129:14	74:24 113:2	109:6,15,19 113:8	207:15
detailed 140:19	difficult 102:2	113:11 114:5,20	distinguish 121:7
155:22	188:10 193:6	117:1 202:7	distracting
<b>details</b> 109:18	241:16,25 242:21	disconnect 139:9	149:22
determination	difficulties 249:24	discontinue	distribute 162:7
70:9 73:1 168:16	difficulty 38:20	120:12	190:12
212:10 213:3,5	138:2 204:10	discontinued	distributed
determinations	<b>direct</b> 46:10 54:25	118:13	202:24
79:13	55:6,12,18,20	discovery 52:11	distribution 207:6
determine 79:8	76:2 87:17 88:5	80:6	239:1,2,2,3,8
202:20	100:5 101:7,15	discredit 182:25	distributions
<b>determined</b> 70:2	106:4,11 112:18	discuss 117:3	233:20
202:15	115:15 117:3,10	123:18 206:21	distributors 9:8
determining	122:6 126:14	discussed 68:19	15:20 106:19
180:22 182:5	132:5 134:1,3,7	90:16 92:7 184:10	107:23
187:25 212:2	136:14,21 140:5	194:13 208:17	<b>district</b> 1:2 5:22
developed 98:15	150:9,11,15	218:11 232:12	8:1 57:23 83:6
157:24 180:6	162:13 187:19	240:9,10 241:19	157:10 160:4,16
186:17	188:7,13 189:5,20	discussing 127:7	161:21
development	190:16 196:12	143:1 196:15	diverted 180:12
48:20 123:22	204:24,25 211:21	discussion 52:6	dividend 207:6
128:9 241:14	224:19 233:3	175:18 184:1	divvied 200:20
developments	237:24 243:20	199:17	dizengoff 11:25
218:11,14			24:8,17

[dmp - ecf] Page 18

		I	T
<b>dmp</b> 108:1,15	160:11 172:22	draft 69:6	duties 212:4
<b>dmps</b> 107:23	181:17 224:17	drafted 150:23	d'angelo 31:19
<b>doc</b> 16:4 20:17	<b>doesn't</b> 91:25	204:21	<b>d'apice</b> 31:20
docken 32:3	<b>doing</b> 160:20	<b>drain</b> 1:22 2:13	e
<b>docket</b> 38:25 39:4	175:13 182:19	2:16,19 36:3	e 1:21,21 3:7
49:5 58:18 59:15	218:14	139:15	18:20 21:7 27:1,1
88:20,22 107:18	<b>doj</b> 176:13 180:20	driving 212:21	31:3,7 35:1 36:1,1
107:19 143:9,12	181:2,3,8 182:2	<b>drop</b> 248:6	87:11,11,12 105:8
170:25 171:2	185:4 196:17	dropping 248:17	105:21 135:3
209:8,9,18	200:4,10 218:8,8	<b>drug</b> 120:5 125:3	136:6 139:23
<b>doctors</b> 119:8,10	220:5,11,17	125:19	245:25,25 251:1
119:12,13,19,23	<b>dollar</b> 42:16 81:1	<b>dubel</b> 21:11 27:5	ear 39:22 40:4,12
120:6 121:10	81:8,9,13,24	137:21 149:3,4,11	earl 8:13
124:21 180:7	243:16	149:14,17,21,25	earlier 82:14 89:4
<b>document</b> 3:4,14	dollars 156:2	150:4,6,13 151:17	131:7 161:10
3:17,21,24 4:1,6	<b>domain</b> 169:11	151:22 152:1,11	163:17 164:18
4:16,21 5:13 6:9	170:12	152:13 158:23	165:7 168:15
6:14,25 8:5,10,13	domestic 68:7	163:15 179:10	176:6 195:20
8:17,23 9:3,23	73:2	181:1 182:16	197:9 198:12
10:4 11:1,10,14	donald 3:24	183:5 191:7,13	206:10,19 211:7
11:18 12:6,13	donation 239:4	192:6 195:3,8	218:17 242:18
13:4,8,15,21 14:5	don't 142:22,23	196:17,20 198:16	244:13
14:11,15,21 15:2	144:4,5,8,10	199:23 200:3	early 118:10
15:6,17 16:10,22	147:25 150:20,21	201:9 202:3,3	241:15
17:14,19 23:2,19	153:3 155:13	203:18,20,25	ease 38:7 153:18
23:23 25:8,16,24	156:15 157:3	204:2,7,9 205:3,9	easier 57:4
26:4,9 116:3,7,17	160:18 161:3	206:14 210:2	easy 227:19
148:6,14 172:2,25	167:11 168:6,7	214:22 215:22	eberhardt 32:5
173:12,17 176:19	169:14,20 170:20	217:1,3,10 221:7	ecf 2:7,11,14,17
178:10 180:15	172:11	221:9 222:14,15	2:20,23 3:1,5,8,11
181:16 182:3	<b>door</b> 173:11	<b>dubel's</b> 175:10	3:14,18,21,24 4:2
187:7,8,9,11,15	183:13,18,23	dubel's 150:15,19	4:8,12,17 5:3,8,15
202:11 209:8,15	190:12	<b>due</b> 50:4	5:19,24 6:3,11,15
209:17 210:8	<b>dorr</b> 30:8	<b>duggan</b> 133:10,11	6:19 7:2,7,10,14
documentary	<b>double</b> 92:21 98:3	133:14 134:25	7:17,23 8:2,6,10
50:7	<b>doubt</b> 46:3 97:9	135:4,9,14,17	8:14,18,25 9:5,8
documents 13:13	dougherty 32:4	137:14,17,23,25	9:12,20,24 10:2,5
41:13,22,23 42:2	dow 13:25	138:6,9,14,25	10:8,15,21 11:4
48:4 51:16,19,20	<b>dr</b> 9:11 12:5 75:23	139:6	11:11,15,19 12:2
51:21,23,25 52:3	230:1,1,20,20	<b>dump</b> 51:15	12:8,15,23 13:5
52:6 109:8 120:23	231:10 243:10,10	<b>duper</b> 41:16	13:11,16,22 14:2
120:24 121:3	243:13,14	duplicative 44:1	14:6,12,16,22
150:21,22 151:4,5			15:3,8,12,18,24
			13.3,0,12,10,24
	Visit and I a	ral Solutions	

[ecf - ernest] Page 19

16:4,12,16,24	education 13:14	else's 53:17	110:1,5,9,10,14
17:7,16,21,25	edward 35:1	<b>email</b> 173:4	110:23,24 111:8
18:3,7,11,15,18	<b>effect</b> 46:14	embodied 219:15	112:1 142:17
18:22 19:1,4,7,10	206:13 211:24	emergency 4:15	143:4 144:9,18,19
19:13,17,21,25	242:22	<b>emily</b> 33:12	145:1 146:7 147:8
20:2,4,11,18,22	effective 95:24	emma 35:14	147:8 153:17
21:2,5,9,12,21	effectively 159:4	<b>employed</b> 100:7,8	168:18 176:10
22:1,4,7,13,19,25	<b>effects</b> 228:3,7	employees 122:19	185:8 189:16
23:3,9,16,20,24	effectuated 236:8	130:21,24	207:24,25 208:21
24:2,10,18 25:1,5	efficient 246:12	employer 96:6	209:1,4 212:16,24
25:9,16,21,25	efficiently 44:13	<b>enable</b> 154:16	212:25 214:9,9,10
26:5,10	<b>effort</b> 51:11	encompassed	214:14 215:14
ecke 9:24 32:6	<b>efforts</b> 77:15 96:4	65:25	216:10 229:8
eckstein 13:9	101:4 156:21	endeavor 249:24	entitled 59:23
22:11,17,23 23:7	159:20 162:8	endeavored 97:8	60:15 61:6 62:19
23:14 32:7	190:6 203:9	<b>endorse</b> 154:7,12	81:15 92:17,19
economic 242:22	215:21	154:19 155:2,2	176:18 210:18
economics 225:4	<b>eight</b> 52:20	endorsed 154:24	<b>entity</b> 78:4,9,10
225:4 241:14	<b>eighth</b> 13:18 42:6	endorsing 154:23	146:23 147:15,15
economist 240:1,2	<b>either</b> 37:20 56:5	<b>engage</b> 127:21	185:7,8 195:20
242:1,21 243:4	63:2 82:10 112:14	131:3	200:18 207:17
economists 227:1	151:23 169:17	engaged 52:1	208:6 210:23
<b>ecro</b> 1:25	170:14 194:25	147:20	<b>entity's</b> 146:15
<b>edan</b> 34:17	208:6 210:3	england 98:21	<b>entry</b> 209:18
<b>eddie</b> 246:4	224:22 238:5	enjoying 227:16	<b>envelope</b> 172:7,9
<b>edit</b> 174:13	243:12 245:5	enormously	172:23
<b>edmund</b> 191:8,8	249:22	237:17	equated 160:9
191:11,12 192:3,5	el 5:14	enroll 126:12	equitable 155:9
edmunds 7:13	election 222:13	127:22	161:17 162:5
29:20 50:13,18,18	elections 222:12	enrolled 127:23	163:13 168:20
50:22 51:5,14	electronic 250:1	enrolling 128:3,12	180:23 182:8,9
52:13,15,23,25	eleventh 14:24	ensure 37:12	188:1 190:8
53:3,6,9,13,22	eli 2:6 13:15,21	44:15 248:21	197:17 202:6,15
54:1 117:18,18,21	14:5,11,15,21	entered 92:10	202:23 210:16,17
126:3,6,18 127:5	15:2,17 16:11,23	131:7 157:22	210:18,19 211:6,9
128:16,20 129:2,7	26:4	158:16 177:23	211:12,18 212:3
129:10,15,18	elicit 44:5	entertaining	215:16 218:21
130:8,9,18,20	elicited 43:22	50:14	equity 216:15
132:1 138:20,20	eliminated 118:10	entire 39:4 116:3	er 9:11 19:13
138:23 150:17	118:11,14	entirely 41:24	ere 212:21
151:11,19 152:6,7	elisa 33:22	55:8 235:14	eric 35:16
183:19,20 191:15	elite 5:2	entities 10:10,15	ernest 3:24
		76:10 90:21 101:8	

[escalate - expert] Page 20

escalate 69:23	214:10 230:5	102:13 106:18	excluded 67:10
escalated 70:15	235:20 240:20	108:24 122:7	69:19 70:1,4,10
eskandari 6:18	evaluate 151:14	132:8 141:2	70:15
32:8	evaluated 214:23	152:11 217:1	exclusively 52:7
<b>esq</b> 17:9	215:2,5,6	221:7 225:19	<b>excuse</b> 55:1 56:9
essence 190:10	evaluating 180:14	235:7,18 240:9	75:6 110:9,23
197:14	182:11	242:13 246:14	149:3 227:22
essentially 37:4	evan 33:25 35:10	examinations	excused 137:11
39:12 58:17	<b>event</b> 44:25 50:3	44:2	execute 124:6
150:25	180:3 186:5,10	examine 63:14	executed 107:20
establish 78:15	everybody 107:3	75:21 88:6 90:1	executive 105:4
100:3 146:22	156:13	94:16,20 100:21	exercise 241:13
established 129:8	everybody's	106:12,20 117:17	<b>exhibit</b> 48:5,5,6
202:1	38:10,14	134:18 137:6,10	49:19,20 52:8
establishing 20:24	evidence 44:17	138:10,11,24	56:20 60:17,19,23
25:18 55:13 87:17	45:7,15,23 48:3,7	140:20 152:1	69:17,19 80:22
136:12 140:3	48:9,12 50:7	191:7 195:3 225:7	89:5,12,24 91:9
224:18	51:18,24 52:3,10	235:6 246:9	109:17,19 136:22
estate 156:5	55:23 82:15 88:13	247:20	141:9,13 142:2
161:15,16 162:14	88:17 89:2 108:5	examiner 39:2	144:12,22 145:19
163:6 165:11	134:17 137:5	194:13	147:11 171:2,6,9
168:2,12,14	151:8,9 177:4	examining 138:15	171:25 172:16,23
180:24 182:7	220:6,16 222:23	138:21	176:15,18 177:2,4
184:18,18,19,19	240:13	example 103:20	187:9 220:4,16
186:22 188:2	evidential 179:2	124:6,8 127:17	222:23
189:13,14,15	evidentiary 44:12	236:22 237:9	exhibits 37:17
197:19 202:25	47:11 49:15,18	238:7 243:11	48:22 56:1,2
206:20 210:22	50:6	examples 235:2	82:16 89:14,18,25
250:3	<b>ex</b> 5:10,14	exceed 12:18	143:10,11 150:20
<b>estate's</b> 185:16	<b>exact</b> 122:24	15:21 16:2 17:2	151:16,25 168:25
202:22	160:1 185:7,8	20:7,13 21:15,23	172:8 219:23
estates 114:17	195:21	24:5,21 25:11	235:2
155:9 157:9 170:6	<b>exactly</b> 71:9 89:13	exceeds 227:4	expect 232:4
210:20	95:13 122:6,12	exception 49:17	expected 195:23
esteemed 215:19	153:3 155:13	excerpts 174:2	<b>expense</b> 129:20,22
estimate 96:18	156:15 184:9	excess 230:24	expenses 123:20
230:20	190:2 224:6 228:9	exchange 163:5	123:22,22,23
estimated 95:11	234:12	201:17,18	124:4
estimating 96:16	examination 45:8	exchanged 148:8	experience 96:10
estimation 70:9	45:16 48:10 56:12	excludable 227:17	155:17 165:25
estoppel 46:13	59:8 63:24 65:18	228:13	<b>expert</b> 25:3,7 26:8
et 9:23 12:1 24:10	75:25 81:6 90:7	exclude 25:3,7	48:8 49:25 119:14
24:18 36:4 184:15	94:23 100:25	26:7 227:19 249:2	126:13 134:10,14
	X7 */ / X		

[expert - figure] Page 21

134:16 136:10,11	126:7 197:18	202:6,15,23 206:3	<b>far</b> 52:22 53:19
136:16,20,22,23	200:12 206:21	210:16,17,18,19	55:11 58:22 78:22
136:25 137:3,4	209:1 211:18	211:6,9,12,18	79:1 89:3 92:3
140:1,6,14,14,17	214:8,10 228:18	212:3 215:15	108:21 153:7,11
140:18,19 212:18	228:21 229:3	218:21 227:4	234:15 236:8
224:17,22 225:1,2	extinguished	232:21 236:5,10	<b>farash</b> 10:8,8 32:9
225:3,5 229:25	163:1,10,22	236:12 239:10	fargate 88:16
230:11 240:8	extraordinary	240:25	farrell 32:10
243:3	37:12	<b>fairly</b> 241:25	<b>favor</b> 56:3 58:24
expertise 101:22	extremely 95:21	fairness 37:8	61:18
experts 230:4	96:23	216:15	<b>fda</b> 125:21 126:17
240:10	eyes 103:25 170:2	<b>faith</b> 108:7	126:25 127:4,6
expirations 42:12	f	<b>fall</b> 110:10 111:17	128:9
explain 38:14	<b>f</b> 1:21 20:3 27:4	112:1 231:20	feasibility 214:23
155:3 228:10	87:12 251:1	familiar 101:16	215:3
explained 165:7	<b>f.x.</b> 34:3	109:10,13 110:4,7	<b>february</b> 118:2,18
167:7	<b>facep</b> 18:6	110:14 116:1	119:19 120:3,11
explaining 119:1	facilities 101:9	122:1 130:1	121:5,11 122:4
explains 108:9		175:19 178:2	<b>federal</b> 68:7,18
explanation 56:1	<b>facp</b> 17:24 <b>fact</b> 38:12 39:1	230:18	73:2 172:13
explanatory	48:11 61:13 77:13	<b>families</b> 44:14,22	177:24
41:17	81:9 97:12 119:18	46:20 47:4,25	<b>fedex</b> 173:15,16
explicitly 93:11	121:8,10 150:9,24	48:15,21 50:10	<b>fee</b> 12:19 17:4,11
express 11:2,3,3	151:8 174:7 192:9	162:18,21 164:3,5	20:15 39:2
165:24	212:9 215:9	164:11,15 166:7	<b>feed</b> 126:19
expressed 139:1		168:18 176:10	<b>feel</b> 58:16 170:6
172:13	232:25 234:8,23	<b>family</b> 10:8,17,20	175:2
expression 235:17	<b>factor</b> 97:13 198:1	19:4,7,10,13,17	feeling 51:3
expressly 109:24	198:10,20 240:19	19:20,24 20:2,10	<b>feeney</b> 32:11
179:23	240:21,25	22:7 29:9 30:2	<b>fees</b> 131:16
<b>extant</b> 49:25	<b>factored</b> 197:13	47:2,7,14,15 48:1	feinberg 203:9
<b>extend</b> 195:11	<b>factors</b> 199:4,9 <b>facts</b> 183:21	103:1,6 109:23	<b>feliz</b> 32:12
229:17 234:15,17		110:15 111:21,22	fell 232:20
extended 241:4	<b>factually</b> 119:21	115:6 153:16	<b>felt</b> 190:5 197:13
extending 191:21	132:18	164:21 189:16	206:3
extension 14:14	<b>faded</b> 66:10 130:5	192:15,17,20	<b>femino</b> 32:13
extensive 150:24	fair 41:2 79:3	206:11 215:14	ferrier 8:10
250:13	112:22 127:2,3	family's 20:6	<b>field</b> 39:1
<b>extent</b> 64:6,17	132:1 155:8	25:12 48:5 49:14	<b>fifth</b> 8:4,8 13:19
65:6,8 66:18,22	161:17 162:5	49:19 50:7,8	16:8,20
67:17 68:3 69:20	163:13 168:20	family's 11:7	<b>figure</b> 41:1 210:1
90:17 96:10	180:23 182:7,9	21:14	249:20 250:8
112:20 117:6	188:1 190:8 193:6		
	193:17 197:17		
	1	1014	

[file - force] Page 22

(°) 0 10 16 41 10	220 17 222 1	150 0 150 11	226022710
<b>file</b> 8:12,16 41:12	230:17 232:1	152:8 158:11	236:9 237:19
41:13 49:4 51:9	filing 12:18 13:14	174:19 175:25	239:25 240:23
59:24 62:18 85:9	13:18 14:4,8,18	213:4 223:8 233:6	241:3,20
85:19 90:21 92:4	14:24 15:10,14,22	242:10,19 245:19	<b>fisher</b> 32:16
92:5 115:1,5,7	16:2,14 17:3	245:19 250:11	<b>fit</b> 77:5
<b>filed</b> 2:6,10,14,17	20:14 21:15,24	finegan 17:19	<b>fitch</b> 29:2 63:16
2:19,23,25 3:4,7	24:21 26:1 40:9	27:8 32:14 86:1,6	63:19 101:3,4
3:10,14,17,21,24	42:8 153:22 157:6	86:6,15,24 87:4,8	195:9
4:1,7,10,16,21 5:7	160:3,15,19	87:10,13,14,20	<b>five</b> 72:3,9,21,25
5:13,18,23 6:1,9	170:24 171:1	88:1,6,19,21 89:1	74:12 233:12
6:14,17,25 7:6,9	197:13	90:1,7,9 91:11,14	flexible 40:3
7:13,16,22,25 8:5	filings 41:7	92:3 94:13,16,20	<b>fliers</b> 101:7
8:10,13,17,23 9:3	120:17,20 152:23	94:23,25 99:22,24	<b>flip</b> 67:1
9:18,24 10:5,7,14	156:24 157:12	100:9,18,21,25	flipping 68:6
10:19 11:1,10,14	<b>final</b> 16:18 44:11	101:2 102:13,15	<b>floor</b> 29:10
11:18,25 12:6,13	47:8 55:9,11	103:13 104:24	<b>flow</b> 53:6 185:20
12:22 13:4,9,15	60:19 65:20 66:21	<b>finegan's</b> 88:4,13	187:4
13:21,25 14:5,11	67:1 68:4,4,14,23	88:15 89:20 99:1	<b>flows</b> 186:18
14:15,21 15:2,7	69:17,20 70:17,19	<b>finish</b> 49:11	<b>focus</b> 49:5 52:6
15:11,17,24 16:11	70:23 74:17	finished 42:9	99:19 234:13
16:15,23 17:6,14	finalization 69:8	143:22 144:3,5	focused 180:6
17:19,24 18:2,6,9	185:7	<b>fink</b> 4:2	243:17
18:13,17,20,24	finalized 66:20	<b>finzi</b> 32:15	focusing 69:5
19:3,6,9,12,16,19	finally 46:18	<b>fire</b> 4:23 6:6,11	162:23
19:23 20:1,3,9,20	107:22	9:4	<b>fogel</b> 32:17
21:4,7,11,20,25	<b>finance</b> 119:15	<b>firm</b> 39:8 192:11	fogelman 5:18
22:3,6,11,17,23	122:23	204:3	32:18
23:2,7,13,19,23	financial 105:5	<b>firms</b> 42:18 194:5	folks 222:6
24:1,8,16,25 25:4	169:6 212:23,23	<b>first</b> 6:22 28:3	<b>follow</b> 64:2 89:20
25:8,24 26:4,9	<b>find</b> 41:1 47:10	36:12,17,19 43:19	113:6 242:9
41:21 46:22 50:2	78:11 98:5 113:23	54:11 65:14 66:6	followed 160:9
53:13,19 55:9	141:25 175:22	66:10 67:22 68:12	following 47:8
58:12 59:2,15	204:25 250:6	68:22 72:3,14	122:3
60:3 67:6,20	<b>finding</b> 47:23	75:12 89:9 94:19	<b>food</b> 125:19
71:25 72:4,9	113:13 179:15	95:16 118:11,17	football 42:4
73:11 74:19	<b>findings</b> 46:1 48:2	129:19 152:17	footnote 142:14
107:18,20 120:24	179:1,22,24	160:21 161:23	footnotes 70:13
121:3 143:12	<b>fine</b> 36:20 40:16	171:25 177:22	force 118:4,7,10
155:20 159:13,14	44:7 46:17 53:5	181:21 184:1	118:15,18 119:9,9
159:22 161:1,6	54:13 57:7 58:20	187:7,11 193:3,4	120:4,5,12 121:4
185:2 202:18	84:21 98:24	194:23 204:6,8,13	122:21 132:12,24
206:16,20 209:9	105:24 120:9	224:12 230:10	158:19
218:4 221:16	129:1,17 147:13	233:17 235:25	100.17
210.7 221.10	127.1,11 171.13	233.11 233.23	
		ral Solutions	I .

[forced - getting] Page 23

<b>forced</b> 163:11,21	foundation 71:19	full 92:20 93:4,22	garrett 19:6
<b>forces</b> 118:11	182:13,17 213:15	94:6,10 114:10	gary 22:9 33:8
<b>forcing</b> 163:18	239:4	145:12 159:9	<b>gather</b> 136:22
forecast 123:18	<b>four</b> 37:4 38:16	161:3 169:14	205:11
<b>forego</b> 44:17 45:7	48:11 72:23	195:22,24 196:1	gathering 186:14
45:7,15,15	107:11 116:22	202:21,23 245:24	<b>gautam</b> 18:24
foregoing 251:3	177:23 205:4	<b>fully</b> 38:16 39:1	225:19
<b>foreign</b> 115:13	233:12	function 226:20	gautan 27:7
143:21 144:13	fraidin 32:19	226:24 227:25	242:13
forensic 134:15	<b>frame</b> 178:1	<b>funded</b> 233:20	gayle 18:5
forgetting 193:22	186:20	<b>funding</b> 236:3	<b>gears</b> 70:7
forgive 108:8	framework	<b>funds</b> 97:24	geldreich 32:24
138:12	152:24 153:1	100:11 141:9	<b>general</b> 5:11,15
<b>forgot</b> 107:5,10	154:1,8,12,20	226:2,2 227:22	29:15 43:10 59:25
108:8 243:22	155:5,11,22 156:3	<b>further</b> 41:21,22	67:15 79:1 81:14
<b>form</b> 170:8	156:11,16,17	56:8,9 63:12	83:11 123:23
formal 84:3	157:1,5,23 158:18	65:10 69:24 75:17	204:16,17 227:10
107:17	158:18 159:16,17	80:15 82:2 94:14	228:11 229:10,13
formally 156:25	160:5,8	103:11 117:12	241:11
formation 185:22	<b>france</b> 115:14	132:2 133:2	generalized 96:5
formed 45:4	frank 29:1 32:20	148:22 169:17	generally 57:9
218:20	63:15 100:22	186:10,16 191:4	58:9 64:8 79:13
formerly 84:8	101:2 195:4,8	194:16 195:1	97:4 229:6
formulary 125:3	231:10	201:2 203:15	generate 229:7
<b>forth</b> 36:6 56:23	<b>franklin</b> 6:2 7:10	216:21 222:10	generically 40:20
60:7 67:12,15	29:6	233:7,12 242:1	186:9
74:18 80:24	frankly 80:6	<b>future</b> 45:5,13,14	gentleman 64:25
100:13 156:20	85:10 106:17	45:21,22 46:7	genuinely 108:8
180:4 196:23	236:8 250:4	48:16 51:19	geoffrey 33:7
202:21 225:5	<b>frazer</b> 32:21	111:23 115:20	<b>gerard</b> 10:20 19:3
<b>forum</b> 15:11	free 37:14 52:20	116:5,11 122:10	19:6,9,12,16,20
16:15	138:4 229:7	g	19:24 20:1,10
<b>forward</b> 39:3 99:4	<b>freed</b> 241:24		22:6 31:15 35:19
155:18 156:18	fresh 182:20	<b>g</b> 9:3 31:10,22	germany 98:21
157:6 161:11,25	friedman 6:9	35:20 36:1 87:12	<b>gerry</b> 193:18
184:7 194:8	32:22	178:24 224:12,13	getting 57:11
218:15	<b>friends</b> 237:15	245:25	86:16 100:10
forwarded 67:23	<b>front</b> 90:15	gabe 31:9	105:24 110:18
<b>found</b> 88:20,22	103:22 152:19	gain 234:14	158:13 159:1,21
95:21 142:9,9	177:7 209:18	<b>galan</b> 18:5	161:14 163:5,6,6
169:8 178:20	<b>fulfill</b> 125:22	gange 32:23	163:8 168:11
179:17 232:24	fulfillment 125:18	<b>garbled</b> 153:8	178:19 199:3
		225:16	200:17 246:4
	I.	L	l .

[gibson - green] Page 24

<b>gibson</b> 32:25	217:23 218:15	170:23 171:1,5,8	gosh 193:21	
giddens 33:1	220:2 225:11	171:11,14,16,18	<b>gostin</b> 30:13	
gilbert 33:2	236:23 237:1	171:21,23 172:5,7	245:10,11,14,21	
<b>gill</b> 32:24	247:24 250:12	172:13,18,21	<b>gotto</b> 22:9 33:8	
<b>give</b> 49:13 61:4	<b>god</b> 54:16 87:7	173:1,2,5,7,13,18	governing 145:4	
89:10 97:20 98:19	105:18 133:19	173:20,25 174:16	government	
142:4 158:3 166:2	136:4 139:20	174:17,21 175:1	176:12,14 177:1	
166:10,15 176:2	149:20 224:10	175:21,23 176:1	177:25 178:20	
184:22 186:3	goes 53:18 97:2	176:15,17,19,24	185:18 187:6	
222:19,25 229:22	98:23 177:10	177:5,14,15,17	195:20 241:23	
235:1 245:1	<b>going</b> 36:13 38:25	178:17,18 179:4,7	243:3,7	
<b>given</b> 51:3 71:19	40:10 49:4,4,18	179:12,13,20	government's	
92:8 97:19 109:11	50:24 69:8 71:6	180:1,2,15 181:13	178:2	
113:12 116:22	71:10,14 72:2,2	181:22 182:14,19	governmental	
117:10 122:2,24	80:14 89:20 91:11	182:21,22 183:9	10:10,15 13:10	
123:5 147:6	99:4,9 102:2	183:10,13,21	22:12,18,24 23:8	
164:17,21 165:2	112:23 120:8	184:23,24,25	23:15 68:8,18	
166:24 169:1	122:6,12 128:18	188:9 190:1,21,23	73:2 211:17	
170:3 183:21	148:20,20 153:5	190:25 191:4	governments 9:16	
<b>giving</b> 161:13,13	153:13,16 155:15	201:14 205:9	157:17 160:25	
201:23	156:1,4 159:6,15	217:7,14,24	229:4 243:1,5	
glasses 144:22	162:1 169:16	goldstein 33:5	gowrisankaran	
gleit 33:3	172:24 173:8	golijov 33:6	18:24 27:7 149:3	
<b>glitch</b> 207:25	174:9 175:9 183:5	<b>good</b> 36:2,8,21	224:4,5,11,14,15	
210:15 216:4	184:22 190:2	47:6,12 48:20	224:24 225:8,14	
217:3	196:11 197:24	59:10 73:5 74:7	225:19 231:5,10	
global 159:4	202:21 204:18,23	76:2 87:4 90:9,12	233:19 234:7	
<b>globe</b> 14:1	206:22 210:24	105:25 108:7	238:21 242:13	
<b>go</b> 37:1 38:24	224:1 234:4 236:3	109:1,4 117:22	gowrisankaran's	
39:18 43:14,14	236:3 238:8	133:10 137:23	225:3	
56:15 62:15 63:22	242:10,15 245:5	139:3,14 152:13	graham 3:11	
71:11,20 73:15	246:1,18 249:20	152:16,17 166:1	<b>grain</b> 71:2	
75:24 83:4 84:7	249:21 250:8,13	184:13 197:1	<b>grand</b> 72:5,22,23	
90:5 94:21 108:22	<b>gold</b> 7:6 33:4	201:9 203:9	grandchildren	
118:17 129:19	57:22,22	207:15 227:8,10	111:11,17	
134:23 140:25	goldman 5:23	227:10,11,14,23	granted 114:15	
142:3,5 145:2	28:14 57:13,13	228:12 231:20,24	<b>granting</b> 16:1	
152:9 153:7	152:2,8,10,12,13	232:8,12,14,18,20	20:13 25:11	
155:18 158:21	153:8 157:2	240:20 247:14	granular 71:1	
168:18 174:5,16	158:10,14,15,24	goodman 33:7	great 39:24 83:15	
193:6 198:24	159:11 160:13,14	<b>goods</b> 226:15,20	114:10 159:20	
202:10 215:1	161:10 163:24	226:25 228:1	<b>green</b> 33:9,10	
216:8,10,23	164:7 167:14,17	232:6,16,24	38:4	

greenberg 28:1	231:17 235:22	happened 38:11	181:7 191:15
204:4	244:21,24	167:8	193:8 245:10
greenspan 18:20	guessing 169:22	happening 250:2	249:8
33:11 244:8,18	guidance 246:4	happens 52:17	<b>hearing</b> 2:1,2,4,9
245:25 246:14,21	<b>guilty</b> 130:1,18	<b>happy</b> 43:4 46:9	2:13,16,19,22,25
248:2 249:9,16	131:7 185:1,6,12	47:11 49:11 58:15	3:3,7,10,13,16,20
250:6	185:17 186:5	167:4	3:23 4:1,4,10,14
greenspan's 249:6	187:1 196:7 198:9	harbor 77:17	4:19 5:5,10,17,21
<b>greg</b> 193:21	<b>gulf</b> 6:5,10	hard 38:18 42:20	6:1,5,13,17,21 7:4
gregory 22:6	<b>gulkin</b> 33:14	231:14	7:9,12,16,19,25
29:13 178:23	<b>gupta</b> 17:23	hardcopy 141:22	8:4,8,12,16,20 9:1
222:21	<b>guy</b> 119:15	141:23	9:7,10,14,22 10:1
greville 23:18	h	harmonized 237:3	10:4,7,10,17,23
<b>grim</b> 33:12	<b>h</b> 6:14 13:9 22:11	harold 33:23	11:6,13,17,21
<b>ground</b> 191:3	22:17,23 23:5,7	harrington 5:7	12:4,10,17,25
<b>grounds</b> 116:16	23:14 32:7 109:14	33:17	13:7,13,18,24,25
<b>group</b> 7:2 10:15	109:22 111:10	hasn't 78:23	14:4,8,14,18,24
11:15 12:7,10,14	212:21	hate 223:12	15:5,6,10,14,20
15:7 17:25 18:2,7	haberkorn 33:15	head 62:21 76:19	16:1,6,14,18 17:1
18:10 21:25 67:21	hadley 30:1	81:22 123:10	17:9,18,23 18:1,5
75:1 83:19,21	hage 29:8 192:19	185:10 186:4	18:9,13,17,20,24
84:3,3,8,14 92:24	193:20	<b>headed</b> 245:20	19:3,6,9,12,15,19
108:12,16 124:12	hale 30:8 245:11	<b>health</b> 162:10	19:23 20:1,6,13
125:11 144:7,8,8	half 83:25	227:3 239:24	20:20,24,25 21:4
154:17 161:3	halfway 144:23	240:4,10 241:14	21:7,11,14,19,23
196:9 218:2,3,6	hamermesh 19:23	243:1,4,11	22:3,6,9,15 23:1,5
219:13,16 247:1	50:1 51:17	healthcare 118:7	23:11,18,22 24:1
<b>group's</b> 10:10	hampshire 83:9	118:19 121:5	24:4,12,20 25:3,7
<b>groups</b> 153:15,19	85:8	125:7,9,14,24	25:11,18,19,23
153:25 155:6	<b>hampton</b> 33:16	131:13 225:4	26:1,7 36:10
162:7 166:5	hand 54:14 87:5	228:8 240:1 242:1	37:13 39:14,18
221:23	105:14,16,24	242:21	41:19 44:13,17
guarantee 4:24	133:17,21 135:22	hear 50:14,24	45:10,18,19,24
<b>guard</b> 22:15 33:13	136:2 139:18	59:11 66:9 90:10	47:20,23 48:13
guardian 7:1	149:18 172:12	102:3 109:2	49:9 52:24 53:7
guarding 170:21	224:8 247:19	135:22,25 157:21	55:2 87:17 88:14
guess 52:8 83:9	handle 149:24	165:24 166:3	89:19 92:8,24
85:14 101:14	150:3,3 196:14	180:19 181:9	93:2,12 94:2
104:6 134:19	handy 209:17	188:10 201:10,12	106:3 134:1
150:3 174:8	hang 139:8	225:12 226:17	136:13,14 137:10
180:17 197:3	hanging 246:18	244:25 249:17	140:4,4,16 150:8
198:19 199:3	happen 234:21	<b>heard</b> 38:12 57:10	224:19,20 231:14
210:5 213:8,23	пиррен 237.21	165:1 166:15	

[hearings - hope] Page 26

hearings 37:13	117:15 121:9,15	46:8,19 47:6,9	148:21 149:1,4,6
40:8 88:18	121:19,24 123:3	48:19 49:10,13	149:21 150:5,13
hears 248:24	201:5,5,8,9,13,19	50:4,12,13 51:6	150:17 151:19
hearsay 151:10,11	202:2,4 203:13,15	51:22 52:15,25	152:2,7,10,22
151:12,12	218:23 221:3,3,6	53:22 54:3,4	160:7 167:14
<b>heart</b> 44:11 47:9	221:8 222:8,10,13	56:10,17 57:2,5,7	171:2,21 172:7,10
heavy 213:15	<b>high</b> 56:3 95:21	57:13 58:1,3 59:3	172:18 173:5,7
<b>held</b> 115:5 175:5	96:2	59:5,7 62:2,4,14	174:8 175:16,24
<b>help</b> 54:16 87:7	highlighted	62:16 63:12,15	177:5 178:17,22
105:18 131:3	142:14	65:9,12 71:7,22	179:4,14 181:5
133:19 136:4	<b>highly</b> 42:11	73:6,17 75:15,22	182:21 183:10,19
138:13 139:20	46:13	76:25 77:12 80:1	183:21 184:4,16
149:20 155:5	hirshman 33:19	80:10,16,19,25	184:24 191:4,8,11
209:16 224:10	<b>hit</b> 43:11	82:3,8,13,18,19	192:3 195:1,4
228:19,22,24	<b>hmm</b> 60:18 67:4	83:16,17 84:11,13	196:21 198:23
229:9 236:23	<b>hoc</b> 11:15 12:7,10	84:13,18,20,22	199:22 200:11
<b>helped</b> 154:20	12:14,17,23 13:7	85:20,22,25 86:11	201:2,5 202:2
<b>helpful</b> 37:2 113:6	13:10 15:7 17:1,7	86:14 87:1,20	203:16,19 204:23
169:8,22 173:25	17:10,15,25 18:2	88:7,9,11 90:3	207:21 209:22
174:18 210:10	18:6,10 21:25	92:1 94:14,17	211:23 213:12
214:16 234:25	22:10,12,16,18,22	96:20 98:25 99:14	214:6,19 216:21
hereunder 96:4	22:24 23:6,8,12	100:19 102:8,11	216:25 219:22
herring 33:18	23:14 28:17 39:11	102:12 103:11,19	220:1,3,20 221:1
hesitant 170:1	39:20 41:24 108:1	105:3 106:13,17	221:3,6 222:10,15
<b>he's</b> 149:12	157:17 161:1	107:2,7 108:14,23	222:21 223:9,12
151:22 160:12	219:12	112:15 113:5	223:20,22 225:10
hickey 2:23	<b>hold</b> 114:18 172:2	114:4 115:22	226:10 231:3,6
higgins 27:24	206:24 214:25	116:14,17,24	233:7,11 234:6
56:10,10,14,17	<b>holder</b> 72:7 78:10	117:12,18 121:9	235:4,12,23
59:5,7,9,10 61:22	holders 76:16	121:16,24 122:5	236:21 238:19
61:23 62:2,4,14	77:13,15	122:15 123:3	239:6,13 240:23
62:16,17 63:12	holding 11:2	126:3,18,19	242:6,8 244:3,17
84:22,22 85:2,5	114:15	128:20 129:7,12	245:10 246:7
85:20 88:7,8,10	holdouts 161:8	129:16 130:8	247:7 248:7
90:3,3,6,8,9 91:16	holland 98:21	132:1,7 133:2,10	250:16,17
91:21,24 92:1,2	hollister 28:16	133:15,20 134:5	honor's 44:11,24
94:14 106:13,14	<b>hon</b> 1:22	134:22,25 135:4,9	47:9 82:14 242:9
108:21,23,25	honestly 120:14	135:15,18,19	honorable 203:10
109:1 112:15,16	126:13 128:15	137:12,14,17,23	honoraria 131:16
112:23,24 113:3,5	<b>honor</b> 36:8,11,21	137:25 138:6,20	hook 108:20
113:7,14 114:3,4	37:4 38:6,9 40:4,7	138:25 139:6	<b>hope</b> 39:4 46:8
114:7 115:25	40:17,22 41:6	140:8,23 141:1	72:3 108:5 135:2
116:15,24 117:12	42:23 43:12,17	142:1,8,12 143:18	214:15

1 6 1 40 40	1 1 22 24	• , , , , ,	106071101
hopeful 40:13	hurley 33:21	important 41:12	136:25 143:4
hopefully 57:3	hyde 26:25 251:3	42:11 53:15 84:4	163:9 180:8
105:25 108:10	251:8	162:19,19 170:18	185:23 190:13
169:13 245:15	<b>hyder</b> 33:22	190:5 221:20	196:4 219:15
249:19 250:9	hypothetical 48:2	importantly	225:4 232:11
<b>hoping</b> 81:3	51:17	215:18	242:11
106:24 174:23	i	impression	<b>income</b> 123:24
234:6	i.e. 77:7	103:17,19,23	incorporated
horewitz 23:11	iac 141:6,9 146:19	104:1,2,3,7,16	134:12 168:19
<b>hospital</b> 8:1 76:3	iacs 143:21	impressions	181:6 184:7,17
76:6,9,10,15,16	144:13 212:18	103:14,14,17	195:16 221:22
76:23 77:10,11,13	214:10	improperly 12:4	incorrect 111:15
77:15 78:9,10,10		inadmissible	indemnity 208:6
79:9 239:2	iain 34:25	178:25	213:5
hospitals 11:15	idea 112:1 127:10	incarcerated	independent 4:15
12:7 17:25 18:3,7	127:11 157:23	38:22 101:6,11,18	9:11 53:18 146:12
18:11 22:1 28:17	179:14	include 66:6	146:17
77:9,14	identifiable 65:3,4	81:13 93:3,4,21	<b>indian</b> 72:16
hour 39:5	identified 56:22	94:5,9 97:23	indiana 28:19
hours 41:9	130:6 228:15	101:4,8 114:11,14	indianapolis
housekeeping	229:2 238:2	124:17 128:25	28:20
36:10,23 37:4	<b>identify</b> 110:8,17	154:2 159:5 164:3	indicate 56:6
43:12 83:18	111:2,7,16 112:7	164:13 199:20	180:11 226:1
hrycay 25:4,8	148:6,14 238:5	205:6 214:17	229:25
26:8 46:23 48:9	243:22 244:6	236:23	indicated 76:12
hudson 30:3	identity 64:21	included 12:20	76:20 78:20,20
33:20	82:25	17:4,12 20:15	106:20 119:6
huebner 13:4	ii 32:21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	138:14 182:24
	<b>iii</b> 2:14	70:2,11,16 81:19	242:20
27:16 36:13,18,21	<b>imagine</b> 119:13	92:15 93:11 96:17	
36:22 38:6 40:17	119:15,17	98:15 100:15	indication 182:10
40:22 41:6 43:7	immediate 249:25	102:18,22 128:23	indications 82:14
54:3 83:17 84:11	immediately	129:5 132:15	indirect 237:23
85:22 107:2,5,6,7	39:13	143:13 165:5,7	238:7
107:10,10,16	impacts 243:6	169:3 180:17	indiscernible
235:4,5,12 246:7	implemented	190:6 197:11	37:22 38:17,18
248:7,13,15,21,24	159:6	202:21 215:15	39:23,24 41:14,15
249:4,18 250:16	implications	235:2	41:19,22,23 42:8
human 142:16,23	196:9	includes 111:11	42:17 43:4 44:9
hundred 37:2	<b>implied</b> 221:14	111:21 134:9	45:20 46:21,21
hundreds 109:22	implies 131:10	<b>including</b> 45:9,17	54:7,8 58:3,4,19
hungary 98:22	importance	48:17 51:16	58:21 67:19 68:25
hunter 31:4	196:18	109:23 114:18	74:6 75:6 83:4
	170.10	123:19 136:21,24	84:2,3,17 86:18
	Veriteyt Lea		

		T	
86:22 87:2,22	ineffective 180:11	instances 63:7	interregnum
95:10,11,17 100:6	inefficient 250:3	instruction 69:24	246:12
103:7,8 105:7,12	<b>inform</b> 47:2	instructions 122:2	interrupt 38:1
105:15 107:22	167:24	122:24 123:5	40:5 99:2 142:25
112:4 113:21	informal 229:1	insurance 4:22,22	183:4 231:13
114:18 118:2	informally 156:25	4:23,24,25,25 5:1	intricate 109:18
141:5 145:21	information 64:7	5:2,2 6:6,6,10,11	introduce 172:8
146:1,1,2 147:7	64:12 65:4 76:8	8:20,24 9:4 30:9	introduction
147:14 150:5,22	78:13,15 79:4,14	125:1 245:12	136:20
151:1,2,3,6 154:4	79:24 89:22 93:6	insurer 11:17	<b>inure</b> 210:20
154:24 157:20	93:7,9,10 103:5	<b>insurers</b> 4:19 9:2	investigate 233:21
158:7 162:18,19	110:17 111:6	integrated 97:10	233:23,25 234:9
163:8 166:22	112:7 122:9	intend 52:9	243:16
174:15 175:10	146:20 169:10,24	138:16 246:13	investigated
176:25 177:14	170:7,11,12,15,17	intended 44:15	231:22 232:3,23
178:23 179:20	170:20 176:8	46:7 54:25 55:18	investigating
180:9,22 183:4	180:21 182:24	65:14 73:23 80:7	195:11
185:3 187:2,15	183:6,14 185:20	106:4 134:1 150:9	investigation
188:6 192:12,22	186:3,14,15,16,18	172:8	177:24 178:7
193:9 194:11,24	187:4 225:5 242:2	<b>intent</b> 46:8,11	investigator 127:8
198:5,17 200:2	250:3	intention 129:9	investment 228:6
212:9 228:25	informed 46:24	138:21	229:11
234:3 237:7,22	211:11	interact 126:24	investments
245:7 248:1 249:8	<b>initial</b> 36:23 68:17	interactions 237:6	243:11,13
249:11,13,15	70:1,9 159:15,22	intercompany	<b>invite</b> 165:18,21
individual 12:10	159:25 160:23	140:15 141:15	<b>invoke</b> 183:15
12:14 39:11,19,20	initially 161:1	143:21 144:12	involved 44:10
50:22 58:11 76:18	2-242-4-J 107.0		
	initiated 127:8	145:16	153:23,25 166:1
125:12 127:24	initiative 13:14	145:16 <b>interest</b> 43:20	153:23,25 166:1 216:4
125:12 127:24 174:23 175:4,6			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	initiative 13:14	interest 43:20	216:4
174:23 175:4,6	initiative 13:14 239:4 initiatives 101:5 injury 218:25	<b>interest</b> 43:20 57:16 114:19	216:4 ira 11:25 15:11
174:23 175:4,6 232:14 241:8	initiative 13:14 239:4 initiatives 101:5	interest 43:20 57:16 114:19 170:6 215:12	216:4 <b>ira</b> 11:25 15:11 16:15 24:8,17
174:23 175:4,6 232:14 241:8 individually	initiative 13:14 239:4 initiatives 101:5 injury 218:25	interest 43:20 57:16 114:19 170:6 215:12 interested 37:11	216:4 ira 11:25 15:11 16:15 24:8,17 irrelevant 51:21
174:23 175:4,6 232:14 241:8 individually 72:24	initiative 13:14 239:4 initiatives 101:5 injury 218:25 219:9,13	interest 43:20 57:16 114:19 170:6 215:12 interested 37:11 125:2	216:4 ira 11:25 15:11 16:15 24:8,17 irrelevant 51:21 irve 5:23 28:14
174:23 175:4,6 232:14 241:8 individually 72:24 individuals 93:7	initiative 13:14 239:4 initiatives 101:5 injury 218:25 219:9,13 inquiry 196:3	interest 43:20 57:16 114:19 170:6 215:12 interested 37:11 125:2 interests 98:10,14	216:4 ira 11:25 15:11 16:15 24:8,17 irrelevant 51:21 irve 5:23 28:14 57:13 152:13
174:23 175:4,6 232:14 241:8 individually 72:24 individuals 93:7 101:8,11 110:2,10	initiative 13:14 239:4 initiatives 101:5 injury 218:25 219:9,13 inquiry 196:3 insider 206:6,10	interest 43:20 57:16 114:19 170:6 215:12 interested 37:11 125:2 interests 98:10,14 211:2	216:4 ira 11:25 15:11 16:15 24:8,17 irrelevant 51:21 irve 5:23 28:14 57:13 152:13 ish 161:4
174:23 175:4,6 232:14 241:8 individually 72:24 individuals 93:7 101:8,11 110:2,10 110:18 124:12	initiative 13:14 239:4 initiatives 101:5 injury 218:25 219:9,13 inquiry 196:3 insider 206:6,10 206:13	interest 43:20 57:16 114:19 170:6 215:12 interested 37:11 125:2 interests 98:10,14 211:2 interim 144:25	216:4 ira 11:25 15:11 16:15 24:8,17 irrelevant 51:21 irve 5:23 28:14 57:13 152:13 ish 161:4 island 83:7
174:23 175:4,6 232:14 241:8 individually 72:24 individuals 93:7 101:8,11 110:2,10 110:18 124:12 228:19 241:18 induced 119:6,25 120:5	initiative 13:14 239:4 initiatives 101:5 injury 218:25 219:9,13 inquiry 196:3 insider 206:6,10 206:13 insist 52:3	interest 43:20 57:16 114:19 170:6 215:12 interested 37:11 125:2 interests 98:10,14 211:2 interim 144:25 244:17 interject 223:12 internal 169:20	216:4 ira 11:25 15:11 16:15 24:8,17 irrelevant 51:21 irve 5:23 28:14 57:13 152:13 ish 161:4 island 83:7 isley 30:13 245:11 isn't 142:6 163:1 isolate 64:23 65:7
174:23 175:4,6 232:14 241:8 individually 72:24 individuals 93:7 101:8,11 110:2,10 110:18 124:12 228:19 241:18 induced 119:6,25	initiative 13:14 239:4 initiatives 101:5 injury 218:25 219:9,13 inquiry 196:3 insider 206:6,10 206:13 insist 52:3 insofar 211:23 213:2 instance 67:22	interest 43:20 57:16 114:19 170:6 215:12 interested 37:11 125:2 interests 98:10,14 211:2 interim 144:25 244:17 interject 223:12	216:4 ira 11:25 15:11 16:15 24:8,17 irrelevant 51:21 irve 5:23 28:14 57:13 152:13 ish 161:4 island 83:7 isley 30:13 245:11 isn't 142:6 163:1
174:23 175:4,6 232:14 241:8 individually 72:24 individuals 93:7 101:8,11 110:2,10 110:18 124:12 228:19 241:18 induced 119:6,25 120:5	initiative 13:14 239:4 initiatives 101:5 injury 218:25 219:9,13 inquiry 196:3 insider 206:6,10 206:13 insist 52:3 insofar 211:23 213:2	interest 43:20 57:16 114:19 170:6 215:12 interested 37:11 125:2 interests 98:10,14 211:2 interim 144:25 244:17 interject 223:12 internal 169:20	216:4 ira 11:25 15:11 16:15 24:8,17 irrelevant 51:21 irve 5:23 28:14 57:13 152:13 ish 161:4 island 83:7 isley 30:13 245:11 isn't 142:6 163:1 isolate 64:23 65:7
174:23 175:4,6 232:14 241:8 individually 72:24 individuals 93:7 101:8,11 110:2,10 110:18 124:12 228:19 241:18 induced 119:6,25 120:5 industrial 225:4	initiative 13:14 239:4 initiatives 101:5 injury 218:25 219:9,13 inquiry 196:3 insider 206:6,10 206:13 insist 52:3 insofar 211:23 213:2 instance 67:22	interest 43:20 57:16 114:19 170:6 215:12 interested 37:11 125:2 interests 98:10,14 211:2 interim 144:25 244:17 interject 223:12 internal 169:20 170:17	216:4 ira 11:25 15:11 16:15 24:8,17 irrelevant 51:21 irve 5:23 28:14 57:13 152:13 ish 161:4 island 83:7 isley 30:13 245:11 isn't 142:6 163:1 isolate 64:23 65:7 israel 33:23
174:23 175:4,6 232:14 241:8 individually 72:24 individuals 93:7 101:8,11 110:2,10 110:18 124:12 228:19 241:18 induced 119:6,25 120:5 industrial 225:4 240:2	initiative 13:14 239:4 initiatives 101:5 injury 218:25 219:9,13 inquiry 196:3 insider 206:6,10 206:13 insist 52:3 insofar 211:23 213:2 instance 67:22 84:8 95:9 209:6	interest 43:20 57:16 114:19 170:6 215:12 interested 37:11 125:2 interests 98:10,14 211:2 interim 144:25 244:17 interject 223:12 internal 169:20 170:17 internet 95:1 96:2	216:4 ira 11:25 15:11 16:15 24:8,17 irrelevant 51:21 irve 5:23 28:14 57:13 152:13 ish 161:4 island 83:7 isley 30:13 245:11 isn't 142:6 163:1 isolate 64:23 65:7 israel 33:23 issacharoff 33:24

[issue - justice] Page 29

52:16 57:11 69:21	158:24 159:24	<b>jerome</b> 8:10 35:17	<b>jon</b> 22:3 27:6
80:12 86:25	160:7,12 162:23	jersey 115:14	105:4 108:24
171:13 200:8	164:7,8,16 166:25	jesse 18:17 24:1	132:8
208:7 212:22,23	166:25 170:23	32:1	<b>jonathan</b> 23:18
245:4	i've 140:21 144:22	<b>jessica</b> 23:11	33:6
issues 42:10,10	150:1	jill 7:16 30:17	<b>jones</b> 14:1 33:25
47:11 49:18 50:10		jillian 7:22	joseph 9:3 11:1
52:4 53:4 99:5	j	jim 54:12	21:4 22:6 24:25
108:7 166:19	<b>j</b> 2:6 5:23 7:1,6	•	29:8,13 31:22
168:12 184:20	8:10,24 12:13	<b>jo</b> 193:14 <b>job</b> 124:23	32:20 35:8,13
185:22 186:13	13:15,21 14:5,11	<b>john</b> 6:14,14	48:1 51:9,14 52:7
	14:15,22 15:2,17	21:11 22:15 27:5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
197:12 200:8	16:11,23 19:3		178:22,23 179:9
203:6 249:19	20:1 23:1 26:4	31:14 32:4 33:13	179:18,21 192:19
it'd 57:4	27:17,24 28:7,14	107:19 149:4	193:20,21 222:21
it'll 220:25 italy 98:21	33:4 34:14,22	152:11 217:1	222:21 223:1,6,9 223:13
item 40:4	35:14 87:11	221:7	
	105:20	<b>join</b> 133:14 183:20	<b>joyce</b> 8:6
items 54:6 123:24	<b>jails</b> 101:20		<b>jr</b> 33:10 35:22
166:16	james 3:7 6:2 7:9	<b>joinder</b> 6:5 7:16	judge 1:23 2:13
iterations 155:18	17:20 29:6 33:10	7:19 9:1 53:11,18	2:16,19 36:3
it's 139:22 142:13	35:11	53:23	139:15 173:1,13
142:23 143:4	<b>janice</b> 35:20	<b>joinders</b> 44:3	203:10 212:20
145:8,13 151:10	january 88:19	53:20	242:23
151:11,14 153:24	144:16 145:22	<b>joined</b> 86:1	judgment 67:25
159:14,16 160:21	146:5	133:15 153:4	79:19 211:2,5,11
163:11,11 166:1	<b>jared</b> 33:9	<b>joining</b> 47:18 86:7	judicial 174:7
170:6 172:2,2,9	jasmine 11:11	86:15,16 87:3	julianne 32:12
ives 19:9	21:20 23:2,19,23	105:6 135:2,3,18	july 54:24 55:5
i'd 141:19 144:20	25:4,9 26:9 30:24	<b>joins</b> 53:16	153:5 194:22
171:24	<b>jay</b> 30:23	joint 2:4 4:5,10	jump 107:2
i'll 141:23 146:14	<b>jayne</b> 22:21	5:12,21 6:7,23	jumped 123:10
147:12 151:17,24	<b>jeanne</b> 17:19 27:8	7:13 8:21 9:7,17	juncture 37:7
152:17,22 157:22	32:14 86:1,6 89:1	10:12,24 11:8,23	june 92:11 93:25
158:14 159:4	90:7 94:23 100:25	12:12,20 13:1,19	136:12 140:2
160:13 163:4	102:13	14:9,19,25 15:15	178:9 209:9
171:21	<b>jeffrey</b> 33:3 34:1	15:22,22 16:2,3,8	224:17
i'm 140:21 141:11	34:16 193:13	16:20 17:4,12	jurisdiction
142:4,12 143:9,14	230:1	20:16,25 21:17	115:14 195:10
143:14 146:13	jenna 33:20	24:7,15,22 25:14	jurisdictions
147:9 149:3,22	jennifer 19:15	25:20 26:2 70:18	243:15
150:24 153:8,16	32:11	70:19 89:5,12,24	justice 27:19
154:10 155:2,3	jeremy 34:8	107:24 222:23	178:8 187:20
157:21 158:6,8,11		237:2	188:8,14 189:7,25

[justice - l.p.] Page 30

<b>karen</b> 34:4,14	83:4 85:8,12	<b>knowing</b> 55:6,12
katherine 35:5	91:23 92:3 97:22	87:16,18 106:5
149:13	99:2,3,3,7,10	134:2 136:14
<b>katie</b> 13:25	101:20,21 103:16	138:12 140:4
keep 38:25 57:20	111:17 116:18	150:10 202:23
58:5 82:20 83:18	119:22 120:17	224:19 236:14
122:14 139:8	122:6,7,9,10,17	knowledge 91:13
249:24 250:10	122:24 123:5	91:17,18 122:18
keeping 150:25	124:22 126:13,20	125:9 130:25
	,	144:2 175:12,17
kelvin 3:17		194:24 209:24
kenan 4:7 35:12	, , ,	230:15 238:11
	,	known 84:8
		157:16 167:15
kenneth 13:9	153:11 154:19	180:9
		knows 51:23
23:14 32:7	,	korea 98:22
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	kotler 8:24 34:9
		kramer 34:10
	* *	kyung 34:12
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
		1
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 2:11 6:9 14:21
66:10 71:11.11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	19:16 21:4 31:18
·		32:22 35:13 54:18
		54:18 105:8,20
		133:22,22 135:3
		139:23
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b>l.p.</b> 1:7 2:5,7 4:5
		6:8,24 8:22 9:18
		9:22 10:13,25
		11:9,19,24 12:1
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12:15,21 13:2,5
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	13:16,20,22 14:6
	<i>'</i>	14:10,12,16,20,22
		15:1,3,16,18,23
·		16:3,10,12,21,24
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	17:5,13,20 18:14
		18:18,21,25 20:4
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20:17,21 21:1,5,8
58:19 68:23 71:9	244:23 246:22	21:12,19 22:4
	- 1 1.23 2 10.22	74.7 8 10 16 18
	247:1 249:25	24:2,8,10,16,18
71:11,12,14 72:8 76:18,22 80:4,5,7	247:1 249:25 250:12	24:23 25:1,15,21 26:3,5 139:16
	katie 13:25 keep 38:25 57:20 58:5 82:20 83:18 122:14 139:8 249:24 250:10 keeping 150:25 kelly 34:3 kelvin 3:17 kenan 4:7 35:12 kennedy 15:11 16:15 34:4 kenneth 13:9 22:11,17,23 23:7 23:14 32:7 kesselman 34:5 kevin 10:14 31:23 key 169:9 khan 34:6 kidd 32:12 kind 41:21 44:3 66:10 71:11,11 99:4 141:18 213:14 242:25 kinds 119:8 kl 19:13 klein 34:7 kleinberg 57:22 kleinman 34:8 knew 37:23 119:18 155:14 156:17 162:1 180:9 221:23 know 38:20,22 39:8,25 41:4,8 42:4,4,10,12,15 43:8,12 50:13,19 51:3 53:3 57:16	149:1399:2,3,3,7,10katie13:25keep38:25 57:2058:582:20 83:18122:14 139:819:22 120:17249:24 250:10122:24 123:5keeping150:25kelly34:3kelvin3:17kenan4:7 35:12kennedy15:1116:15 34:4144:10,25 146:18kenneth13:922:11,17,23 23:7153:11 154:1923:14 32:7159:4,19 160:21kesselman34:5kevin10:14 31:23key169:9khan34:6kidd32:12kind41:21 44:366:10 71:11,11185:21,23,2599:4 141:18186:3,16 189:13213:14 242:25189:17 193:9kinds119:8kl19:13klein34:7kleinberg57:22kleinman34:8knew37:23119:18 155:14213:13 215:15,20122:43 223:13211:10130:9 221:23213:13 215:15,20131:13 25:12219:17 221:18,19132:12 23:23:13133:13 25:15 232:4235:16,20 236:842:4,4,10,12,15239:19 240:1,451:3 53:3 57:16242:16 243:5,6,12

[la - litigation] Page 31

	T		
la 72:15	lawyer's 151:3	legier 18:9	<b>limit</b> 12:18 15:21
label 131:20	lay 213:15	legitimate 180:13	16:2 17:2 20:7,14
labeled 113:24	layman's 227:5	183:17 187:22	21:15,24 24:5,21
lack 168:9 170:21	lays 55:25	188:16,21 189:6	25:12 44:16 45:6
180:13	<b>lazar</b> 7:22	length 148:4	45:15,25
lag 225:15	leads 220:15	lengthy 245:7	<b>limited</b> 4:19 9:2
laid 107:14 215:7	learn 228:25	les 2:17	12:11 25:23 56:11
<b>laird</b> 34:11	237:5	<b>letter</b> 2:13,16,19	56:18 72:1 106:14
lane 203:10	learning 228:23	2:22,25 3:3,7,13	122:8,21 245:6
language 44:23	236:24 238:9	3:20 13:24 15:5,5	<b>linda</b> 35:9
93:3,22 94:1,5,9	<b>lease</b> 93:3	16:14	<b>line</b> 37:14 42:6,6
94:10 114:13,23	leave 12:18 15:21	<b>let's</b> 157:11	58:19 74:18 81:24
116:10 162:23	16:1 17:2 20:7,13	167:13	87:21,24 88:1
<b>laptop</b> 104:4	21:15,23 24:5,20	<b>leung</b> 34:14	139:8 145:5,6,8
<b>large</b> 62:23	25:11 229:19	level 42:14 112:23	147:25 192:8
113:12 143:9	246:18	112:25 128:3	<b>lines</b> 36:11
147:6 199:12	leaves 83:8	169:23 195:22,24	<b>link</b> 86:17
largely 42:7	ledanski 26:25	196:1	<b>linking</b> 121:21
larger 39:7	251:3,8	leventhal 34:15	liquidated 81:15
145:15	lee 34:12 35:2	194:2,3	81:16,18
<b>larry</b> 50:1	47:1	lexington 27:12	lisovicz 34:17
late 8:12,16 56:7	lees 30:6 44:20	29:10	<b>list</b> 36:5,7 48:5,5
70:14	46:19 47:6,6,22	liability 4:25	50:8 56:4 57:15
<b>latest</b> 98:13	48:25 49:2,10	165:11,13,14,19	60:6 93:4,18 94:6
laura 32:13	50:12	196:9 197:6 198:8	98:23 99:19,20
<b>lauren</b> 34:18	leets 82:22	<b>liable</b> 174:23	100:1,3 110:4,6
law 7:1 12:25	<b>lefkon</b> 34:13	175:5	110:25 111:10,13
24:21 29:1 39:8	<b>left</b> 84:2 145:24	<b>liaison</b> 124:18,19	111:14 143:17
42:18 175:4 178:3	leftbridge 72:24	124:24 127:10,12	<b>listed</b> 58:15 99:1
184:5 192:11	legal 2:22 66:23	liaisons 124:20	143:4 145:7
212:1 222:8 240:4	99:6 112:21	125:6,22	<b>listen</b> 37:15 167:4
lawrence 5:18	114:24 115:23	lianna 21:7	248:11
8:24 19:23 32:18	116:16 117:7	<b>liberty</b> 4:22,23,23	listening 37:3
34:9	131:24 164:25	license 144:17,25	listens 62:7
laws 176:3	168:11 169:6,24	145:20,21	listing 74:24
<b>lawyer</b> 42:10	175:2,10 176:6	licensed 144:14	<b>lists</b> 48:6 49:19,20
115:3 149:13	180:19 181:7	146:7	102:17,21 109:15
151:11 152:5	182:1,4 183:7	licensing 237:2	143:16,16 240:16
175:1,3,19	185:21 186:14,17	liebman 230:1,20	<b>lite</b> 28:1 204:3
lawyers 39:24	187:23 206:14	243:10,14	literally 41:8,9
42:16 150:23	213:1,5 218:19	liesenmer 34:16	litigate 155:7
151:7 175:14	235:14 251:20	limine 244:23	litigation 13:11
181:18		245:1,3,16,18	22:13,19,25 23:9

[litigation - marked]

Page 32

- 0 -			C
23:15 44:25 45:6	longer 46:25	105:19 106:1,1,12	maintained
45:22 46:6 48:16	135:7	106:15,20,24	130:11
48:18 53:20	<b>longest</b> 237:18	108:4,10,19,24	<b>majesty</b> 9:14,19
153:20 156:7	look 43:8 52:5,18	109:1 112:12	<b>major</b> 161:11
166:1,1 169:17	58:22 61:9 64:23	113:2,8 114:21	221:22
207:5,7,10,11,16	85:7 91:10 96:16	116:1,19 117:15	majority 48:21
208:8,16 209:5	98:2,6 112:12	117:17,22 122:1	49:14
215:10 216:9	143:22 148:3	122:17 123:4	<b>making</b> 77:6,8
223:6 230:16	171:24 178:13	126:18,23 127:2	79:17 178:12
litigations 240:8	182:2 189:14	129:20 130:12	188:10
<b>little</b> 39:19 44:10	191:21,24,25	132:4,8,10 133:1	man 58:1,3,7,9
61:13 98:18	209:15 210:8	133:4	59:3 105:3,7,9
100:16 123:11	211:8 212:17	<b>lowne's</b> 106:10	106:17 138:9
138:2 141:11	240:3	121:22	174:8 175:9
185:14 191:23	looked 111:13	<b>lp</b> 36:3 95:3 105:5	223:12,20 248:1
201:12 225:14	154:14 165:8	<b>lunch</b> 133:8	249:8,11,15
231:14 235:21	169:22 186:2	137:21 138:18	250:17,20
236:2	187:7,11 203:3,4	139:3	management
live 50:22 80:5	218:17	<b>lynam</b> 19:6	101:9 123:17
237:14	looking 125:4	lynch 15:24	manager 125:1
<b>lived</b> 65:4	127:19 141:19,23	lynn 13:25	managing 62:21
<b>llc</b> 14:1 16:7,19	142:4,12 143:9,14	m	manhattan 38:5
28:9 29:1,8	145:8 168:2 169:9	<b>m</b> 11:18 15:7	manner 50:2
<b>llp</b> 7:2 27:10	172:25 189:17	19:19 22:15 23:22	114:16 204:20
28:16 30:1,8	202:11 208:20	33:25 34:18 35:2	manufacturers
135:1	217:18	136:6 224:13	9:8 15:20 106:19
local 145:6,7	looks 143:24	<b>m.d.</b> 18:5	107:23
<b>located</b> 137:13	145:8	m.s. 18:1	mara 34:15 194:1
location 249:22	looms 199:12	ma'am 108:12	194:3
locations 98:14	<b>lost</b> 126:19 213:14	macksoud 34:18	marc 24:25 27:17
128:12	<b>lot</b> 36:25 46:8	maclay 10:14	34:5 224:1 244:7
<b>locked</b> 101:19	104:17 110:14	magali 33:1	march 153:7,12
248:15	176:7 188:9 197:9	magazine 95:25	159:22 160:1,2,10
<b>log</b> 86:8 103:20	199:3 208:11	magazines 94:3	161:1 218:4
137:25 138:1	218:18 232:6	96:11	maria 9:24 32:6
<b>logging</b> 137:18	242:1	magnitude 202:17	<b>marine</b> 6:6,11
244:11	<b>loud</b> 43:1 114:13	mail 2:22 100:6	<b>mario</b> 31:19
logistics 38:8	<b>louder</b> 231:14	101:7,16	mark 20:3 27:4
long 58:15 150:4	louis 31:5 35:4	mailing 68:20	31:13,25 32:16
158:7 173:3,16	lower 86:20,21	92:21 93:11	135:1 139:22
176:18 208:11	145:24 237:10	main 28:11	141:2
218:7 237:18	lowne 22:3 27:6	maintain 64:2	marked 2:22
	105:4,10,12,14,15	130:15	145:25 172:23
		ral Calutions	1

[market - merits] Page 33

market 97:2	matters 36:11,13	235:21 243:9	127:17,24 129:20
marketed 119:10	37:4 38:12,15	250:12	129:22 228:22,24
122:3	39:7,8 43:13	meaning 81:10	232:11 237:1,2,4
marketing 123:20	51:24 97:18	147:4,4 184:19	medically 125:12
124:6,10 125:18	123:16,19 136:24	213:6	180:10,12
125:18,21,23	140:18 148:18	means 47:20 48:8	medication 120:3
126:7 127:6,13	151:6	57:5 103:18 118:9	121:14
132:23 180:6	<b>matthew</b> 7:6 33:4	154:8,12,21,25	medications 118:4
markman 30:13	57:22	155:3 157:7	132:11
245:10,14,21	<b>maura</b> 193:21	189:19 232:18	medicine 231:12
markowitz 12:22	maureen 19:19	<b>meant</b> 66:12	231:16,18 232:7
17:6,15	<b>maxcy</b> 34:20	146:18	232:19 233:2
marshall 13:4	<b>mba</b> 17:24 18:1	measure 96:21,23	meet 47:10 194:13
27:16 36:21	mcclammy 17:20	measurement	<b>meeting</b> 193:2,5
107:10 235:4	54:12 82:6,8 89:6	96:22	193:10,23 194:16
<b>martin</b> 20:1 23:1	89:7,13,16 102:9	measurements	meetings 194:4
mary 4:2 193:14	102:11,14 103:10	97:11	218:11
maryland 5:22	107:25 130:16	measures 95:18	meets 250:5
7:14 29:15,16	132:5,6,9 133:2	101:24 232:6	melanie 31:18
44:20 47:18 49:16	mcclammy's	mechanics 37:9	melissa 32:25
50:19 52:19 53:10	107:14	mechanism 158:4	<b>member</b> 188:25
83:6 117:19	mccloy 30:1	<b>media</b> 14:1,2	members 37:22
122:11 128:21	mcgaha 2:11	83:23 95:19,20,22	83:21 109:24
174:23 191:9	34:21	95:22,24,25 96:3	111:21,22 123:17
maryland's 49:19	mckesson 108:15	96:19 103:14,16	162:18 192:9
masiowski 4:15	mcveigh 34:22	<b>medial</b> 124:20	229:12
9:11 12:6 75:23	<b>md</b> 17:23 29:18	mediated 203:6	memorandum
massive 243:11	<b>mdl</b> 153:20	mediating 162:14	12:25 24:21 178:3
<b>master</b> 49:20	mean 51:1,6 52:11	mediation 156:21	178:11
73:22,25	77:10 79:21 89:11	156:22 159:8	memory 121:1
masumoto 34:19	91:11 116:3,19,24	162:13 190:6	199:13
material 41:16	118:6 121:13	200:21,22 202:18	mention 152:23
42:14 80:21 212:4	122:19 123:1	202:19,20 203:8	195:20
materials 134:15	128:7 144:8	215:21 219:10,11	mentioned 48:20
238:8	160:10 163:16	221:19	48:23,25 97:8
<b>mathew</b> 32:10	165:13 174:5,11	mediators 156:23	120:11 127:11
matter 1:5 54:25	174:12 176:2	159:20 166:17	128:6 132:19
55:4,13,14 56:19	177:3 181:2	203:9 215:19	160:8 170:5 197:8
67:15 83:18 87:16	182:15 190:20	medical 123:22	220:4 221:11
95:3 96:8 101:17	198:20 199:19	124:3,4,4,5,12,15	234:19 237:9
106:3 140:5 174:6	200:1 204:24	124:16,17,19,24	merits 47:24
186:16 229:10	213:16 223:6,13	125:6,6,11,22	48:14,16 51:7
240:14	227:7,23 228:6	126:9,14 127:16	52:11 168:9,9

[merits - narrow] Page 34

198:1			245:4
misconduct 197:7	morrisey 5:11,15	146:1,4,11,11,16	narrow 49:20
220:13	250:14	144:7 145:21,24	naming 206:16
miscommunicat	135:10 201:9	mundipharma	110:5
250:7	109:1,4 117:22	240:25 241:7	<b>names</b> 100:6
168:4 181:25	87:5 90:9,12	multiplier 240:19	207:16
minutes 72:3	47:6 59:10 76:2	178:7	110:3 177:1
99:14	36:21 38:23 39:25	124:17 128:6,7,11	<b>named</b> 109:24
minute 37:15	morning 36:2,8	multiple 42:18	242:16 245:24
minuses 168:14	morgan 7:25	42:16	224:12,13 231:10
minor 87:21	160:6 164:18	multi 10:10,14	201:9 204:3
mine 177.3,4 mineola 251:23	152:23 150:1	muhammad 34:6	193:22 195:8,21
mine 177:3,4	132:25 150:1	msge 107:21	176:22 185:9
198:2 226:18	months 118:14	mph 17:23	152:13 167:20
169:16 182:20	<b>monitoring</b> 239:1	191:16	124:23 128:14
114:13 142:10	241:23	159:15 161:11	105:7 109:1
mind 78:25	236:23 240:18	82:20 150:2 157:6	100:10 101:2
100:16,17 147:25	203.12 227.4 229:14 230:21	moving 42:15	84:3,9 90:9 99:22
million 98:18	203:12 227:4	247:6	name 50:16 59:10
miller 34:23	190:11 202:22	184:24 210:12	245:25 251:1
193:17	<b>money</b> 156:10	167:13 171:21	224:13,13 245:25
47:7 192:19	84:10,12	150:18 156:18	105:8,20,21
milbank 30:1 47:1	monday 46:23 47:9 51:6 52:7	128:24 135:13	87:11,11,12,12
midst 108:2	monaghan 193:24	105:1 121:15	<b>n</b> 27:1 28:18 36:1
<b>middle</b> 67:2 154:10	137:18	mouth 205:13 move 39:3 99:12	n
191:16 217:5	momentarily	moultrie 31:1	<b>mutual</b> 4:22,23
microphone 36:24		40:10	135:21,23
michele 33:19	moment 44:21 135:11	motions 39:10	105:11 108:13
30:22 33:5 172:14	mom 4:2	245:1,3,16,18	100:23 102:10
	66:22	186:7 244:23	mute 37:1,1 43:14
17:24 18:2,6,10 21:25 24:12 28:22	modifications	51:10 88:16 185:2	murray 34:24
9:11 11:14 12:5,6	87:21	46:22 50:1,3,24	28:2 204:5 233:16
michael 2:14 4:15	modification	25:7 26:7,7 39:10	municipality 6:22
mexico 115:14	mobile 104:4	24:4,20,20 25:3,3	237:19,25 241:24
metric 68:18	mm 60:18 67:4	21:14,15,23 24:4	235:3 236:9,14
method 74:5	mixed 138:8	17:1,2 20:6,7	232:10 234:18,24
meter 39:16	33:21 34:22	12:17 15:10,21	205:19 229:9
metaphor 42:5	mitchell 30:23	8:9,12,16 11:6	municipalities
met 123:17	misuse 116:5,12	motion 7:12,25	234:9 235:25
message 103:24	missing 137:22	192:11,15,17	211:14 233:22,24
184:12	224:4	21:14 25:12 47:14	94:18 203:23
10115			

[narrowed - o'neill] Page 35

		I	1
narrowed 244:24	negotiated 41:23	<b>noise</b> 153:8	notices 95:2
nas 12:17,23 17:1	44:23 215:23	188:10	102:18,23 103:3,6
17:7,10,15 239:1	246:25	nominal 165:2	<b>notion</b> 212:21
nasatir 34:25	negotiating 42:2	<b>non</b> 15:7 45:3,12	<b>novel</b> 184:14
nathaniel 34:23	153:21	45:22 46:7 68:7	nuance 84:4
<b>nation</b> 6:22 28:3	negotiations	68:18 73:2 76:23	nuisance 184:2
72:14 94:19 98:12	166:13	82:19 83:20 115:6	<b>number</b> 37:10
204:6 233:17	neiger 35:1	115:9 118:15,16	38:9 40:1,4 41:13
<b>nation's</b> 235:25	<b>neil</b> 34:3	118:21 121:13	43:2,6 56:2 59:15
national 9:1,4	network 146:11	122:22 218:3	60:7,23,24 61:2,3
15:12 16:16	146:16	227:17 228:12	62:13 65:1 67:8
nationally 95:16	<b>networks</b> 102:1,5	noncash 140:15	72:4 74:24 75:2,2
nations 65:14	237:10	nonconsensual	75:3 81:8,9 88:20
66:6,10 72:15	never 38:18	155:23	88:22 107:18,19
75:12 236:9	142:10 180:15	nonconsenting	122:13 142:5
237:20	209:24 213:4	157:17 160:25	145:8 147:2 157:2
nationwide 180:8	nevertheless	163:21	157:13,15 161:7
native 4:11 25:25	161:22	nonfederal	220:25,25 222:3
<b>nature</b> 46:1 99:7	new 1:2 27:13,22	162:16	230:23 234:20
227:11	29:11 30:4 42:24	nonopioid 123:21	240:16
navigators 5:1	43:7,8,9 83:9 85:8	132:20	numbers 71:3,4
30:9 245:12	105:20 136:6	normally 82:10	76:5 84:18 89:10
ncsg 107:21	222:25	211:3	100:14 103:14
necessarily	newark 28:5	normile 2:14	242:22
110:14 129:4	news 14:2	north 5:2	numerical 80:23
171:1	newspaper 95:24	<b>note</b> 89:8 134:14	nurse 180:7
necessary 158:17	newspapers 94:3	140:17 187:14	nw 30:10
170:18 230:21	95:22 96:11	notebook 172:17	ny 1:14 27:13,22
243:14	nicholson 35:2	<b>noted</b> 88:14	29:11 30:4 251:23
necessitated	nickolas 9:19 34:2	notes 49:7	
248:19	<b>nictim</b> 3:10	<b>notice</b> 2:1 13:14	0
necessitates	<b>night</b> 107:20	13:18 14:4,8,14	o 1:21 36:1 54:18
235:17	nine 58:12,14,23	14:18,24 15:14	105:8,20,20
necessity 98:7	78:24 83:5 85:10	25:7,23 26:1	133:22 138:8
need 40:23 43:25	169:15	40:19 45:1 90:17	224:13 251:1
51:2 57:16 58:19	ninety 61:24	90:20,20,24 91:4	o'neil 11:15 12:7
80:12 87:9 89:17	ninth 14:8	91:6,7,7,13,15,22	17:24 18:2,6,10
91:12 106:21	nj 28:5	92:8,24 93:2,11	21:25 28:22 231:2
129:13 172:19	noat 211:16	93:12,16,18,21	242:6,8,14 243:23
173:1 182:17	215:23 226:2	94:2 95:10 98:13	243:25
222:24 249:14	227:21 236:4,16	115:11 174:7	o'neill 225:9,10
needed 106:25	238:23 241:25	noticed 168:24	225:12,17,20
208:5	250.25 271.25	100.27	226:9,13
200.3			

[oath - okay] Page 36

oath 247:5	211:20 216:1	<b>offered</b> 45:23 89:4	90:11 91:14,24
object 40:25 83:3	235:5,10,13,24	117:3,10	92:1 94:15,21
83:5 88:24 106:9	238:14	offering 88:12	95:9 96:1 97:17
112:10 122:5,15	objections 10:11	151:8	98:5,7 99:10
134:6 136:19	10:19 11:7,17	office 29:15 86:23	100:9,20 102:9
140:12 150:14,17	13:3,7 20:9 21:16	135:8 138:4 173:4	103:12,25 104:10
158:6 160:8 175:9	22:10,16,22 23:6	173:10 218:23	104:20 105:1,2,6
183:5 196:11	23:13 24:24 25:13	officer 82:21	105:10,20,23,25
204:23 213:13	40:9 48:21 49:14	105:5	106:9,11 107:1,9
224:25	49:19,22 50:5	offices 249:23	107:15 108:11,17
<b>objected</b> 78:23	53:14 58:13 83:8	official 11:21,25	109:3 113:20
89:25 122:12	85:15 163:1 190:1	24:4,9,13,17	114:1,2,6,8
128:21 216:1	190:4	41:25 166:20	116:21 117:14,16
objecting 7:5,21	objectors 83:24	205:25 206:11	117:20 118:16,23
46:5,21 47:17	108:1	213:9	119:2 120:2,8,16
48:6 58:24,25	obligation 200:6	offset 147:3,5	120:20 121:2,19
84:2 85:11 134:10	obligations	<b>oh</b> 56:13 78:19	123:3,4,8 124:16
136:23 152:5	125:23	87:9,20 113:20	125:6 126:5 127:6
objection 2:10	obtain 93:8	140:21 149:8	128:13 129:8,13
3:16,23,23 4:1,4	obtained 79:4	173:25 194:1	129:17 131:6
4:10,14,19,19 5:5	93:6 230:13	217:22 240:23	132:4 133:3,3,21
5:6,10,21 6:1,5,13	obviate 43:25	244:11 248:2	134:6 135:3,12,20
6:17,21 7:4,9,12	106:21	ohio 230:8,9	135:20,24 136:1,9
7:12,19,25 8:4,8	obvious 58:14	240:9 243:12	136:19,21 137:16
8:12,16,20,21 9:2	154:7 164:20	okay 36:2,20 41:5	137:19,24 138:23
9:7,7,10,22 10:1,4	obviously 40:2	43:16 44:8 47:5	139:25 140:12
10:7,23 11:13	42:17,19 44:4	47:21 50:11 53:2	141:8,18 142:3,7
12:4,5,19 15:22	46:16 84:5 89:20	53:8 54:2,13	142:11,20 143:6
16:2 17:3,11	115:3 122:13	55:10,17,20 56:15	143:18 144:2,6,11
20:15 21:24 25:23	156:8 181:20	56:25 57:1,6,25	145:5 146:4,11,14
40:13,21,24 41:1	193:2 221:19,25	58:6 59:4,12	146:20 147:2
48:7 49:25 53:12	248:18 250:2	63:13 64:19 65:11	148:15,23,24
53:24 55:19 57:14	occasionally	66:14 67:20 68:6	149:9,25 150:14
59:2 71:7,15	235:16	68:16 69:12 72:12	151:13,20,21
77:12 80:13 85:9	occur 173:8	72:21 73:15 74:2	153:18 155:10
88:4 89:5 99:6	195:23	74:10,16 75:14,18	164:16 165:9,18
107:16,18,19,24	occurrence	75:24 76:4 78:7	170:9 171:15,22
112:10,20 115:22	103:20,23	79:3 80:2,9,17	172:14,19,20
121:15 128:19	<b>occurs</b> 45:19	81:13,23 82:2,4,6	173:6,10 174:16
130:16 151:10,12	october 185:2,12	82:9,18 83:1,13	174:17,20 175:23
151:21 158:5	185:19	85:20,23 86:2,21	177:2 181:12
178:22 182:13	offer 48:1 156:23	86:23 87:3,4,11	184:11,21,24
183:12 209:20		87:14 88:3,5,23	186:24 187:5
		rol Colutions	

[okay - o'donnell] Page 37

	T -		
191:6,10 192:2	opening 37:5	optimized 95:23	outcome 47:12
193:20 194:4	113:21 183:23	<b>opting</b> 63:3,4	<b>outset</b> 107:11
195:1,2,6 198:24	operate 45:25	<b>option</b> 63:2,5,8	108:9 235:13
199:15 201:1,3,11	operating 210:15	<b>oral</b> 169:7 192:10	outside 148:13
201:14 202:13	operator 86:9	192:12,14,18,22	247:2
203:15,17,21	<b>opine</b> 241:9	222:9	outstanding 80:5
204:19 205:6	opining 247:1	<b>orally</b> 173:17	<b>overall</b> 61:9,12,15
206:13 210:1,6,12	<b>opinion</b> 148:7,10	<b>orange</b> 142:14	67:15 97:17
211:13 213:8	164:23 171:12	<b>order</b> 16:1 20:13	100:10 163:18
214:2,8,20 216:11	218:20 243:17,17	20:24 25:11,18	182:5 184:17
216:20,22,23	opinions 49:25	36:6 46:1 54:8	200:15,16 202:20
217:12,24 218:2	<b>opioid</b> 47:24	55:1,13 89:24	208:22,24 214:12
218:13 219:20,25	48:14 110:16	92:10,14 93:14,25	232:15,23
220:3,19 221:2,5	111:5 112:6 116:6	106:3 134:1	overnight 41:7,12
222:24 223:5,8,10	118:3,15,16,21	136:12 140:3	245:2 249:20
223:11,21,24,25	119:6,25 120:3,4	149:11 150:8	oversee 207:4
224:3,7,12,25	120:5 121:4,13,14	177:3,4 224:18	overwhelming
225:2,11 226:11	121:21 122:3,20	orders 87:16	61:17 190:7
227:2,7 228:10	122:22 124:10,25	ordinary 69:22	215:17
231:4,8 232:6	132:11 161:14	<b>oregon</b> 7:5,20	<b>owe</b> 107:3
233:6,9,14 235:22	202:7,8 203:12	44:19 47:16 50:9	<b>owen</b> 34:13
236:10,18 238:20	228:18,20,23	57:23 83:6 158:20	<b>owned</b> 110:24
239:22 240:7,15	230:21 231:11,15	organization	111:3 129:5
241:13 242:4	233:3 234:22,24	122:23,23 126:11	162:16
243:22 244:1,4,10	236:24 237:13	127:21 128:1,5,11	<b>owner</b> 102:22
244:15 245:9	240:10	156:12,19 240:2	oxycontin 124:11
246:24 247:4,18	<b>opioids</b> 116:12	organizations	144:14 145:1,20
247:21,24 248:4	118:8,12,19,22	15:12 16:16 99:19	146:8,23
249:4 250:11	119:14,19,23	99:21 100:2,4	<b>ozment</b> 6:2 7:10
<b>old</b> 191:3 251:21	121:5,22 180:10	128:6,8	29:1,6 63:15,15
omnibus 13:3	opportunity	organize 154:16	63:18,21,25 65:9
24:24 39:18	103:23 189:14	organized 155:4	100:22,22 101:1,2
ones 74:25 83:25	oppose 161:4	157:8	102:8 195:4,4,7,8
84:1 143:4	<b>opposed</b> 69:2 79:9	original 204:21	196:13,22,25
one's 150:4	171:20 181:3	originally 40:8	197:2 198:17,21
ongoing 106:21	238:15 239:23	97:24 159:12	198:25 199:2
153:4 205:12	opposing 84:6	161:6 204:20	200:3,14 201:2
online 95:22,24	161:1	<b>osmet</b> 63:23	231:6,9,11,13
135:17	opposite 42:1	oud 229:5 237:7	233:7
<b>open</b> 113:25 139:8	opposition 9:1	237:10	o'donnell 35:3
<b>opened</b> 176:16	220:21	<b>ought</b> 138:19	
183:13,18 209:18	<b>opt</b> 63:5,8,9,10	148:19	

[p - patients] Page 38

	150.10 157.05	102.4 105.17	120.1 140.0 151.0
p	152:18 157:25	193:4 195:17	139:1 148:9 151:8
<b>p</b> 22:6 25:4,8 26:8	158:9 162:12,14	199:11 200:14,16	151:14 153:20
27:1,1 33:15 36:1	163:25 164:6,9,15	200:20 207:20,23	154:15 156:23
54:18 245:25	165:9 178:21	208:22 209:2,2	157:7 159:20
<b>pa</b> 9:4	180:5 183:1	214:11,12 215:23	160:18 162:2
package 92:15,20	191:13,21,24,25	221:18 224:18	166:13 167:3,7
173:16	192:8 206:23	230:7 236:22	168:1 169:25
page 10:5 12:18	207:1 210:14	240:21 241:6	190:13 201:15,22
15:21 16:2 17:2	214:22 215:2,8	243:17	201:23 203:6,8
20:7,14 21:15,23	216:3,6 217:3,7,9	participated	205:16,24 206:4,6
24:5,21 25:12	217:19,19,21,21	175:6	206:10 221:17,18
65:20,21 67:1,2	225:23 226:6,8,9	participating	221:20,21,24
68:6,7 74:17 88:2	227:5,9,12,24	236:15	<b>parties'</b> 164:2,10
95:9 99:18,21	228:2 229:20	particular 64:23	partners 14:1
100:1 113:8 114:4	238:23,23 239:7	65:2 66:19 68:24	<b>party</b> 43:20,21
114:8,9 141:24	239:10,12,17	79:25 97:13	44:16 45:1,3,3,4,5
142:4,5,12 143:5	245:6	101:24 127:12	45:12,13,22,23,24
143:8,20,20	paragraphs	156:22 159:16	46:2,6,7,7 47:22
144:11,21,23	151:25	165:22 176:3	48:11 52:10 53:11
145:19 147:23	parameters 66:23	182:3 187:18	53:11,13,23 57:17
177:12,18 178:6	<b>parcel</b> 164:18	188:12 195:13	95:19 109:10
178:25 187:7,14	168:1 180:20	197:5,9,11 199:9	112:17 126:10
191:13,21 192:7,9	190:9 193:5	203:3 210:22	127:21,25 128:5
197:22 206:23	195:17 200:15,16	232:4	128:22 131:2,3
207:1,2 215:1	208:22 209:2	particularly	148:8 154:2
217:4,7,19 225:23	pardon 68:8	116:22 247:17	155:10,15,21
226:6,10 228:2	171:8	<b>parties</b> 36:14 37:8	156:4 158:4,19
229:23 232:17	<b>park</b> 29:3	39:15,17 40:1	159:6 163:4,10,22
239:14	parker's 4:2	41:1,8 42:2 43:25	165:14 167:18
pages 176:18	<b>part</b> 66:10 92:14	44:2 46:3,10,15	168:13,15,23,23
pages 170.18 paget 35:4	100:2 114:17	46:15 48:22 49:15	169:4 175:18
paid 129:22	119:1 120:12	49:16,21 51:4,8	176:5 180:14
painting 150:3	127:16,23 144:7	53:21 54:10 59:23	182:12 184:20
paneting 130.3 paper 41:14	146:16 148:5	62:5,18,18 90:25	185:11,17 190:13
paper 41.14 papers 36:25	150:17 153:24	91:5 92:5,16,24	193:3,4 195:11
144:20 147:1	155:11,15,21	93:4,19 94:6	passcode 244:13
paragraph 59:17	156:20,21 162:21	102:18 108:6	<b>passes</b> 152:22
60:2,6 87:21,25	163:18 164:18	109:14,16,23	patience 199:1
88:2,2 99:21	165:1 166:13	110:3,5,11,12,25	patients 125:4
100:1 114:10	167:18 168:1,18	111:3,12,20 112:9	126:12 127:1,12
115:3 117:23	169:3 171:6,9	112:18 114:25	127:22 128:3,12
120:9 123:11,15	180:13,20 182:3,5	115:5,13 116:11	231:12,16,17
132:12,16 150:18	190:8 192:13	128:22 138:14	
132.12,10 130.18			
	**	ral Solutions	

[patrick - plan] Page 39

<b>patrick</b> 5:11,14	227:19 237:10,12	pertains 92:21	phrama 11:24
11:14 12:7 17:24	237:14,15,15	peruse 152:19	phrase 210:17
18:2,6,10 21:25	239:23 246:8	peter 4:11 23:5	217:25
28:22 34:20	percent 61:10,13	25:24 31:20 72:13	physician 4:15
paul 4:7,16,17 6:6	61:17,18,19 76:12	ph 72:16,25 82:22	9:11
6:10 11:18 29:17	76:13 83:25 95:11	<b>ph.d.</b> 20:20	physicians 228:23
31:7 35:12 75:22	95:13,14 96:17	<b>pharma</b> 1:7 2:5,6	236:25 238:8
pay 148:8 162:8	159:9 190:4	4:5 6:8,24 8:22	pi 246:25
191:19 197:24	215:17 219:17	9:18,22 10:1,13	pick 40:13 87:22
221:20	221:11,15 222:2,3	10:25 11:9,19	138:18 250:14,19
payment 145:10	222:3,4	12:1,15,21 13:2,5	picked 130:7
145:13 215:15	percentage 62:13	13:16,20,22 14:6	pickering 30:8
payments 144:24	97:4	14:10,12,16,20,22	picture 149:21
169:14,19 231:18	percentages 56:3	15:1,3,16,18,23	184:18
231:23 232:10	perdue 95:3	16:3,9,11,21,23	<b>piece</b> 201:19
<b>payout</b> 243:20	perfectly 121:17	17:5,13,20 18:14	pieces 151:1
payouts 229:18	134:19	18:18,21,25 20:4	208:13
234:1,16 241:5,9	performing 150:4	20:17,21 21:1,5,8	pipeline 123:22
241:12	performs 126:11	21:12,19 22:4	128:8
<b>pci</b> 10:1	<b>period</b> 146:2,2,21	24:2,7,10,16,18	pittsburgh 9:4
<b>peace</b> 159:4	169:5 209:12	24:23 25:1,15,21	<b>place</b> 29:17
pediatric 124:8	periods 101:20	26:3,5 36:3 95:3	144:18 148:18
126:8 128:10	145:14	105:5 118:3,6,18	153:14 156:22
pending 40:10	permissible	129:22 130:4,14	186:19 207:7
157:20 211:13	150:22	139:16 143:23	208:2
223:15	permission 44:24	144:6 230:5,16	placed 67:13
pennsylvania	47:1	pharmaceutical	74:10
28:18 30:10	<b>person</b> 104:1,8	130:10	places 66:7
<b>people</b> 6:18 37:3	114:15,18 125:11	pharmacies 9:8	<b>plain</b> 94:5,9
37:10,14,19,21	127:16 138:23	15:21 106:19	plains 1:14
38:2,7,19 41:4,15	227:15,16 228:13	107:24	plaintiff 72:5
42:13 49:7 53:16	245:6	pharmacy 11:3	<b>plan</b> 2:4,4,10 3:4
64:16 65:2,4	personal 64:21	125:1,4	3:16,23 4:1,5,10
72:15 83:18 84:4	65:3 218:25 219:8	<b>phase</b> 156:22	4:14,20 5:5,6,12
101:5,19,19 103:3	219:13	162:12 200:21,22	5:21 6:1,1,7,13,17
104:17 111:17	personally 41:9	202:19,19 219:9	6:21,24 7:4,9,13
112:1,14 117:5	65:3 110:7,8	219:11 221:19,22	7:21 8:5,8,12,16
124:20 126:12,13	111:1	<b>phd</b> 23:11	8:20,22 9:2,10,17
126:14,23,24	persons 37:11	<b>philip</b> 4:21 30:20	10:4,7,12,18,19
128:2 130:22,25	90:21 126:9 197:6	phillips 203:10	10:25 11:7,9,23
150:2 165:24	perspective 39:11	<b>phone</b> 247:10,13	12:12,20 13:1,7
193:8 196:5,10	pertain 238:3	247:15,16	13:18,20 14:5,8
202:14 206:8			14:10,18,20,24
	Vanitant I as	gal Solutions	

15:1,14,16,23	229:12,16,18	215:17 219:17	241:11
16:3,9,21 17:5,12	231:22,23 233:21	<b>pluses</b> 168:13	possibility 139:1
20:8,9,16 21:1,16	234:1,14,15,17,19	<b>pm</b> 250:22	200:6,8
21:19 22:10,11,16	234:21 235:11	<b>podium</b> 224:1	<b>possible</b> 37:12,25
22:17,22,23 23:6	236:1 238:1,16	<b>point</b> 36:23 38:1	64:13,20 110:16
23:7,12,13 24:7	243:19,21 246:22	41:18 42:7,23	112:6 138:11
24:15,23 25:13,15	<b>plan's</b> 109:13	44:4 49:3 52:16	140:24 209:25
25:20,23 26:1,3	planning 71:10	53:15 80:14 85:17	possibly 145:18
36:5 45:3,4,9,11	160:6	101:15 128:18	236:6
45:11,17 46:1,5	<b>plans</b> 93:3 236:22	129:3,6,14 132:1	<b>post</b> 124:6,10
56:2,4 58:13,24	246:9 249:25	155:16,19 156:18	125:18,18,21,23
58:24,25 59:21	<b>play</b> 39:22 40:4	167:13 171:24	126:7 127:6,13
61:7,17 65:22,22	40:12	172:24 191:3,15	156:1
65:23 66:1 67:3	<b>plays</b> 71:20	194:7,7,9 196:18	<b>posting</b> 156:10
67:10,16 68:5,7	<b>plea</b> 130:11,13,19	211:15 219:23	potential 108:4
68:11,14,21 69:7	131:7 185:1,6,9	220:10 230:14	115:20 152:24
69:23 70:4 74:8,9	185:12,17 186:5	235:13	157:8 161:16
74:11 76:6,10,13	187:1 195:13,19	<b>pointed</b> 197:23	162:15,20 164:2
76:14 77:23 83:22	196:4,14 197:4	<b>points</b> 42:15	164:11 165:13
83:24 92:16,17	198:3,11 199:11	policies 240:19	167:19 169:4
93:22 94:1,10	199:20 200:4,10	<b>polk</b> 27:10 36:9	176:4 180:14
109:5,11 115:11	218:8,8	36:22 54:5 113:16	182:12 185:11,17
115:16,21 116:2,3	<b>plead</b> 196:6	149:14 209:25	186:25 198:7
116:25 155:19	<b>pleading</b> 53:17,17	244:7	234:21
156:11,19 158:18	53:18,19	<b>poll</b> 246:8	potentially 64:20
159:10,12,14,21	pleas 130:1 200:7	<b>poor</b> 184:14	154:8,13
159:22,24 160:3,9	please 54:15	<b>pope</b> 133:11	<b>pound</b> 43:11
160:15,17,19,21	59:17 60:17 61:21	population 97:5	<b>pplp</b> 142:15
160:23 161:1,5,9	64:3 87:5 96:13	porter 35:5	practices 174:25
161:21,23,25	99:25 105:14,17	portion 44:12	176:3 184:3
162:4,11,21 163:1	114:13 133:17	49:8 50:6 55:20	practitioners
163:2,3,7 168:20	135:22 136:3	143:10,13 150:18	180:7
169:12,18 181:7	139:18 141:21	<b>portions</b> 81:15,16	<b>prairie</b> 72:5,22,23
190:2 195:16	149:18 153:10	81:18	<b>pre</b> 44:11 47:8
197:13 199:23	188:11 189:3	position 45:23	preceded 152:23
200:15,16 201:15	204:1 206:24	51:22 52:21 53:3	precedent 99:3
201:21 202:21	214:25 215:1	124:17,18,19,22	preceding 160:6
204:15,21 211:13	217:6 224:8	227:13 231:25	precipitated
211:18,24 215:4,5	231:14 248:6	232:2	186:8,10
215:24 216:5,7,9	<b>pled</b> 198:9	positions 124:17	precise 230:23
216:16 217:15	plimpton 192:14	positive 42:25	precondition
218:4,15 219:2,3	<b>plus</b> 84:1 159:9	228:3,11,15,21	168:17
219:15 221:16,25	160:21 190:4	229:2 241:10,10	

mundanagan 27.12			150:8 224:18	
predecessor 37:13	presentation	pricing 136:25		
predicate 107:14	44:17 45:7,15	primary 165:10	238:10,11,22,24	
preface 46:12	49:15 150:23	165:13,19	239:2,3,3,8,11,20	
prefaced 46:12	151:16,23 164:24	prime 2:22 16:7	240:3,11,18 243:4	
preference 95:18	166:10,18,23,24	16:19 62:22 65:24	246:22 247:8	
<b>prefers</b> 207:18	167:1 168:8,25	68:9,16 69:14	<b>proceed</b> 36:6,17	
preis 35:6	169:1 170:3,21	70:9,20,22 71:5	38:23 54:7,8 59:5	
prejudice 45:3	184:12 192:10,12	71:19 73:1 79:7	69:24 90:4 139:7	
prejudiced 43:21	192:14,16,18	86:1 96:6 222:6	201:6	
44:16 45:5,12,13	194:6,17	<b>print</b> 43:13 173:6	proceeding 45:14	
45:22 46:7	presentations	<b>prior</b> 59:25 68:20	45:21 88:14	
preliminary 16:6	151:1,7 164:4,14	69:8 145:13 153:6	139:16 213:24	
54:6,24 56:18	164:17,20 165:5	153:13,22 157:11	214:4 223:5,7,14	
premise 81:1	165:10,17,19,21	162:3 186:21	223:15,15,18,18	
210:15	165:23 166:6,9,15	194:14	223:23	
preparation 49:6	167:8 168:11	<b>prison</b> 101:6,19	proceedings 1:12	
148:2	169:6,7,21 170:10	102:1	36:16 37:15,24	
prepare 49:8	170:13 171:3	prisoner 64:25	76:22 78:14 223:3	
prepared 36:5	179:11 192:22	101:4	250:21 251:4	
96:3	presented 151:17	prisoners 101:16	proceeds 210:24	
prerequisite	176:16 181:18	101:18	<b>process</b> 52:1 57:9	
156:9 159:1	186:17 219:24	prisons 102:6	62:23 66:25 70:18	
prescribe 125:7	presenting 51:18	<b>private</b> 46:4 226:2	70:20,23 86:15	
125:15,25 126:1	52:3 193:12	227:21,22	100:2 154:16,18	
131:13	president 105:4	privilege 183:15	154:20 155:4,4,17	
prescribed 118:8	presumably 69:14	privileged 183:6	157:6 159:9	
118:19 119:14,19	207:23,24 208:1	<b>pro</b> 38:15,19,19	161:25 184:8	
119:23	214:1	probably 40:25	186:13 187:4,21	
prescriber 127:12	presume 110:20	41:17 73:9 80:21	188:15 189:8,9,24	
prescribers	presumptively	111:7 120:17	197:10,21 200:15	
118:12 119:16,25	51:21	137:20 138:19	200:17 203:8	
122:3 180:8	presumptuous	154:6 196:25	205:11 246:7	
prescribing	244:19	246:17 250:6	248:11,22	
125:13 127:13,19	presupposing	problem 42:25	processes 160:20	
180:10	213:20	53:19 174:15	162:4	
prescription	pretty 58:14	206:25 231:15	produced 230:4,7	
124:13 125:10	123:1 129:24	problematic	product 118:13	
presence 149:8	164:20 213:15	151:7	118:15,17,21	
present 30:15	224:5	procedures 20:25	119:3,6,25 122:22	
48:11 51:19	previous 152:5	25:19 55:2,13	125:1,10 132:19	
111:22 116:5	234:20	87:17 89:24 92:12	132:23 143:22	
129:21 211:14	previously 38:12	106:3 133:25	227:13,15,17,18	
241:21	39:4	136:13 149:11	227:19	
		100.10 1 17.11		
Veriteyt Legal Solutions				

productive 108:2	promoting 118:3	199:10 233:25	248:8
products 121:21	120:3 122:21	provided 45:24	<b>publication</b> 93:16
121:22 122:20	promotion 122:25	57:1 64:6,8,18	93:18,21
123:21 124:7,9,11	promotional	65:6,8 67:8 98:13	publicizing 58:17
124:14,24,25	123:20	102:19,21 113:17	publicly 110:17
125:8,13,15,25	pronounce 242:16	141:5,15 142:15	111:6 112:7
126:3,4 131:14	<b>proof</b> 59:24 62:19	142:18 143:1	120:13,15
144:3,5,14	79:21,23 115:1	227:18 230:12	published 94:2
profession 226:16	<b>proofs</b> 60:3 70:22	233:21,22,24	<b>pull</b> 168:7
professional	92:5 115:5	237:24 238:12	pullman 28:9
170:2	<b>proper</b> 172:25	provider 125:9	152:14
professionally	properly 151:4	providers 118:7	<b>pullo</b> 16:7,19
227:1	208:12	118:19 121:5	54:11,14,17,19,20
professionals	proportionate	125:7,15,25	54:22,23 55:8,16
124:13 239:23	197:20	131:13 228:22,24	55:22 56:9,14
240:1,5	<b>propose</b> 39:3,12	236:23,24 237:4	57:4 59:8,10
professor 49:25	proposed 10:23	provides 125:3	62:18 63:11,14,24
51:16 225:13,21	48:21 49:15	201:21	64:1 65:15,18,20
242:15,17,20	162:10 204:15	<b>province</b> 9:15,20	69:1,3,6 71:8,24
243:22	209:13 210:16	provinces 205:19	75:3,5,8,10,13,17
program 98:15	211:13 216:16	237:25	75:21,25 76:2
130:3,6,10,15,23	229:16 238:1	provincial 211:15	79:7,11,17,19,23
131:1,10 180:6	243:18	provisions 116:2	80:18,22 81:4,6
227:22 233:20	proposing 41:17	116:17	82:5,10,12 99:18
programming	189:18	<b>public</b> 8:1 37:12	99:23
101:23	propriety 235:17	37:22,24 43:10	<b>pullo's</b> 55:18,19
<b>programs</b> 131:4,6	prosecute 190:19	46:4 58:10,11,18	56:20,20
226:14,19,24	prosecuting	58:21,23 78:25	purchase 147:24
227:3,8,11,20	190:18	120:18 121:11	<b>purdue</b> 1:7 2:5,6
228:4,6,16,18,22	prosecutor	123:1 162:10,16	4:5 6:8,24 8:22
229:3,11,16	189:11	169:11 170:12,16	9:18,22 10:13,25
232:11,15,24	prospective 249:3	174:6,12 179:15	11:9,19,24 12:1
233:1 237:8,14	protectors 111:23	184:2 185:12,19	12:14,21 13:2,5
239:12 240:10,14	protocol 37:19	226:14,20,24	13:16,20,22 14:6
240:18,25 241:3,5	protocols 140:4	227:3,7,10,10,11	14:10,12,16,20,22
241:12 243:1,8,18	237:12	227:14,23 228:1,8	15:1,3,16,18,23
progress 126:16	<b>prove</b> 37:21	228:12 229:11,12	16:3,9,11,21,23
<b>project</b> 132:20	proven 81:18	229:19 231:20,24	17:5,13,20 18:14
projections	<b>proves</b> 41:19	232:6,8,12,14,16	18:18,21,25 20:4
123:19	provide 47:19	232:18,20,24	20:17,21 21:1,5,8
promote 118:21	71:3 95:10 97:8	239:24 240:4,10	21:12,19 22:4
119:20 120:4	98:10 100:5 162:9	240:20 241:14	24:2,7,10,16,18
122:20	166:23 196:4	242:25 243:11	24:23,25 25:15,20

[purdue - raise] Page 43

T			
26:3,5 36:3 105:5	214:3	118:17 121:20,23	63:16 64:1 65:15
118:3,6,18 120:3	pursuit 178:2	122:16 125:10,13	71:12 75:17 78:14
120:4,21 121:2,2	<b>put</b> 37:17 43:18	127:4 129:15,21	80:7 82:2,10
124:18 125:15,25	44:2,6 57:17	129:25 130:23	94:14 100:23
126:4 127:10,11	64:11 105:23	131:5 132:4	102:12,16 106:23
129:22 130:3,10	131:20 133:21	138:13 142:13	117:5,7,13 124:13
130:14,21,24	138:22 139:9	146:10,13 147:10	124:21,23,25
131:1,2,7,13,18	152:19 156:6,16	147:13 153:9	128:17 129:11
132:11,23 139:15	156:20 157:25	154:22 158:5,6,10	132:2,6,10,14
141:6,8,13 143:1	169:10 170:14	158:11,25 161:19	133:2,4 134:20
143:16,21,23	178:14 184:7	161:19 163:11,16	137:9 148:22,24
144:6,13,18,19	189:1 202:21	164:7,13,17 165:6	191:5 195:1,5
145:4 146:6,7	205:13 227:4	166:11 167:2	203:15 216:21
152:25 161:13	<b>puts</b> 62:8 207:5	175:2,3,15 179:5	222:11 225:16
177:23 179:17,18	putting 51:22	179:12 181:10	231:2,5,7 233:9
180:5,7 185:4,7	57:15 125:2	182:16 183:16,17	233:12,12 238:21
195:20 196:6	161:15 241:1	185:15 187:23	241:20 242:12
197:15 200:18,18	q	188:5,19,22 189:3	quickly 38:24
207:4,11,17 209:2	qualifications	189:13,22 192:6	81:23 101:15
212:15,17 213:2,6	225:3	196:3,16,19,22,24	173:8
213:16,23,23	qualified 140:18	197:1,23 198:4,14	<b>quinn</b> 35:7
214:4,17 216:9	qualify 66:23	198:15 200:6,23	<b>quite</b> 49:20 77:10
220:11 223:3,23	231:19	200:24,25 202:3	80:6 85:11 112:22
223:23 230:5,16	qualifying 137:3	203:17,20 204:13	113:3 128:17
<b>purdue's</b> 125:8,23	qualitative 184:11	205:5,15,16	147:6,9 208:10
130:1 185:1	quantified 240:21	207:23 208:11,14	226:17
purported 73:10	quantify 148:6,14	212:4 213:6,9,21	quotations 226:21
purports 174:9	240:22 241:6,17	214:14 215:25	r
purpose 48:7	242:21 243:8	216:12,14,17	r 1:21 5:13 7:25
79:15 180:13	quantifying	223:13 226:17	17:9 27:1 31:2,11
235:7	241:18	229:20 231:17	33:3 35:3 36:1
purposes 47:16	quarropas 1:13	232:7 233:5	133:22 135:3
68:15,18 72:18	queen 9:15	234:20 235:8,9	136:6 139:23
74:11,21 127:13	question 61:21	236:10,11,12	224:13,13 245:25
137:4	64:11,22 68:1	238:13,16,17	251:1
pursuant 10:24	69:1,16,21,22	240:16 242:9,9	radio 95:1,4
13:19 14:9,19,25	70:6 72:3 73:19	246:15,20	rahul 17:23
15:15 26:2 107:17	74:7 77:1,21,22	questioned	raise 54:14 87:5
247:8	80:18,20 93:10	235:14	105:13,16 133:17
<b>pursue</b> 154:24,25	96:13,16 99:25	questioning 52:12	135:22 136:2
213:5 215:10	100:9,14 101:14	220:4 223:2 238:6	139:18 149:18
<b>pursuing</b> 154:7,12	102:3,4 103:12	questions 44:1,5	219:23 224:8
190:24 213:22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	46:9 55:25 63:12	-17.28 220
	104:6 112:13	+0.7 55.25 05.12	

[raised - references]

Page 44

-			
raised 50:6 69:21	198:1,9 199:19	164:19 182:1,14	242:11,13
71:15 99:6 247:19	200:1 205:3 213:6	182:23 183:14	redacted 11:21
raising 41:3	213:9 228:11	192:10 197:9	24:12 230:13
ran 130:4,23,25	231:22 240:4	217:16 241:7	redirect 75:19
range 161:4 203:2	<b>reason</b> 49:17	receives 97:6	82:7,8 102:9,13
203:4	80:24 111:14	receiving 101:17	132:7,8 216:22,24
rare 58:10	129:14 148:11	166:8 168:8	217:1 219:21
<b>rate</b> 61:10	159:17 170:5	202:14 211:16	221:9 238:20
raymond 10:17	238:16 248:9	recess 139:13	242:5,6
10:20 19:4,7,10	reasonable	recipients 229:13	reduce 45:25
19:13,16,20,24	122:15 189:22	229:18	228:18 229:3
20:2,6,10 22:7	reasons 108:3	recital 178:24	234:22
29:9 30:2 47:7,14	237:4	recognition	redundancy
47:25 48:4 192:19	rebecca 18:1	223:14,18,23	249:25
<b>rdd</b> 1:3 9:23	recall 53:10 67:25	recognize 157:20	reevaluating
<b>reach</b> 95:11,13	81:21 102:19	recollect 195:15	186:25
96:11 101:5	118:9 120:14,18	recollection 67:7	reevaluation
106:23 127:1	120:20 132:10,21	67:11,18 69:10	185:11,16 186:8
166:6,9 167:3	142:22 144:5	70:12 74:2 97:18	<b>refer</b> 47:16 66:2
205:10,14,18,22	155:13 156:15	97:19 141:19	103:3,13 104:7
reached 36:15	160:25 168:8,11	202:10 204:17	114:3 123:14
44:14 82:22	185:9 186:24	209:12,16 210:9	124:3 153:16
166:25	196:8 198:7 199:8	<b>record</b> 43:19,21	159:4 163:4
reaching 86:23	201:16,18,18,19	43:24 44:3,6,25	191:13,20 192:7,9
<b>read</b> 43:1 44:24	202:11 203:3	47:13 50:17 56:23	206:22
47:13,19 84:1	206:19 208:20	57:1,3,15,18,21	reference 67:21
91:11,12 116:3,8	209:4 210:5,7,11	59:25 62:7 73:8	71:24 74:17 81:23
123:24 126:20	217:16,17,24	73:14 82:25 83:12	87:22 143:23
132:17 140:21	218:10,25 230:19	83:21 84:1,19	144:23 145:20,23
171:12 173:23	230:24	85:2 88:12 89:8	147:13 158:9
reading 81:8	recalled 248:16	89:18,23 99:22	178:12 205:16
114:13 144:22	recast 198:22	107:7 116:25	207:5,10 209:11
145:9 180:16	receive 110:11	139:15 141:22	210:14 215:24
<b>ready</b> 54:6 85:23	168:17,21 192:14	149:7 171:7,10,20	234:2
138:18 155:20	192:18 202:8	174:6 178:9	referenced 46:23
192:6 222:16,18	234:1,16 241:5,9	179:16 223:17	70:13 82:16 97:25
real 36:12 129:3	241:12 243:20	251:4	125:17 151:25
really 41:24,24	<b>received</b> 2:13,25	records 79:11,12	161:10 187:9
42:7 55:24 62:10	3:7 66:21 67:24	79:20 100:6	200:14 205:25
66:25 70:19 79:6	70:21 72:10 73:21	recoveries 218:24	references 69:19
79:22 83:4 101:18	73:22,25 74:5,12	218:25 219:2	102:22,25 207:3
113:1 117:6,9	74:14 76:7 115:11	recross 81:6	209:8
131:24 186:16	131:16 164:5,14	219:20,21 221:4,7	
	Varitant I a		

referencing 67:3	121:5 140:14	214:14	<b>relied</b> 229:25
90:14 219:4	152:24 165:10,19	relates 71:14	<b>relief</b> 46:6 102:18
220:10	196:3 197:4 202:5	84:14 99:5 130:3	reluctant 99:2
<b>referred</b> 45:3 66:5	221:9	175:17 228:12	relying 43:21
70:1,10 127:8	regardless 115:10	relating 63:2	remain 216:4
144:3	201:22	114:16	remainder 118:14
referring 52:6	regards 77:13	relation 168:14	remained 122:21
77:4 107:25 119:3	<b>regions</b> 145:25	relations 146:6	remaining 122:25
128:13 130:17	<b>reject</b> 74:8,13,14	relationship	124:10
141:25 145:5	76:18	145:4 204:11	remains 108:20
171:10 181:6	<b>rejected</b> 56:5 74:9	relative 243:16	remedies 42:12
199:24 207:11,12	75:5 76:13,14	relatively 40:1	remember 128:15
207:15 208:25	rejecting 60:24	147:5	132:14 160:1,18
209:21 210:3	61:3 74:11 75:3	<b>release</b> 5:18 45:1	184:9 193:3,14,15
214:11 217:20	81:24	45:2 63:10 93:19	193:18 195:19,21
<b>refers</b> 89:21	rejection 56:6	93:22 94:6,10	195:25 196:2
150:19	rejections 75:12	109:14,16,23	199:17 230:14,23
<b>reflect</b> 223:17	78:11	110:11,24 111:3	231:1 243:25
reflected 68:4	<b>rel</b> 5:10	111:12,20 116:2	<b>remind</b> 194:21
73:25 147:2,3	<b>relate</b> 57:16	116:11 129:6,9	reminder 37:10
194:6	110:15 115:15,20	154:3 158:4	remote 20:25
reflects 68:4	116:12 209:19	163:12 184:20	25:19
79:24 84:19	<b>related</b> 3:4,14,17	released 93:4	reorganization
<b>refresh</b> 141:19	3:20,24 4:1,6,16	110:3,19 115:10	2:5 3:16 5:6,12
202:10 204:17	4:20 5:12 6:9,13	115:16,20 116:11	6:8,24 7:13,22 8:9
209:16 210:8	6:25 8:5,9,13,17	168:23 189:19	8:22 9:17 10:12
<b>refuse</b> 183:16	8:23 9:2,23 10:4	190:14	10:19 11:9,23
regard 65:23	11:1,10,14,18	releases 63:2,3,4,9	12:12,21 13:2,20
67:25 68:2 71:1,4	12:6,12 13:4,8,13	102:22 109:11	14:10,20 15:1,16
72:4,23 80:24	13:15,21 14:5,11	112:17 114:11,14	16:9,21 17:5,13
81:4 95:2 97:13	14:15,21 15:2,6	114:14,25 116:4	20:9,16 21:1,19
97:25 126:15	15:17 16:4,10,22	117:4 128:22,23	24:7,15,23 25:15
134:11 141:4,8,13	17:14,19 20:17	155:10,12,15,21	25:20 26:3 155:20
143:20 144:12	23:1,18,22 25:8	156:4,4,5,7,8,13	159:10,21,24
146:7 204:10	25:16,24 26:4,8	158:19,21 159:5,6	197:14,21 200:15
205:11,19 208:1,8	39:8 46:1 83:18	163:3,4,5,6,10	200:16 217:15
208:15 213:2	97:14 116:5	168:17,23 190:10	218:16
214:22 216:8,16	118:12 124:10,25	201:15,16,21,23	reorient 222:19
233:19	125:13 127:3	214:13	<b>rep</b> 118:20
regarding 3:3	129:11 134:15	relevance 80:13	repeat 126:22
5:17 16:7,19	144:9 148:18	relevant 51:8 52:4	141:11 153:9
52:16 59:21 64:1	168:12 182:1	reliance 95:21	164:7 189:3
67:19 69:21,22	186:20 194:10,12	96:2	196:22 198:4

[repeat - right] Page 46

225:16 234:4	representative	resolves 50:9	restrictions
repeating 203:13	72:5 249:2	resolving 154:8	101:16
226:18	representatives	154:13,25	restructuring
rephrase 147:9	42:18 119:18	resource 142:16	9:22
158:10 160:13	122:2,25	resources 142:24	result 45:1,4
reply 10:19 12:4,4	represented 41:10	respect 9:16 37:7	89:23 219:14
12:11,18 13:3,7	120:22 212:5	38:11 39:6 40:11	228:16 237:7
17:3,10 20:9,14	219:12 231:11	41:6 46:20 47:3	resulted 159:19
21:24 22:10,16,22	representing	53:4 63:9 68:5,24	reuters 14:2
23:6,12 24:24	83:24 204:4	71:13 90:17	revenue 146:22
<b>report</b> 47:12 67:2	represents 47:1,2	101:23 125:23	reversed 45:12
68:22 69:7 76:13	request 13:24	134:15 136:24	review 41:15
96:1,3,5,7,9	15:5 36:4 80:4,5	221:10 225:5	67:16,24 79:14
134:11,16,19	220:15	238:25 245:3,16	146:9 148:12
136:10,11,16,20	requested 43:13	responded 80:4	167:19 181:14
136:22,25 137:2,3	43:20 76:5	99:7 121:23	186:11,12 210:10
137:3,8,9 140:1,7	requesting 190:11	response 10:11	reviewed 55:24
140:14,17,19	require 59:23	11:6,7,13,17	64:10 70:22 137:8
141:6,9,14 142:13	238:7 241:18	12:10 21:16 25:13	164:19 167:22
144:7,12,21	required 126:16	61:10 73:23 76:7	174:7 176:7,8
146:20,25 147:3	127:23	87:8 183:8,11	178:4 181:18
147:14,19 148:3	requirement	186:25 187:1	186:9 204:16
224:17,22 225:1,2	126:8,8 127:4,6,7	234:20 241:19	218:19 230:19
225:6,21,22,24	159:3 190:9	responses 2:9	243:10
226:1,3,7 229:15	requirements	40:15 74:7	reviewing 164:4
232:9,13,13	62:8 124:8 125:18	responsibilities	165:5 238:8
233:23 234:13	125:21,24 126:7	208:3	revised 68:19
235:2 238:3	reschedule 38:19	responsibility	rhode 83:6
reporter's 152:4	research 96:7	195:10,18 207:4	rice 35:8
reports 48:8	123:21,23 126:10	responsible 101:9	richard 18:13
64:18 141:20	127:21,25 128:8	125:20 187:25	31:16 133:12,22
229:25 230:4,7,11	128:11 144:7	responsive 121:17	134:17
230:12,19 240:8	reservation 4:20	rest 100:13,15	<b>rid</b> 118:6
243:9	9:14	restate 96:13	riffkin 35:9
represent 59:11	reservations 44:2	99:25	<b>right</b> 9:15,19 38:2
64:25 90:10 101:2	reserved 245:18	restitution 196:5	40:23 50:11 51:24
109:2 152:14	resolution 50:8	196:9 197:25	52:23 53:11,24
178:6,6 195:8	159:19 205:19	198:8 199:10	54:1,14,21 55:17
201:10 204:5	resolve 49:18	200:6	59:24 60:8,12,15
231:10,15 232:2	189:20 245:2,15	restrict 232:18	60:25 61:1,4,5,11
236:4	resolved 39:1	restricted 101:25	61:20 62:3,15,24
representation	107:20 189:24	102:5	65:15 69:3,25
83:12 84:23 219:9	244:24 249:20		71:20 73:15 75:15
		ral Calutions	

[right - sacklers] Page 47

			_
80:11,17 81:2,5	228:8,9 230:9	rothstein's 80:3	24:17 25:8,16,24
81:24 82:9,17,23	231:25 233:4	roughly 157:15	26:4,9 27:1,5
84:7,20 85:21,23	236:2 238:18,20	<b>round</b> 98:13	30:17 32:11 33:7
87:5 88:3,23,25	239:15 242:4,7,17	rounded 166:4	33:10 34:7,19
90:22 92:17,25	244:1 245:13	<b>route</b> 169:19	36:1 136:7 152:11
93:5,16,19 99:16	246:5,13 247:4,4	<b>roxana</b> 30:19	224:13 245:25
104:2,12,22,22,23	247:21,23,24	royalty 144:24	<b>sac</b> 19:13
105:13,13,16	248:12,14,16,20	145:9,13,15	sackler 10:17,20
108:21 113:10	249:7,16 250:11	<b>rubric</b> 232:5,8,20	11:6 19:4,7,10,12
118:4,23,25	250:18	233:1	19:17,20,24 20:2
120:25 121:6,12	<b>rights</b> 4:20 9:14	<b>ruby</b> 3:14	20:6,10 21:14
121:22,24 124:21	37:8 245:17	<b>rule</b> 20:3 27:4	22:7 25:12 29:9
126:5 129:1	ringing 246:2	52:22 64:14 80:14	30:2 41:10 44:14
131:11,16 133:7	<b>risk</b> 42:17	135:1,3,10 137:13	44:22 47:2,7,14
133:17 134:9,13	risks 42:21 214:24	137:18 138:10,15	47:14,25 48:1,4
134:19 135:2,22	215:3	139:4,17,17,21,24	48:15 50:6,7
136:2 137:2,8,13	<b>rival</b> 227:15	139:25 140:8,11	103:1,6 109:23
137:15 138:3	<b>road</b> 251:21	140:17,20,21	110:15 111:21,22
139:2,8,14,18	<b>robert</b> 1:22 31:10	141:2,4 143:8,11	115:6 117:4 129:5
140:12,16,21	32:15	144:11 148:24,25	153:16,17 162:18
142:3,22 143:6	<b>robinson</b> 225:9,9	179:2,24,25 238:5	162:21 164:3,5,11
144:4,11 149:2,17	225:12,17,20	<b>ruled</b> 51:20	164:21 166:7
149:18 155:2	226:9,13 242:6,8	<b>rule's</b> 140:13	168:18 189:16,16
158:8,9 159:25	242:14 243:23,25	<b>ruling</b> 51:11 79:1	192:11,15,17,20
160:3 162:12	<b>roe</b> 32:21	<b>run</b> 39:16 64:18	206:11 209:4
163:19,23 171:7	<b>role</b> 65:24 71:19	running 135:5	214:9 215:13,14
171:12,18 174:4	127:16 204:11	<b>ryan</b> 33:16	220:17 222:22
177:3 179:3,8	207:3 208:8,15	S	sacklers 42:1,20
184:9,21 185:10	246:20	s 3:4,14,17,21,24	51:8 115:10,15
186:3 190:25	<b>roman</b> 30:21	4:1,6,16,16,17,21	150:24 153:16,18
191:2 194:3	romanoff 35:10	5:13 6:9,14,25 8:5	153:22 154:3
198:22 199:6	romas 3:14	8:10,13,17,23 9:3	155:7 156:1,21
201:1,3 202:12	room 1:13 4:15	9:23 10:4 11:1,10	157:9 158:3 159:3
205:2,2 208:14	9:11 37:19 80:21	11:14,18,25 12:6	161:12 162:25
209:6,19 210:4	149:11,14 193:15	12:13,22 13:4,8	166:9,19,24
211:22 213:11,16	rooms 37:16 38:3	13:15,21 14:5,11	167:21 169:17
213:19,22 214:20	rosen 193:13	14:15,21 15:2,6	170:13 174:3
216:20 217:23	rothstein 4:16,17	15:17 16:10,22	176:10,12,14
219:5,25 220:14	75:22,23 76:1	17:6,14,15,19	177:1 179:21
220:21,24 222:14	77:2,3,8,12,19,22	18:14,18,21,25	180:9 181:3,4,8
222:16,24 223:24	77:25 78:2,6,8,19	20:3,21 21:5,8,11	182:2,6 187:19,20
224:7,8,14,15	79:3 80:7,10,15	21:11 22:3 23:2	188:8,13,14 189:7
225:12,17 227:25		23:19,23 24:2,8	190:11,17,18

[sacklers - settled] Page 48

195:12 197:15 217:22 238:16 <b>sections</b> 184	4:5 <b>sent</b> 42:24 43:2
200:19 201:22 <b>schedule</b> 38:11 <b>see</b> 39:25 42	2:23 54:9 66:19 68:22
202:23 205:25 40:15 140:4 54:13 66:5	70:25 69:2,7,14 71:5
209:3 214:13 <b>scheduled</b> 38:12 86:2 87:4 9	9:15 72:6,18 73:4,20
215:10,13 216:10   39:5 40:8   101:12 103	:21,24 91:7 92:16,20,24
218:10,22 220:6   <b>schwartzberg</b> 4:7   104:1,17,17	7 102:5 160:22
<b>sacklers'</b> 165:19 35:12 105:10 108	:11 172:5 173:16
<b>safe</b> 77:17 <b>scope</b> 122:8 114:10 117	:24 222:1
<b>safeguard</b> 170:18   128:23 148:5,13   118:1 133:1	sentence 123:14
170:19 195:10 196:12 135:20 139	:17 174:14 192:7
<b>saint</b> 29:17 204:24 211:20 144:15,21 1	145:3 217:14
<b>sake</b> 89:7 216:1 145:15 148	:2,7 <b>sentences</b> 174:10
<b>sale</b> 132:12 145:1 <b>scott</b> 12:22 13:4 149:11 165	:11 <b>separate</b> 70:3
146:7 147:13 17:6,9,15 27:16 166:6 172:1	15,23 72:1 92:23 192:25
148:9 31:2 33:2 178:10 183	:23 207:24 208:5,5
<b>sales</b> 118:4,6,10 <b>screen</b> 37:18 192:3 213:8	3 224:3 212:21,24
118:11,14,18,20 54:14 86:2 139:9 227:2 228:4	4 239:4 <b>september</b> 55:1
119:9,9 120:4,5   <b>screen's</b> 244:12   245:1 246:9	9 144:17
120:12 121:4 <b>scripts</b> 11:2,3,3 249:10	sequestering
122:2,21,25 <b>se</b> 38:15,19,19 <b>seeing</b> 104:1	1 248:9
123:19 132:24 <b>sealed</b> 113:19 181:21	serious 108:2
146:23 147:6 172:22 <b>seek</b> 156:4 1	158:2 <b>served</b> 189:25
<b>salwen</b> 35:11 <b>search</b> 64:13 65:7 166:5	190:17
<b>sam</b> 32:19 <b>seattle</b> 5:7 <b>seeking</b> 61:2	25 <b>service</b> 227:13
<b>samuel</b> 33:24 <b>seattle's</b> 5:6 156:12 162	:1 <b>services</b> 10:2
<b>sara</b> 31:6 <b>sec</b> 222:19 <b>seemingly</b> 2	213:3,5   100:5 141:10,14
<b>sarasota</b> 8:1 <b>second</b> 38:24 <b>seen</b> 49:23 6	53:1,5 141:18 142:16,16
<b>sat</b> 235:14 44:10 55:3 89:9 63:6,7 108:	20 142:16,18,21,24
<b>satz</b> 33:14 100:12 107:3 180:15 181	:16,17 <b>set</b> 36:6 37:16
<b>saunders</b> 23:22 114:10 118:13 187:15 215	:17 38:8 40:24 56:23
<b>saval</b> 11:1 126:19 147:21 243:7	71:18 74:18 80:24
<b>saying</b> 52:2 72:12   176:15 192:7,8   <b>sees</b> 104:8	100:13 122:7
173:15 181:15,23 193:4 199:16 <b>selected</b> 95:	24 180:4,17 223:25
182:23 183:13 202:12 206:24 <b>self</b> 41:17	225:5 234:15
186:11,12 187:2,3   207:20,23 214:25   <b>selling</b> 144:	
187:3 190:3 194:7   224:13 229:22   <b>send</b> 49:5 73	3:1 <b>setting</b> 55:1 150:8
207:1 211:5 235:9 241:4 248:8 <b>sending</b> 180	223:11
<b>says</b> 43:9 62:12 <b>seconds</b> 43:3 <b>sense</b> 44:10	96:9 <b>settings</b> 228:8
65:22 67:3 86:9 107:13 97:4 98:19	135:16 229:1
91:23 111:14 <b>section</b> 10:24 137:20 142	:20 <b>settle</b> 53:21
116:7 123:16 61:25 63:10 227:23 246	:8 186:21 215:13
142:15 143:4 113:16 114:2 <b>sensitive</b> 86	settled 162:25
144:24 179:22 116:1 138:7	

settlement 3:13	<b>shape</b> 170:8	133:5 134:21	236:12 237:22
108:3 117:4	<b>share</b> 197:20	148:24 222:14	238:21 244:2
151:14 152:24	237:17	244:2 245:23	sit 39:18 49:12
153:1 154:1,8,12	<b>shared</b> 166:17	signal 97:2	142:22 144:4,10
155:8,11,22 156:2	shareholder 5:18	<b>signed</b> 16:1 20:13	168:6 198:6 199:8
156:10,14,16,17	45:1,2 46:6 93:4	20:24 25:11,18	202:11
157:1,5,23 158:17	93:19 94:6 102:17	56:7 109:5,20	sites 127:22 128:2
159:2 160:5,8	102:22 109:14,16	114:20 116:25	128:11
161:13,15,17	109:23 111:20	139:4 156:25	sitting 106:4
162:20 163:11,13	116:10 128:22	157:3,4,11	134:2 136:15
163:18,18 168:19	shareholders	<b>signing</b> 247:22,23	138:11 140:5
176:11,24,25	110:15 152:25	248:3 249:6	150:10 184:9
177:6,9,22 180:19	<b>sharing</b> 169:20,23	signs 127:12	186:24 203:7
180:22,23 181:2,2	<b>she'll</b> 244:9	similar 38:17	215:20 221:21
181:6,8,15,16	<b>sheet</b> 13:15	64:19,20 67:21	236:6
182:2,6,18 185:3	sheryl 35:3	100:7 110:22	situation 159:12
185:18 186:1,6	shifted 70:7	111:1,5 142:16	159:14 210:22
187:2,6 188:1	shirley 3:21	190:3 246:8	six 38:16,23
190:8,9 195:13,16	<b>shoes</b> 189:1	similarly 75:9	<b>sixth</b> 2:4 4:4,10
196:4,17 197:15	<b>shore</b> 12:13	simmonds 21:7	5:12 6:7,23 7:12
198:11 199:5,11	<b>short</b> 101:20	<b>simpler</b> 246:11	8:21 9:17 10:12
199:18,19,22,23	138:12 148:19	simply 44:2	10:18,24 11:8,23
200:4,5,18 202:5	235:11	147:23 148:6	12:20 13:1 14:4,9
202:16,20 205:11	<b>shorten</b> 158:14	<b>singer</b> 11:19	14:19,25 15:15,22
208:22,24,24	shortly 244:9	<b>single</b> 64:10	16:2 17:4,12 20:8
209:3,7,10,14,20	should've 38:7	149:12	20:15 21:17 24:6
209:23 210:16,19	46:12	singleton 3:17	24:15,22 25:14,19
210:24 211:6,9,25	<b>show</b> 69:17 78:3	sir 105:11 154:10	26:2 45:2
214:12 215:14,19	96:1	157:21 164:17	<b>slated</b> 50:25
218:9,10,22	showing 56:2	177:15 178:5,10	slightly 124:22
219:14 220:5,10	<b>shy</b> 167:16 168:24	181:11,17 183:3	<b>slowly</b> 225:18
220:17 221:10,16	<b>sic</b> 99:18	185:5,14 187:10	<b>small</b> 147:5
222:22	<b>side</b> 44:14,14	187:12,17,23	smaller 40:1
settlements 12:19	46:22,24 47:2	189:10 192:21,24	145:16
17:4,11 20:15	48:5 49:24 51:4,4	193:5,10,16,25	<b>snap</b> 45:6
218:8	150:23 164:5,5,14	194:3,19,22 199:7	snapback 45:2,22
settles 53:17	164:15 166:2	199:14 201:20	46:6 48:17,18
seven 71:25 72:8	169:22 170:9,10	202:11 204:7	social 37:20 95:22
73:21 74:1	178:23 179:11,11	206:24 207:1	95:25
seventh 41:13	192:12,19	208:13,23 209:15	<b>sold</b> 147:18,24
163:7	<b>sided</b> 164:21,23	214:25 215:1,8	solicitation 16:7
shannon 32:2	sign 66:21 70:24	217:11,23 219:6	16:19 59:20 68:10
	82:11 104:23	224:4 235:1	92:12,15,20

[solutions - state] Page 50

solutions 251:20 somebody 40:25 somebody 40:25 posterior 79:14 someone's 248:23 posterior 24:21 posterior 29:14 posterior 29:14 posterior 29:14 posterior 29:14 posterior 29:15 posterior 29:15 posterior 29:15 posterior 29:15 posterior 29:15 posterior 29:15 posterior 29:16 posteri				
79:14 someone's 248:23         sound 207:24 210:14 216:4         213:22 214:2 215:5 216:3.14         spillovers 228:15 229:8 234:22         spillovers 228:15         228:15 216:3.14         229:8 234:22         229:8 234:22         229:8 234:22         235:1,3 237:5,14         235:1,3 237:5,14         235:1,3 237:5,14         235:1,3 237:5,14         235:1,3 237:5,14         237:1,9 238:3         237:19 238:3         237:19 238:3         237:19 238:3         237:19 238:3         237:19 238:3         237:19 238:3         237:19 238:3         237:19 238:3         237:19 238:3         237:19 238:3         237:19 238:3         237:19 238:3         237:19 238:3         237:19 238:3         245:12         29:8 234:22         235:1,3 237:5,14         235:1,3 237:5,14         237:19 238:3         245:12         29:8 245:12         29:8 245:12         29:9 16:20:17         20:11 20:17	solutions 251:20	<b>sought</b> 166:18	209:13 210:15	237:22 240:19
someone's         248:23         210:14 216:4         215:5 216:3,14         229:8 234:22         235:1,3 237:5,14           sonya         26:25 25:13         sounces         95:19         specialty         5:13 0:3         237:19 238:3         24:11,11,420         235:1,3 237:5,14         235:1,3 237:5,14         235:1,3 237:5,14         235:1,3 237:5,14         235:1,3 237:5,14         235:1,3 237:5,14         235:1,3 237:5,14         235:1,3 237:5,14         235:1,3 237:5,14         235:1,1 237:19,21         245:12         235:1,3 237:5,14         235:1,1 237:19,21         245:12         237:19 238:3         241:17,19,21         spoken 167:7,10         350t 6:11,19,21         350t 6:11,19,21         350t 6:12,19,21         350t 6:13,14         215:2,12,17,10,21         350t 6:11,157:8         350t 6:11,157:8         350t 6:11,157:10         350t 6:12         350t 6:12         350t 6:21	somebody 40:25	207:10 209:7	211:11 212:2,5	242:22 243:8
249:1         217:3 247:14         218:11,14,20         235:1,3 237:5,14           sony 26:25 251:3         sounds 36:23         specialty 5:1 30:9         235:1,3 237:5,14           son 42:8 49:11         sources 228:15,17         238:2,3 241:19         specific 69:9 71:2         245:12         spoken 167:7,10           sory 50:7 55:1         south 98:22         southern 1:2         southern 1:2         sovereign 187:18         180:17 181:24         stacey 6:2           106:17 108:8         188:6,12,17,18,23         188:24 189:2,11         189:12,23         188:24 189:2,11         189:12,23         specifically 56:21         stacey 6:2         stacey 6:2           127:10 140:21         speak 4:191:15         125:14 205:24         specifically 56:21         stand 132:15         236:6 245:17         standlone 216:9           157:21 158:6,24         speaker 130:3,10         147:25 174:3         144:4,10 146:24         standlone 216:9           157:21 158:6,24         speaker 130:3,10         147:25 174:3         147:25 174:3         stard 36:19 88:23           157:21 158:6,24         speaker 130:3,10         130:15,23 131:1,4         184:8 186:2,24         start 36:19 88:23           158:14 187:12         speakers 131:11         131:12         188:19 89:10         107:6 108:15         specifically 56:21         starting 106:18 </td <td>79:14</td> <td><b>sound</b> 207:24</td> <td>213:22 214:2</td> <td>spillovers 228:15</td>	79:14	<b>sound</b> 207:24	213:22 214:2	spillovers 228:15
sonya         26:25 251:3         sounds         36:23         specialty         5:1 30:9         237:19 238:3         237:19 238:3         241:17,19,21         spoon on 42:8 49:11         source         95:19         specific         69:9 71:2         spoken         167:7,10         167:18         spoken         167:7,10         167:18         168:12         178:12         188:12         188:12         188:12         188:12         188:12         188:12         188:12         189:12         24:18         188:18         189:12         233:13         24:14:17,19,21         189:14         24:14:17,19,21         189:14         189:15         24:14:17,19,21         24:14:17,19,21         24:14:17,19,21         24:14:17,19,21         <	someone's 248:23	210:14 216:4	215:5 216:3,14	229:8 234:22
251:8         source         95:19         245:12         241:17,19,21         224:17,19,21         224:17,19,21         228:17,10         228:23         241:17,19,21         spote fife 69:9 71:2         spote fife 69:9 71:2         241:17,19,21         spote fife 69:9 71:2         spote fife 69:9 71:2         spote fife 69:9 71:2         spote 64:11 157:8         spot 61:11 18:12         spot 61:11 18:12         spot 61:11 18:12         spot 61:11 18:12         spot 62:1         spot	249:1	217:3 247:14	218:11,14,20	235:1,3 237:5,14
soon 42:8 49:11         sources 228:15,17         zas:2,3 241:19         specific 69:9 71:2         spoken 167:7,10         spot 64:11 157:8         spot 61:12 17         14:51 14:51         14:51 14:51         14:51 14:51         14:51 14:51         14:51 14:51         14:51 14:51         14:51 14:51         14:51 14:51         14:51 14:51         15:41 14:51         15:41 14:51         15:41 15:41         15:41 15:41         15:41 15:41         15:41 15:41         15:41 15:41         15:41 15:41         15:41 15:41         15:41 15:41         15:41 15:41         15:41 15:41         15:41 15:41	sonya 26:25 251:3	<b>sounds</b> 36:23	<b>specialty</b> 5:1 30:9	237:19 238:3
173:12   238:2,3 241:19   50usa 35:14   50usa 35:14   50:15   56:13 66:9 71:8,9 78:20 100:22   106:17 108:8	251:8	<b>source</b> 95:19	245:12	241:17,19,21
sorokin         35:13         sousa         35:14         south 98:22         96:21,24 102:17         square         28:19           56:13         66:971:8,9         southern         1:2         144:5 145:3 146:9         stacey         6:2           78:20         100:22         sovereign         188:6,12,17,18,23         188:6,12,17,18,23         188:24 189:2,11         180:17 181:24         taccy         31:21 63:18           106:17         108:8         188:6,12,17,18,23         188:24 189:2,11         189:12,23         stacy         31:21 63:18           120:9,19         121:17         189:12,23         204:18 209:4         stacy         31:21 63:18           127:10         140:21         189:12,23         speak         44:19:15         204:18 209:4         stacy         31:21 63:18           127:10         140:21         189:12,23         188:24 89:2,11         206:2 225:18         218:24         29:4         specifically         56:21         5cc6 245:17         standard         174:22         211:12,23	<b>soon</b> 42:8 49:11	sources 228:15,17	<b>specific</b> 69:9 71:2	<b>spoken</b> 167:7,10
sorry         50:75 55:1         south 98:22         104:5 123:5 142:4         st 6:6,10         stacey 6:2         stacey 31:21 63:18         10:13 195:9         stacey 6:2         stacey 31:21 63:18         10:13 195:9         stand 132:15         204:18 209:4         stand 132:15         204:18 209:4         stand 132:15         236:6 245:17         standalone 216:9         standard 174:22         218:24         specifically 56:21         specifically 56:21         standard 174:22         218:24         specifically 56:21         standard 174:22         218:24         specifically 56:21         standard 174:22         218:124         227:18 68:23 69:10         72:8 83:10 102:25         218:24         231:13 249:12,15         44:4,10 146:24         44:4,10 146:24         44:4,10 146:24         44:4,10 146:24         44:4,10 146:24         44:4,10 146:24         44:4,10 146:24         44:4,10 146:24         44:4,10 146:24         44:4,10 146:24         44:4,10 146:24         44:4,10 146:24         44:4,10 146:24         44:4,10 146:24         44:4,10 146:24         44:4,10 146:24         44:4,10 146:24         44:4,10 146:24         44:4,10 146	173:12	238:2,3 241:19	71:12,20,21 79:15	<b>spot</b> 64:11 157:8
56:13 66:9 71:8,9         southern         1:2         144:5 145:3 146:9         stacey         6:2           78:20 100:22         sovereign         187:18         180:17 181:24         100:17 181:24         101:3 195:9           112:5 113:20         188:24 189:2,11         184:5 201:19         204:18 209:4         206:2 25:18           120:9,19 121:17         189:12,23         204:18 209:4         236:6 245:15           123:4 126:1,18         sovereigns         66:6         specifically         56:21         stand 132:15           127:10 140:21         spake         44:1 91:15         67:18 68:23 69:10         standalone         216:9           153:8 154:10         206:2 225:18         118:12 142:22         stands 198:2         standerd         174:22           157:21 158:6,24         206:2 225:18         118:12 142:22         stands         198:2           158:24 159:24         130:15,23 131:1,4         131:6,10         178:24 181:14         start         36:19 88:23           170:23 173:14         131:12         131:12         187:12 208:20         129:19 154:20           188:9 189:10         107:6 108:15         233:23 238:4         148:16 154:4           194:1 195:45         165:28 166:41,9,25         165:18 166:8,14         240:13 243:1 <t< td=""><td>sorokin 35:13</td><td>sousa 35:14</td><td>96:21,24 102:17</td><td>square 28:19</td></t<>	sorokin 35:13	sousa 35:14	96:21,24 102:17	square 28:19
78:20 100:22         sovereign 187:18         180:17 181:24         stacy 31:21 63:18           106:17 108:8         188:6,12,17,18,23         184:5 201:19         204:18 209:4         236:6 245:17           120:9,19 121:17         189:12,23         204:18 209:4         236:6 245:17           123:4 126:1,18         189:12,23         sovereigns 66:6         specifically 56:21         56:21           127:10 140:21         189:12,23         50:21 66:24         218:24         236:6 245:17           127:10 140:21         189:12,23         72:8 83:10 102:25         236:6 245:17           153:8 154:10         206:2 225:18         118:12 142:22         184:4,10 146:24         184:4,10 146:24         184:4,10 146:24         184:4,10 146:24         184:4,10 146:24         184:8 186:2,24         187:2 182:4         188:1 182:4         188:2 181:4         188:2 181:4         188:2 181:4         188:2 181:4         188:2 181:4         188:2 181:4         188:2 181:4         188:2 181:4         188:2 181:4         188:1 182:2         188:2 181:1         188:1 20:2         188:1 181:4         188:1 182:2         188:9 189:10         107:6 108:15         227:9 232:13         184:16 154:4         155:16 156:18         160:5         160:5         160:5         160:5         160:5         160:5         160:18         175:16         1	<b>sorry</b> 50:7 55:1	<b>south</b> 98:22	104:5 123:5 142:4	<b>st</b> 6:6,10
106:17 108:8         188:6,12,17,18,23         184:5 201:19         101:3 195:9           112:5 113:20         188:24 189:2,11         204:18 209:4         stand 132:15           120:9,19 121:17         189:12,23         204:18 209:4         236:6 245:17           123:4 126:1,18         sovereigns 66:6         specifically 56:21         standalone 216:9           127:10 140:21         speak 44:1 91:15         67:18 68:23 69:10         72:8 83:10 102:25           153:8 154:10         206:2 225:18         118:12 142:22         stands 198:2           157:21 158:6,24         231:13 249:12,15         144:4,10 146:24         stands 198:2           158:24 159:24         231:13 249:12,15         144:4,10 146:24         stands 198:2           158:24 159:24         130:15,23 131:1,4         131:6,10         147:25 174:3         start 36:19 88:23           178:10 183:10         131:12         166:8 217:15         started 114:1           178:10 183:10         131:12         227:9 232:13         129:19 154:20           188:9 189:10         107:6 108:15         227:9 232:13         starting 106:18           199:16 200:1         165:18 166:8,14         154:6,7,11 161:8         specifics 66:2         249:23           199:16 200:1         165:18 166:8,14         175:13         522,23 6	56:13 66:9 71:8,9	southern 1:2	144:5 145:3 146:9	stacey 6:2
112:5 113:20         188:24 189:2,11         204:18 209:4         stand 132:15           120:9,19 121:17         189:12,23         218:24         specifically 56:21         sace 245:17           123:4 126:1,18         sovereigns 66:6         speak 44:1 91:15         67:18 68:23 69:10         standard 174:22           141:11 149:3         125:14 205:24         206:2 225:18         118:12 142:22         stands 198:2           153:8 154:10         206:2 225:18         231:13 249:12,15         118:12 142:22         stands 198:2           157:21 158:6,24         231:13 249:12,15         144:4,10 146:24         stands 198:2         stands 198:2           158:24 159:24         speaker 130:3,10         147:25 174:3         stant 36:19 88:23         155:4,17 188:11           170:23 173:14         131:6,10         speakers 131:11         184:8 186:2,24         start 36:19 88:23           178:10 183:10         131:12         216:8 217:15         160:5           188:9 189:10         107:6 108:15         227:9 23:213         starting 106:18           199:12 21198:4,5         161:20 164:1,9,25         165:18 166:8,14         155:16 156:18           199:16 200:1         165:18 166:8,14         175:13         522,23 6:19 7:4,5           226:8,17 233:5         192:10,17 194:10         194:12 195:10,18<	78:20 100:22	sovereign 187:18	180:17 181:24	stacy 31:21 63:18
120:9,19 121:17   189:12,23   sovereigns 66:6   speak 44:1 91:15   125:14 205:24   206:2 225:18   118:12 142:22   135:8 154:10   206:2 225:18   118:12 142:22   144:4,10 146:24   speaker 130:3,10   130:15,23 131:1,4   131:6,10   131:12   speakers 131:11   131:12   168:9 189:10   107:6 108:15   158:14 187:12   speaking 73:10   107:6 108:15   159:16 200:1   160:21 198:4,5   169:21 199:16 200:1   203:25 206:8,24   212:12 214:25   217:22,23 220:3,9   226:8,17 233:5   199:10,17 194:10   234:4 239:16   248:18   sort 37:19 39:7   42:4,14 43:12   70:7 119:12 168:8   181:23 223:5   206:15 207:3,8,9   228:21 229:2   156:14 157:17   128:21 127:17   128:21 127:17   128:21 127:17   128:21 127:17   128:21 127:17   128:21 127:17   128:21 127:17   128:21 127:17   128:21 127:17   128:21 127:18   129:19 154:20   129:19	106:17 108:8	188:6,12,17,18,23	184:5 201:19	101:3 195:9
120:9,19 121:17   189:12,23   sovereigns 66:6   speak 44:1 91:15   125:14 205:24   215:14 205:24   118:12 142:22   11:1,2,3   153:8 154:10   206:2 225:18   118:12 142:22   144:4,10 146:24   speak 17:25 174:3   stands 198:2   stan	112:5 113:20	188:24 189:2,11	204:18 209:4	<b>stand</b> 132:15
127:10 140:21         speak         44:1 91:15         67:18 68:23 69:10         standard         174:22           141:11 149:3         125:14 205:24         72:8 83:10 102:25         211:1,2,3           153:8 154:10         206:2 225:18         118:12 142:22         211:1,2,3           157:21 158:6,24         231:13 249:12,15         144:4,10 146:24         stands 198:2           158:24 159:24         speaker 130:3,10         147:25 174:3         stant s 198:2           160:7 164:7,16         130:15,23 131:1,4         131:6,10         147:25 174:3         start 36:19 88:23           175:23 176:21         speakers 131:11         184:8 186:2,24         started 114:1           175:23 176:21         speaking 73:10         216:8 217:15         160:5           185:14 187:12         speaking 73:10         227:9 232:13         starting 106:18           188:9 189:10         107:6 108:15         227:9 232:13         starting 106:18           194:1 195:15         154:6,7,11 161:8         specifics 66:2         249:23           199:16 200:1         165:18 166:8,14         speculate 112:11         175:16         5:22,23 6:19 7:4,5           203:25 206:8,24         166:22 167:19,22         175:13         speculating         7:6,14,16,19,20           217:22,23 220:3,9         <	120:9,19 121:17	189:12,23	218:24	236:6 245:17
141:11 149:3       125:14 205:24       72:8 83:10 102:25       211:1,2,3         153:8 154:10       206:2 225:18       118:12 142:22       stands 198:2         157:21 158:6,24       231:13 249:12,15       144:4,10 146:24       stands 198:2         158:24 159:24       speaker 130:3,10       147:25 174:3       start 36:19 88:23         160:7 164:7,16       130:15,23 131:1,4       178:24 181:14       155:4,17 188:11         170:23 173:14       131:6,10       speakers 131:11       184:8 186:2,24       started 114:1         175:23 176:21       speaking 73:10       126:8 217:15       160:5         185:14 187:12       speaking 73:10       227:9 232:13       starting 106:18         188:9 189:10       107:6 108:15       233:23 238:4       148:16 154:4         191:23 192:13       special 13:14       240:13 243:1       155:16 156:18         199:16 200:1       165:18 166:8,14       240:13 243:1       155:16 156:18         199:16 200:1       165:18 166:8,14       175:16       speculate 112:11         203:25 206:8,24       166:22 167:19,22       175:16       speculating         217:22,23 220:3,9       180:18 182:25       175:13       7:6,14,16,19,20         234:4 239:16       194:12 195:10,18       196:12,18       100:11 240:18 <td>123:4 126:1,18</td> <td>sovereigns 66:6</td> <td>specifically 56:21</td> <td>standalone 216:9</td>	123:4 126:1,18	sovereigns 66:6	specifically 56:21	standalone 216:9
153:8 154:10         206:2 225:18         118:12 142:22         stands 198:2           157:21 158:6,24         231:13 249:12,15         144:4,10 146:24         stanley 35:15           158:24 159:24         speaker 130:3,10         147:25 174:3         start 36:19 88:23           160:7 164:7,16         130:15,23 131:1,4         178:24 181:14         155:4,17 188:11           170:23 173:14         131:6,10         speakers 131:11         184:8 186:2,24         started 114:1           178:10 183:10         131:12         216:8 217:15         160:5           185:14 187:12         speaking 73:10         227:9 232:13         starting 106:18           188:9 189:10         107:6 108:15         233:23 238:4         148:16 154:4           191:23 192:13         special 13:14         240:13 243:1         155:16 156:18           194:1 195:15         165:18 166:8,14         240:13 243:1         155:16 156:18           199:16 200:1         165:18 166:8,14         175:16         speculate 112:11           203:25 206:8,24         166:22 167:19,22         175:16         5:22,23 6:19 7:4,5           226:8,17 233:5         192:10,17 194:10         spend 229:4         28:10 29:15,16           248:18         195:21 196:1,2,18         spend 229:4         28:10 29:15,16	127:10 140:21	speak 44:1 91:15	67:18 68:23 69:10	standard 174:22
157:21 158:6,24         231:13 249:12,15         144:4,10 146:24         stanley 35:15           158:24 159:24         speaker 130:3,10         147:25 174:3         start 36:19 88:23           160:7 164:7,16         130:15,23 131:1,4         178:24 181:14         155:4,17 188:11           170:23 173:14         131:6,10         speakers 131:11         184:8 186:2,24         started 114:1           175:23 176:21         speakers 131:11         187:1 208:20         129:19 154:20           178:10 183:10         131:12         216:8 217:15         160:5           188:9 189:10         107:6 108:15         227:9 232:13         starting 106:18           191:23 192:13         special 13:14         240:13 243:1         155:16 156:18           194:1 195:15         165:18 166:8,14         240:13 243:1         155:16 156:18           199:16 200:1         165:18 166:8,14         166:22 167:19,22         156:16         starts 177:9 207:2           199:16 200:1         166:22 167:19,22         175:16         5:22,23 6:19 7:4,5           212:12 214:25         176:2,7 178:19         175:13         7:6,14,16,19,20           217:22,23 220:3,9         180:18 182:25         175:13         7:20,22 10:10,14           248:18         195:21 196:1,2,18         100:11 240:18         52:19 57:14 5	141:11 149:3	125:14 205:24	72:8 83:10 102:25	211:1,2,3
158:24 159:24         speaker         130:3,10         147:25 174:3         start         36:19 88:23           160:7 164:7,16         130:15,23 131:1,4         178:24 181:14         155:4,17 188:11           170:23 173:14         131:6,10         184:8 186:2,24         started         114:1           175:23 176:21         speakers         131:11         187:1 208:20         129:19 154:20           178:10 183:10         131:12         216:8 217:15         160:5           185:14 187:12         speaking         73:10         227:9 232:13         starting         106:18           188:9 189:10         107:6 108:15         233:23 238:4         148:16 154:4         155:16 156:18           194:1 195:15         154:6,7,11 161:8         specifics         66:2         249:23           199:16 200:1         165:18 166:8,14         speculate         112:11         starts         177:9 207:2           199:16 200:1         166:22 167:19,25         156:16         speculate         112:11         5:22,23 6:19 7:4,5           212:12 214:25         176:2,7 178:19         175:13         7:6,14,16,19,20         7:6,14,16,19,20           217:22,23 220:3,9         180:18 182:25         175:13         7:20,22 10:10,14           248:18         195:21 196:1,2,18	153:8 154:10	206:2 225:18	118:12 142:22	<b>stands</b> 198:2
160:7 164:7,16       130:15,23 131:1,4       178:24 181:14       155:4,17 188:11         170:23 173:14       131:6,10       184:8 186:2,24       started       114:1         175:23 176:21       speakers       131:11       187:1 208:20       129:19 154:20         178:10 183:10       131:12       216:8 217:15       160:5         188:9 189:10       107:6 108:15       227:9 232:13       starting       106:18         191:23 192:13       192:13       154:6,7,11 161:8       240:13 243:1       155:16 156:18         196:21 198:4,5       161:20 164:1,9,25       156:16       starts       177:9 207:2         199:16 200:1       165:18 166:8,14       speculate       112:11       175:16       5:22,23 6:19 7:4,5         212:12 214:25       176:2,7 178:19       180:18 182:25       175:13       7:6,14,16,19,20         217:22,23 220:3,9       180:18 182:25       175:13       7:20,22 10:10,14         248:18       195:21 196:1,2,18       spent       29:4       28:10 29:15,16         248:18       197:3,9 202:13       spill 96:22 97:1,5       83:8,9 85:8,9,18         42:4,14 43:12       203:11 204:19       97:9,12       17:19 122:11         70:7 119:12 168:8       206:15 207:3,8,9       228:21 229:2       152:14 157:17 <td>157:21 158:6,24</td> <td>231:13 249:12,15</td> <td>144:4,10 146:24</td> <td>stanley 35:15</td>	157:21 158:6,24	231:13 249:12,15	144:4,10 146:24	stanley 35:15
170:23 173:14         131:6,10         184:8 186:2,24         started         114:1           175:23 176:21         speakers         131:11         187:1 208:20         129:19 154:20           178:10 183:10         131:12         216:8 217:15         160:5           185:14 187:12         speaking         73:10         227:9 232:13         starting         106:18           188:9 189:10         107:6 108:15         233:23 238:4         148:16 154:4         155:16 156:18           191:23 192:13         special         13:14         240:13 243:1         155:16 156:18           194:1 195:15         154:6,7,11 161:8         specifics         66:2         249:23           199:16 200:1         165:18 166:8,14         speculate         112:11         starts         177:9 207:2           199:16 200:1         165:18 166:8,14         speculate         112:11         state         5:10,14,22           203:25 206:8,24         166:22 167:19,22         175:16         speculating         7:6,14,16,19,20           217:22,23 220:3,9         180:18 182:25         175:13         prid         40:20 50:16,19           248:18         195:21 196:1,2,18         spent         97:14 98:16         40:20 50:16,19           248:18         197:3,9 202:13	158:24 159:24	<b>speaker</b> 130:3,10	147:25 174:3	start 36:19 88:23
175:23 176:21         speakers         131:11         187:1 208:20         129:19 154:20           178:10 183:10         131:12         216:8 217:15         160:5           185:14 187:12         speaking         73:10         227:9 232:13         starting         106:18           188:9 189:10         107:6 108:15         233:23 238:4         148:16 154:4         148:16 154:4           191:23 192:13         special         13:14         240:13 243:1         155:16 156:18           196:21 198:4,5         161:20 164:1,9,25         156:16         starts         177:9 207:2           199:16 200:1         165:18 166:8,14         speculate         112:11         5:22,23 6:19 7:4,5           212:12 214:25         176:2,7 178:19         175:16         5:22,23 6:19 7:4,5           226:8,17 233:5         192:10,17 194:10         speculating         7:6,14,16,19,20           234:4 239:16         194:12 195:10,18         spend         229:4           248:18         195:21 196:1,2,18         100:11 240:18         52:19 57:14 58:2           sort         37:19 39:7         203:11 204:19         97:9,12         83:8,9 85:8,9,18           42:4,14 43:12         205:10,14,17,22         spillover         228:3,11         128:21 147:23           70:7 119:	160:7 164:7,16	130:15,23 131:1,4	178:24 181:14	155:4,17 188:11
178:10 183:10       131:12       216:8 217:15       160:5         185:14 187:12       speaking 73:10       227:9 232:13       starting 106:18         188:9 189:10       107:6 108:15       233:23 238:4       148:16 154:4         191:23 192:13       special 13:14       240:13 243:1       155:16 156:18         194:1 195:15       154:6,7,11 161:8       specifics 66:2       249:23         199:16 200:1       165:18 166:8,14       speculate 112:11       starts 177:9 207:2         199:16 200:1       166:22 167:19,22       175:16       starts 5:10,14,22         203:25 206:8,24       166:22 167:19,22       175:16       5:22,23 6:19 7:4,5         212:12 214:25       176:2,7 178:19       7:6,14,16,19,20         217:22,23 220:3,9       180:18 182:25       7:5:13       7:20,22 10:10,14         226:8,17 233:5       192:10,17 194:10       spend 229:4       28:10 29:15,16         248:18       195:21 196:1,2,18       100:11 240:18       52:19 57:14 58:2         sort 37:19 39:7       197:3,9 202:13       spill 96:22 97:1,5       83:8,9 85:8,9,18         42:4,14 43:12       203:11 204:19       97:9,12       117:19 122:11         70:7 119:12 168:8       205:10,14,17,22       spillover 228:3,11       128:21 147:23         181:23 22	170:23 173:14	131:6,10	184:8 186:2,24	started 114:1
185:14 187:12         speaking 73:10         227:9 232:13         starting 106:18           188:9 189:10         107:6 108:15         233:23 238:4         148:16 154:4           191:23 192:13         special 13:14         240:13 243:1         155:16 156:18           194:1 195:15         154:6,7,11 161:8         specifics 66:2         249:23           199:16 200:1         165:18 166:8,14         speculate 112:11         starts 177:9 207:2           199:16 200:1         165:18 166:8,14         speculate 112:11         state 5:10,14,22           203:25 206:8,24         166:22 167:19,22         175:16         speculate 5:22,23 6:19 7:4,5           212:12 214:25         176:2,7 178:19         pseculating         7:6,14,16,19,20           217:22,23 220:3,9         180:18 182:25         175:13         7:20,22 10:10,14           226:8,17 233:5         192:10,17 194:10         spend 229:4         28:10 29:15,16           248:18         195:21 196:1,2,18         100:11 240:18         52:19 57:14 58:2           sort 37:19 39:7         197:3,9 202:13         spill 96:22 97:1,5         83:8,9 85:8,9,18           42:4,14 43:12         203:11 204:19         97:9,12         117:19 122:11           70:7 119:12 168:8         205:10,14,17,22         spillover 228:3,11         128:21 147:23	175:23 176:21	speakers 131:11	187:1 208:20	129:19 154:20
188:9 189:10       107:6 108:15       233:23 238:4       148:16 154:4         191:23 192:13       special 13:14       240:13 243:1       155:16 156:18         194:1 195:15       154:6,7,11 161:8       specifics 66:2       249:23         199:16 200:1       165:18 166:8,14       speculate 112:11       starts 177:9 207:2         203:25 206:8,24       166:22 167:19,22       speculate 112:11       state 5:10,14,22         212:12 214:25       176:2,7 178:19       speculating       7:6,14,16,19,20         217:22,23 220:3,9       180:18 182:25       175:13       7:20,22 10:10,14         226:8,17 233:5       192:10,17 194:10       spend 229:4       28:10 29:15,16         248:18       195:21 196:1,2,18       spent 97:14 98:16       40:20 50:16,19         248:18       197:3,9 202:13       poil 96:22 97:1,5       83:8,9 85:8,9,18         42:4,14 43:12       203:11 204:19       97:9,12       117:19 122:11         70:7 119:12 168:8       205:10,14,17,22       spillover 228:3,11       128:21 147:23         181:23 223:5       206:15 207:3,8,9       228:21 229:2       152:14 157:17	178:10 183:10	131:12	216:8 217:15	160:5
191:23 192:13         special 13:14         240:13 243:1         155:16 156:18           194:1 195:15         154:6,7,11 161:8         specifics 66:2         249:23           199:16 200:1         165:18 166:8,14         speculate 112:11         starts 177:9 207:2           203:25 206:8,24         166:22 167:19,22         175:16         5:22,23 6:19 7:4,5           212:12 214:25         176:2,7 178:19         speculating         7:6,14,16,19,20           217:22,23 220:3,9         180:18 182:25         175:13         7:20,22 10:10,14           226:8,17 233:5         192:10,17 194:10         spend 229:4         28:10 29:15,16           234:4 239:16         194:12 195:10,18         spent 97:14 98:16         40:20 50:16,19           248:18         195:21 196:1,2,18         100:11 240:18         52:19 57:14 58:2           sort 37:19 39:7         197:3,9 202:13         p7:9,12         83:8,9 85:8,9,18           42:4,14 43:12         203:11 204:19         97:9,12         117:19 122:11           70:7 119:12 168:8         205:10,14,17,22         spillover 228:3,11         128:21 147:23           181:23 223:5         206:15 207:3,8,9         228:21 229:2         152:14 157:17	185:14 187:12	speaking 73:10	227:9 232:13	starting 106:18
194:1 195:15       154:6,7,11 161:8       specifics 66:2       249:23         196:21 198:4,5       161:20 164:1,9,25       156:16       starts 177:9 207:2         199:16 200:1       165:18 166:8,14       speculate 112:11       state 5:10,14,22         203:25 206:8,24       166:22 167:19,22       175:16       5:22,23 6:19 7:4,5         212:12 214:25       176:2,7 178:19       speculating       7:6,14,16,19,20         217:22,23 220:3,9       180:18 182:25       175:13       7:20,22 10:10,14         226:8,17 233:5       192:10,17 194:10       spend 229:4       28:10 29:15,16         234:4 239:16       194:12 195:10,18       spent 97:14 98:16       40:20 50:16,19         248:18       195:21 196:1,2,18       100:11 240:18       52:19 57:14 58:2         sort 37:19 39:7       197:3,9 202:13       spill 96:22 97:1,5       83:8,9 85:8,9,18         42:4,14 43:12       203:11 204:19       97:9,12       117:19 122:11         70:7 119:12 168:8       205:10,14,17,22       spillover 228:3,11       128:21 147:23         181:23 223:5       206:15 207:3,8,9       228:21 229:2       152:14 157:17	188:9 189:10	107:6 108:15	233:23 238:4	148:16 154:4
196:21 198:4,5       161:20 164:1,9,25       156:16       starts 177:9 207:2         199:16 200:1       165:18 166:8,14       speculate 112:11       state 5:10,14,22         203:25 206:8,24       166:22 167:19,22       175:16       5:22,23 6:19 7:4,5         212:12 214:25       176:2,7 178:19       speculating       7:6,14,16,19,20         217:22,23 220:3,9       180:18 182:25       175:13       7:20,22 10:10,14         226:8,17 233:5       192:10,17 194:10       spend 229:4       28:10 29:15,16         234:4 239:16       194:12 195:10,18       spent 97:14 98:16       40:20 50:16,19         248:18       195:21 196:1,2,18       100:11 240:18       52:19 57:14 58:2         sort 37:19 39:7       197:3,9 202:13       spill 96:22 97:1,5       83:8,9 85:8,9,18         42:4,14 43:12       203:11 204:19       97:9,12       117:19 122:11         70:7 119:12 168:8       205:10,14,17,22       spillover 228:3,11       128:21 147:23         181:23 223:5       206:15 207:3,8,9       228:21 229:2       152:14 157:17	191:23 192:13	special 13:14	240:13 243:1	155:16 156:18
199:16 200:1       165:18 166:8,14       speculate       112:11       state       5:10,14,22         203:25 206:8,24       166:22 167:19,22       175:16       5:22,23 6:19 7:4,5         212:12 214:25       176:2,7 178:19       speculating       7:6,14,16,19,20         217:22,23 220:3,9       180:18 182:25       175:13       7:20,22 10:10,14         226:8,17 233:5       192:10,17 194:10       spend       229:4       28:10 29:15,16         234:4 239:16       194:12 195:10,18       spent       97:14 98:16       40:20 50:16,19         248:18       195:21 196:1,2,18       100:11 240:18       52:19 57:14 58:2         sort       37:19 39:7       197:3,9 202:13       spill       96:22 97:1,5       83:8,9 85:8,9,18         42:4,14 43:12       203:11 204:19       97:9,12       117:19 122:11         70:7 119:12 168:8       205:10,14,17,22       spillover       228:3,11       128:21 147:23         181:23 223:5       206:15 207:3,8,9       228:21 229:2       152:14 157:17	194:1 195:15	154:6,7,11 161:8	specifics 66:2	249:23
203:25 206:8,24       166:22 167:19,22       175:16       5:22,23 6:19 7:4,5         212:12 214:25       176:2,7 178:19       speculating       7:6,14,16,19,20         217:22,23 220:3,9       180:18 182:25       175:13       7:20,22 10:10,14         226:8,17 233:5       192:10,17 194:10       spend 229:4       28:10 29:15,16         234:4 239:16       194:12 195:10,18       spent 97:14 98:16       40:20 50:16,19         248:18       195:21 196:1,2,18       100:11 240:18       52:19 57:14 58:2         sort 37:19 39:7       197:3,9 202:13       spill 96:22 97:1,5       83:8,9 85:8,9,18         42:4,14 43:12       203:11 204:19       97:9,12       117:19 122:11         70:7 119:12 168:8       205:10,14,17,22       spillover 228:3,11       128:21 147:23         181:23 223:5       206:15 207:3,8,9       228:21 229:2       152:14 157:17	196:21 198:4,5	161:20 164:1,9,25	156:16	starts 177:9 207:2
212:12 214:25       176:2,7 178:19       speculating       7:6,14,16,19,20         217:22,23 220:3,9       180:18 182:25       175:13       7:20,22 10:10,14         226:8,17 233:5       192:10,17 194:10       spend 229:4       28:10 29:15,16         234:4 239:16       194:12 195:10,18       spent 97:14 98:16       40:20 50:16,19         248:18       195:21 196:1,2,18       100:11 240:18       52:19 57:14 58:2         sort 37:19 39:7       197:3,9 202:13       spill 96:22 97:1,5       83:8,9 85:8,9,18         42:4,14 43:12       203:11 204:19       97:9,12       117:19 122:11         70:7 119:12 168:8       205:10,14,17,22       spillover 228:3,11       128:21 147:23         181:23 223:5       206:15 207:3,8,9       228:21 229:2       152:14 157:17	199:16 200:1	165:18 166:8,14	speculate 112:11	state 5:10,14,22
217:22,23 220:3,9       180:18 182:25       175:13       7:20,22 10:10,14         226:8,17 233:5       192:10,17 194:10       spend 229:4       28:10 29:15,16         234:4 239:16       194:12 195:10,18       spent 97:14 98:16       40:20 50:16,19         248:18       195:21 196:1,2,18       100:11 240:18       52:19 57:14 58:2         sort 37:19 39:7       197:3,9 202:13       spill 96:22 97:1,5       83:8,9 85:8,9,18         42:4,14 43:12       203:11 204:19       97:9,12       117:19 122:11         70:7 119:12 168:8       205:10,14,17,22       spillover 228:3,11       128:21 147:23         181:23 223:5       206:15 207:3,8,9       228:21 229:2       152:14 157:17	203:25 206:8,24	166:22 167:19,22	175:16	5:22,23 6:19 7:4,5
226:8,17 233:5       192:10,17 194:10       spend 229:4       28:10 29:15,16         234:4 239:16       194:12 195:10,18       spent 97:14 98:16       40:20 50:16,19         248:18       195:21 196:1,2,18       100:11 240:18       52:19 57:14 58:2         sort 37:19 39:7       197:3,9 202:13       spill 96:22 97:1,5       83:8,9 85:8,9,18         42:4,14 43:12       203:11 204:19       97:9,12       117:19 122:11         70:7 119:12 168:8       205:10,14,17,22       spillover 228:3,11       128:21 147:23         181:23 223:5       206:15 207:3,8,9       228:21 229:2       152:14 157:17	212:12 214:25	176:2,7 178:19	speculating	7:6,14,16,19,20
234:4 239:16       194:12 195:10,18       spent 97:14 98:16       40:20 50:16,19         248:18       195:21 196:1,2,18       100:11 240:18       52:19 57:14 58:2         sort 37:19 39:7       197:3,9 202:13       spill 96:22 97:1,5       83:8,9 85:8,9,18         42:4,14 43:12       203:11 204:19       97:9,12       117:19 122:11         70:7 119:12 168:8       205:10,14,17,22       spillover 228:3,11       128:21 147:23         181:23 223:5       206:15 207:3,8,9       228:21 229:2       152:14 157:17	217:22,23 220:3,9	180:18 182:25	175:13	7:20,22 10:10,14
234:4 239:16       194:12 195:10,18       spent 97:14 98:16       40:20 50:16,19         248:18       195:21 196:1,2,18       100:11 240:18       52:19 57:14 58:2         sort 37:19 39:7       197:3,9 202:13       spill 96:22 97:1,5       83:8,9 85:8,9,18         42:4,14 43:12       203:11 204:19       97:9,12       117:19 122:11         70:7 119:12 168:8       205:10,14,17,22       spillover 228:3,11       128:21 147:23         181:23 223:5       206:15 207:3,8,9       228:21 229:2       152:14 157:17	226:8,17 233:5	192:10,17 194:10	<b>spend</b> 229:4	28:10 29:15,16
248:18       195:21 196:1,2,18       100:11 240:18       52:19 57:14 58:2         sort 37:19 39:7       197:3,9 202:13       spill 96:22 97:1,5       83:8,9 85:8,9,18         42:4,14 43:12       203:11 204:19       97:9,12       117:19 122:11         70:7 119:12 168:8       205:10,14,17,22       spillover 228:3,11       128:21 147:23         181:23 223:5       206:15 207:3,8,9       228:21 229:2       152:14 157:17	234:4 239:16	194:12 195:10,18	, <del>-</del>	40:20 50:16,19
42:4,14 43:12       203:11 204:19       97:9,12       117:19 122:11         70:7 119:12 168:8       205:10,14,17,22       spillover 228:3,11       128:21 147:23         181:23 223:5       206:15 207:3,8,9       228:21 229:2       152:14 157:17	248:18	195:21 196:1,2,18		52:19 57:14 58:2
42:4,14 43:12       203:11 204:19       97:9,12       117:19 122:11         70:7 119:12 168:8       205:10,14,17,22       spillover 228:3,11       128:21 147:23         181:23 223:5       206:15 207:3,8,9       228:21 229:2       152:14 157:17	<b>sort</b> 37:19 39:7	197:3,9 202:13	<b>spill</b> 96:22 97:1,5	83:8,9 85:8,9,18
181:23 223:5     206:15 207:3,8,9     228:21 229:2     152:14 157:17	42:4,14 43:12	203:11 204:19	_	117:19 122:11
181:23 223:5     206:15 207:3,8,9     228:21 229:2     152:14 157:17	70:7 119:12 168:8	205:10,14,17,22	<b>spillover</b> 228:3,11	128:21 147:23
248:10,15 249:25   208:3,7,8,15,17   234:21 236:6,13   160:25 163:4	181:23 223:5	206:15 207:3,8,9	228:21 229:2	152:14 157:17
	248:10,15 249:25	208:3,7,8,15,17	234:21 236:6,13	160:25 163:4

[state - summary] Page 51

			$\mathcal{E}$
170:3,22 176:22 90:4	1,10 95:2,4	stewart 6:15	submission 89:24
184:6 187:18 97:3	3 100:8,17	107:19,22	submitted 12:5
188:7,12,17,18,24 101	:10 102:16	stipulate 43:20	54:23 55:4 56:7
189:2,11,12,23	:2 141:9,14	stipulating 56:25	87:14 88:15 106:2
191:9 204:1 153	:23 154:3	stipulation 43:24	133:24 136:9
214:23 215:2	:25 157:3,10	44:15,18,21 46:20	139:25 140:3
218:3 225:10 157	:14 158:20	47:13,16,19 48:13	150:7 224:16,18
229:4,7,8,9 230:5 160	:4,16,18 161:5	48:19,23 49:16	suboptimal 250:4
230:9,16,22	:7,20 162:24	50:15 51:12 57:5	subscribe 96:8
241:22 243:1,3,5 165	:15,15,20	57:12,20 58:4	subscribed 100:5
243:7,12,23 166	:10,23 167:1	107:20 245:15	subsection 239:14
<b>state's</b> 184:2 167	:10,15,20	stipulations 36:14	subsequently
189:20 190:16 174	:22,24 176:4	43:18	69:15 159:8
<b>stated</b> 234:2 176	:12,25 178:8	stodola 35:16	194:18 221:25
<b>statement</b> 2:17 178	:20 179:16	<b>stop</b> 118:18	subsidize 231:18
3:4,10,10,13,20 180	:4 184:19	227:16	subsidy 232:7
5:17 9:14 10:11 190	:6 195:14	stopped 121:4	substance 229:5
10:17 11:7,21,21 201	:10 205:10,15	streamline 36:15	substantial 51:2
13:14,18 14:4,8 218	:3 228:7	47:11	157:24 158:1
14:14,18,24 15:14 232	:10 237:1,6,15	<b>street</b> 1:13 27:21	161:9,22,24
20:7 21:16 24:6 237	:17 242:2	28:4,11,18	162:22 217:16,25
24:13 25:13 26:1 <b>states</b>	<b>'</b> 169:2	<b>strike</b> 26:7 121:15	substantially
92:11,16 93:15 <b>statin</b>	<b>g</b> 207:6	148:17 150:18	49:18 50:9 51:11
107:14 109:6,15 221	:15	structure 204:14	succeeding
109:20 113:9,11 <b>statis</b>	tic 87:23	216:4	184:13,14
114:5,20 117:1 <b>status</b>	38:10 76:18	structured 204:20	<b>sued</b> 208:1
120:2 181:22 <b>statut</b>	es 184:8	structures 215:4,5	sufficiently
182:9 183:20 <b>stay</b>	139:11	struggling 228:20	157:24
202:7 234:7 <b>staye</b>	d 129:23	studied 97:7	suggest 121:4
statements 37:5 stead	fast 5:2	<b>studies</b> 126:16,25	211:24
132:15 151:5 <b>steege</b>	2 108:14,15	127:1,14,18,18,19	suggested 73:12
<b>states</b> 1:1,11 4:4,8 108	:18	127:20	223:2 243:14
5:17,19 7:5,21 <b>step</b>	82:11 134:21	<b>study</b> 95:20 124:9	suggesting 72:17
11:13 12:19 15:8 197	:10	126:8,11,12	suggestion 47:10
17:3,11 20:14 <b>steph</b>	anie 32:5	127:23 128:10	246:7 249:18
27:19 43:19 44:20 33:1	4	<b>stuff</b> 36:19 242:2	<b>suite</b> 27:21 28:4
	<b>en</b> 19:9	<b>sub</b> 153:20	251:22
49:16 53:14 56:11 <b>stepp</b>	ingstone	subgroups 153:21	<b>sum</b> 143:24
56:20,21,22 57:1 160	:22,24	<b>subject</b> 46:6 48:9	summarize
57:1,8,10,17,23 <b>steps</b>	37:12,23	50:1,3 99:8 131:7	174:10
58:12 59:11 78:22   159	:15 161:11	162:17 185:2,6	<b>summary</b> 127:2,3
82:20 83:2,20 <b>stettii</b>	• 20.16	244.22	171:25 172:9
04 04 05 5 00 10	nius 28:16	244:23	1/1.23 1/2.9
84:24 85:5 88:10	nus 28:16	244:23	171.23 172.9

[summary - ten] Page 52

sure 37:22 38:2	149:18 224:7,8	talked 84:9
42:20 43:7 49:7	247:12	129:20 201:20
50:18 51:1,5 52:5	<b>symproic</b> 119:3,5	<b>talking</b> 70:3,4
57:2 61:24 64:22	119:10,18,20	72:1 79:6 101:18
65:16 69:4 70:5	121:13 122:3,22	170:24 182:18
70:25 77:10 80:23	123:1 132:20	196:13 197:12
85:11 90:5 93:10	syndicated 95:16	199:23 200:4
96:14 99:8 100:1	96:7	210:9 212:15,22
100:24 105:11	system 188:8	212:23 213:1
106:16 109:19	t	232:5
110:7,16 111:25		tamara 3:11
112:6,22,24 113:3		tapley 35:17
113:5,15 114:14	·	tasked 212:2
115:4 116:1,8,19		tasks 204:10
116:24 120:22		teams 123:18
121:1 122:12		technical 42:11
123:13 126:21,23		247:8
127:2 128:3,17,20		technicians
129:24 130:8,22		149:15
130:24 135:21		technologically
139:4 140:25		250:9
141:13 142:1		tele 1:12
143:14 146:13		telephone 43:9
147:9,11,22		telephonically
149:22 155:2		27:15,16,17,24
158:8 160:12		28:7,14,22 29:6
164:8 170:24		29:13,20 30:6,13
172:4,25 191:18		30:15
196:23 198:5,6		television 95:1,4
200:23 201:7		97:6,13,15 101:12
206:7 207:13		101:23
208:12 210:3		tell 54:15 71:3
212:6 220:25		72:6 76:9,14 87:6
222:20 225:25		105:17 113:16
226:19 229:23		133:18 136:3
231:22 237:12		139:19 146:14
238:2 242:10		149:19 224:9
surgery 150:5	,	240:2 244:17
surprise 231:1		<b>telling</b> 146:19
sustain 115:24		186:9
swear 54:15 87:6	talk 71:6 205:3	ten 56:21 58:12
	42:20 43:7 49:7 50:18 51:1,5 52:5 57:2 61:24 64:22 65:16 69:4 70:5 70:25 77:10 80:23 85:11 90:5 93:10 96:14 99:8 100:1 100:24 105:11 106:16 109:19 110:7,16 111:25 112:6,22,24 113:3 113:5,15 114:14 115:4 116:1,8,19 116:24 120:22 121:1 122:12 123:13 126:21,23 127:2 128:3,17,20 129:24 130:8,22 130:24 135:21 139:4 140:25 141:13 142:1 143:14 146:13 147:9,11,22 149:22 155:2 158:8 160:12 164:8 170:24 172:4,25 191:18 196:23 198:5,6 200:23 201:7 206:7 207:13 208:12 210:3 212:6 220:25 222:20 225:25 226:19 229:23 231:22 237:12 238:2 242:10  surgery 150:5 surprise 231:1 sustain 115:24	sure 37:22 38:2 42:20 43:7 49:7 50:18 51:1,5 52:5 57:2 61:24 64:22 65:16 69:4 70:5 70:25 77:10 80:23 85:11 90:5 93:10 96:14 99:8 100:1 100:24 105:11 106:16 109:19 110:7,16 111:25 112:6,22,24 113:3 113:5,15 114:14 115:4 116:1,8,19 116:24 120:22 121:1 122:12 123:13 126:21,23 127:2 128:3,17,20 129:24 130:8,22 130:24 135:21 139:4 140:25 141:13 142:1 143:14 146:13 147:9,11,22 149:22 155:2 158:8 160:12 164:8 170:24 172:4,25 191:18 196:23 198:5,6 200:23 201:7 206:7 207:13 208:12 210:3 212:6 220:25 222:20 225:25 226:19 229:23 231:22 237:12 238:2 242:10 surgery 150:5 surprise 231:1 sustain 115:24 symposic 119:3,5 119:10,18,20 121:13 122:3,22 123:1 132:20 syndicated 95:16 96:7 system 188:8  t 33:9 139:4 224:12 251:1,1 tab 113:23 tabke 244:16 245:3 246:3 table 46:25 203:7 215:21 221:21 tabulation 16:8 16:20 55:25 69:20 70:17 74:3 take 38:21 39:5 39:19 48:12 69:22 82:13 97:21 107:13 111:1 113:15 134:9 149:18 224:7,8 247:12 symproic 119:3,5 119:10,18,20 121:13 122:3,22 123:1 132:20 syndicated 95:16 96:7 system 188:8  t 33:9 139:4 224:12 251:1,1 tab 113:23 tabke 244:16 245:3 246:3 table 46:25 203:7 215:21 221:21 tabulation 16:8 16:20 55:25 69:20 70:17 74:3 take 38:21 39:5 39:19 48:12 69:22 82:13 97:21 107:13 111:1 113:15 134:9 149:18 224:7,8 247:12 symproic 119:3,5 119:10,18,20 121:13 122:3,22 123:1 132:20 syndicated 95:16 96:7 system 188:8  table 46:25 203:7 215:21 221:21 tabulation 16:8 16:20 55:25 69:20 70:17 74:3 take 38:21 39:5 39:19 48:12 69:22 82:13 97:21 107:13 111:1 113:15 134:9 149:18 224:7,8 247:12 symproic 119:3,5 119:10,18,20 121:13 122:3,22 123:1 132:20 123:1 132:20 123:1 132:20 123:1 132:20 123:1 132:20 123:1 132:20 123:1 132:20 123:1 132:20 123:1 132:20 123:1 132:20 123:1 132:20 123:1 132:20 124:12 251:1,1 124 123:13 126:21,23 125:2 122:21 121:1 123:12 123:1 13:222 123:1 13:220 124:12 25:3 14:1 123:13 126:21,23 124:12 25:12:1 123:13 126:21,23 124:12 25:2 22:21 125:21 221:21 123:1 12:12 123:1 12:12 123:1 12:12 123:1 12:12 123:1 12:12 123:1 12:12 123:1 12:12 123:1 12:12 123:1 12:12 123:1 12:12 123:1 12:12 123:1

[tenth - think] Page 53

<b>tenth</b> 14:18	thank 41:3 43:16	245:21 250:16,17	218:18,19 232:20
teresa 3:4	50:11,12 53:9	250:19,20	233:2
<b>term</b> 13:15 66:1,2	54:1,3 56:17 59:3	thanks 36:20	think 36:16 37:2
68:11 77:23 157:4	59:7,14,17,20	65:11	38:24 40:18 41:14
226:15,21,25,25	60:2,14,19,22	that's 139:24	41:15,16 42:6,9
227:1,7	61:6,16 62:16,21	140:11 141:7,17	42:13 44:3 46:11
terminate 132:23	63:11,13,20,23	144:1 145:25,25	48:19 49:6,17
terms 42:11 44:15	65:9,17 67:1	146:3,4 147:13,16	50:23 51:10 52:7
62:13 68:10 74:5	71:22 73:17 75:17	148:10,20 152:8	52:18 54:4,5
74:5 97:5 100:10	82:3,5,12,18	153:18 155:3	57:11,15,16,17,20
122:25 146:14	83:15,16 84:20	157:4,13,19	58:8,14 62:11
165:8 182:11	85:20,22 86:13	158:13 162:4	70:3 71:12,16,19
187:18 188:12	87:1 90:6,13 92:1	165:16 166:11	72:16 76:25 80:21
189:5 211:4,13	92:7,23 93:14	168:4 169:23	83:21 84:12,24,25
242:21	94:13,22 96:20	170:15 172:16	85:1 96:15,15
territories 157:14	100:18,19 101:14	thee 39:5	99:7 108:11
test 57:16	102:8,11 103:10	theirs 156:14	112:12,13 117:6
<b>testified</b> 60:3 91:8	104:22,24,25	theodore 35:22	118:9,13 122:6,15
176:6 181:25	105:3 108:18,23	theory 184:14,15	126:22 127:11,15
202:5 211:7	109:5,10,13	therapy 231:12,17	127:15 128:9
212:19 218:17	110:22 111:10	231:19 232:8,11	129:3,8,10,12,13
testify 50:25	112:5,15 113:13	232:14,19 233:2	129:14,15 130:5
108:21	114:9,20,23	theresa 2:25	130:22 131:23
testifying 134:14	117:12,15 120:8	<b>thereto</b> 13:3 24:25	132:2,17 133:7
136:23 183:14	123:10 130:8	45:20 48:15	137:19 138:19,22
217:17	132:2,7 133:1,1,6	there's 142:4	139:16 142:13
testimony 25:4,7	134:22 135:18	143:22 147:13	146:19 148:19
26:8 36:16 43:22	137:12 138:6	149:14 169:10	149:2 150:20,21
44:5 48:12 54:25	139:6,7 141:1,21	they'd 153:5	151:6,12,22
55:7,12,18,20	142:11 143:18	<b>they're</b> 146:19,19	153:19 155:25
87:18 88:5 106:4	148:22 149:1,24	162:25 172:9	158:8,25 161:22
106:11 117:3,10	151:19 152:10,21	thing 38:25 46:18	163:16,16 167:12
118:2 121:12,13	177:16,21 191:11	71:13 169:9,20	172:11 174:12
124:1,21 134:2,3	192:2,3 195:1	176:17 183:22	175:2,10,13 176:6
134:8 136:14,21	196:21 198:25,25	206:4	177:12 179:5,6
137:20 140:5,14	201:3,14,21 202:2	things 37:6 38:19	181:5,25 182:15
148:18,25 150:9	202:2 204:7,8	42:21 70:3 82:20	182:16,17 183:17
150:11,16 151:14	206:9,25 216:21	90:16 92:15	184:4,21 186:5
205:1 219:1	216:25 219:19	107:11 108:4,6	187:17,23 188:17
224:20 227:20	221:1,6 222:10,15	124:5 160:10	188:20,21,23
242:2 245:7	223:9,20 233:6,7	164:19 169:23	191:2,15,23
248:13,25	238:18 242:4,20	170:1,14 185:24	193:18 196:16
	243:22,25 244:2,3	193:9 207:16	197:22 199:21,24

[think - trial] Page 54

	I		
199:25 200:11,21	thought 57:4	title 124:23	touch 101:15
200:23,25 205:2	78:19,20 99:12	toback 27:17	135:9
208:4,14 211:3,7	135:6 146:17	tobak 24:25 224:2	townsend 13:25
211:10,22 212:4,8	150:2 170:17	244:6,7,11 246:23	<b>tpp</b> 239:3
212:20 213:14	171:16 173:25	246:25 247:3,7,12	trade 174:25
216:6 218:2,5	174:17 184:7	247:18,21 248:4,6	176:3 184:3
219:3 226:23	220:9,12 223:18	today 40:8,14	train 236:25
227:6 229:15	245:8	52:22 71:1 80:8	trained 125:12
230:13 231:19	thoughts 169:21	87:18 89:18 106:4	trainor 35:18
232:17,22,22	thousands 180:8	106:23 108:10,20	tranches 118:11
235:9 236:5 239:7	three 39:8 40:4	134:2 136:15	transaction 148:3
239:22 240:25	48:4 95:12 100:13	140:6 150:10	207:7
241:16 244:18	172:8,21 174:14	181:21 198:2,7	transactions
245:5 250:5,6	228:15 231:11,15	199:8,13 218:16	137:1
thinking 138:24	238:2 241:18,20	245:17 250:9	transcribed 26:25
196:19	ties 128:18 212:6	<b>told</b> 40:24 59:1	transcript 130:7
thinks 83:23	212:8	70:16 86:4,15	251:4
<b>third</b> 17:18 42:5	<b>tim</b> 8:17	87:3 175:14	transfer 136:25
51:7 52:10 81:4	time 36:12 38:21	183:16 236:15	148:6,11 212:24
87:15 88:1,24	39:17,19 41:4	247:23 248:2	transferees 129:5
89:1 90:13,25	50:15 51:2 99:11	249:6,10	transferred 110:2
91:5 92:5 95:19	100:18 104:18	toll 37:14	transfers 110:11
100:12 109:10	113:15 133:7	tomorrow 246:16	137:1 140:15
112:17 126:10	138:22 139:3	247:6 249:23	143:21 144:13
127:21,25 128:5	153:4 156:2,15,16	250:14,19	212:18
128:21 131:2,3	156:24 159:2	ton 51:15	transparent 37:24
148:8 154:2	161:5 162:5	<b>tonight</b> 249:21	travel 38:7
155:10,15,21	165:25,25 174:13	tool 95:17	treat 228:23
156:4 158:3,19	178:1 181:21	tools 51:25	236:24 237:7
159:5 163:4,10,22	185:25 186:20	<b>top</b> 65:21 68:7	treated 77:16
164:2,10 165:14	191:16 192:25	76:19 81:21 142:5	236:2,4
167:18 168:1,13	193:3,9 194:7,9,9	185:10 186:4	treatment 210:18
168:15,22,23	194:10 198:22	192:8 239:14	211:16,16 216:15
169:4 175:18	209:12 221:15,16	topic 129:20	229:5,5 233:3
176:4 180:14	231:14 245:1,18	204:25 205:6	237:12
182:11 184:20	timeframe 206:19	topics 116:22	treats 119:25
185:11,17 190:13	timeline 185:22	205:4	tremendous
195:11 201:22	218:18	tossing 138:13	164:24 211:7
229:2	timely 59:24 60:3	total 38:16 60:10	221:23
thirteenth 26:1	times 95:12	60:23,24 61:2,3,4	trial 44:12 47:8
thomas 2:23 10:5	timing 40:15	87:22 230:20	47:11 143:11
31:1 32:21	<b>timothy</b> 20:1 23:1	totally 40:23	171:7,10 235:16
		198:5 242:19	

			T
trials 127:8	21:24 62:10	215:1 224:1	98:12 100:12
<b>tribal</b> 67:5,6,9,13	218:23	225:23 226:6	106:14 144:19
67:20,23 68:2	trustees 111:23	229:21 249:12,14	146:7,22 147:8,15
74:18,20 211:17	112:8	<b>turned</b> 210:23	176:14 195:19
<b>tribe</b> 65:23,25	trusts 109:24	turner 21:4	201:6 207:17
66:1,24 67:19,21	111:21,24 112:8	<b>tv</b> 96:10 102:4	208:1,18,21
68:11 74:19 75:11	238:25 239:8,20	tweed 30:1	218:23 221:4
239:1	<b>truth</b> 54:15,16,16	twelfth 15:14	236:8 237:3
<b>tribe's</b> 107:16	87:6,6,7 105:17	<b>two</b> 37:16 38:9,16	ucc 42:19 44:13
<b>tribes</b> 4:11 25:25	105:18,18 133:18	39:9 41:12 43:17	44:22 46:4 107:21
66:6 239:17	133:18,19 136:3,4	47:25 63:16 70:3	165:1 166:7
<b>tried</b> 184:15	136:4 139:19,19	72:10 74:13,18	ukraine 98:22
trompetta 19:3	139:19 149:19,19	75:12,12 99:14	ultimate 47:24
<b>troop</b> 15:7 40:6,7	149:20 151:15,23	100:23 118:10	70:23 151:3
40:23 41:3 82:19	179:15 224:9,9,10	145:1 150:19	ultimately 64:14
82:19,24 83:2,14	239:4	160:10,11 164:8	156:11,19 159:7
83:16,23 84:24	<b>try</b> 47:10 82:20	166:5 169:5	160:22 168:21
<b>troop's</b> 83:19	138:13 158:14	174:14 184:5	170:7 200:22
85:17	159:17 174:13	192:8 195:5	210:20 236:1
<b>trouble</b> 86:5,7,16	182:21 193:8	200:22 202:19	umair 34:6
135:10 150:25	197:22 198:22	203:9 205:24	uncommon
244:11	225:17 242:15	206:4,6 207:15	160:21 162:4
<b>true</b> 112:17 115:4	243:7	212:24,24 215:19	underlying 47:24
115:9,13,19 117:9	trying 52:16 65:1	221:22 227:12	48:14 76:19 79:13
120:6 179:6,12	70:6 71:18 77:6	230:7,9 231:12,16	79:23 128:2 147:1
201:23,25 235:12	77:20 78:11	238:3 241:17	understand 40:11
242:25 243:9	122:14 128:24,25	250:7	43:23 49:24 53:16
251:4	137:25 138:1	<b>type</b> 67:21 71:20	53:25 56:24 57:8
<b>truly</b> 42:10	154:15 155:3	72:6 79:9 119:15	62:10 64:22 73:9
trust 227:21	165:16 195:15	186:6 241:15	77:1 79:6 80:13
236:16 239:1,1,2	196:14 198:6	types 63:7 65:25	80:23 84:4 127:20
239:3,8 241:25	200:24,24 210:1	66:3 70:21 71:4	131:5 137:17
246:21	218:16 244:16	78:12 137:1	146:13 158:25
trustee 4:4,8	248:21	142:18 241:21	164:16 170:11,20
11:14 27:20 43:19	turn 36:13,18	typically 128:9,10	170:24 182:16
43:23,24 56:11	43:14 46:19 54:7	u	188:4 190:1
59:11 62:9 84:23	54:12 59:17 60:17		196:25 198:13,15
88:10 90:4,10	65:20 113:8	<b>u</b> 54:18 133:22	198:18,21 207:14
102:16 106:14	117:23 120:8	135:3 136:6	208:14 226:1
109:2 151:15	123:10,11 163:25	139:23 224:12 <b>u.s.</b> 1:23 21:24	227:2 233:5 241:2
201:6,10 221:4	177:18 187:5,21		243:6 250:1
trustee's 12:19	188:15 192:7	27:20 43:23,24	understanding
17:3,11 20:15	197:16 200:17	62:9,10 84:23 96:10,11,18 97:6	62:11 65:24 99:4
		90.10,11,18 97:0	
		ral Solutions	

	<i>.</i> -		$\mathcal{E}$
101:10 106:22	211:22,23 212:8	<b>unnamed</b> 110:1,5	v
108:12,16 114:25	212:15,20 213:10	111:11	v 146:2 230:16
115:2,8,12,18	213:16,18,20,25	unnecessary	vaccinated 37:20
122:11 125:16	214:11,21 216:2	180:12	vaccinated 37:20 vaccination 37:21
126:9 131:15,17	216:11,13,18,20	unrelated 148:17	vacuum 80:12
131:21 141:5	219:22 220:1,3,8	233:2	validity 69:16
153:24 166:2	220:12,15,18,20	<b>unsafe</b> 180:11	valuation 137:1
167:6 204:10,14	221:1 233:11,15	unsealed 171:16	233:19
204:17 209:10	233:15,18 234:5,6	171:17	value 81:8,9,24
211:14 212:1	234:11 235:23	unsecured 11:22	161:12 162:6
213:25 223:14	236:11 237:21	12:1 24:5,9,14,18	187:19 188:13
224:21 227:5	238:14,18	41:25 81:14	189:5 197:14,20
236:2 238:22	underwood's 75:1	166:20 206:1,11	200:17 202:22
241:22 243:18	99:6 235:10	untimely 50:2	221:20 233:21,22
understands 62:8	underwriters 6:5	unusually 248:21	233:24,25 240:14
understood 49:6	6:10	<b>update</b> 44:20 47:3	241:3,4,7,10,11
52:15,25 53:22	unexecuted 42:9	updated 55:3	241:11 243:19,19
73:9 99:13 112:11	<b>unfair</b> 174:24,25	updates 186:18	values 80:23
136:13 147:10	175:5 176:3 184:3	upstairs 172:14	valuing 188:7
148:21 159:1	unfortunately	usa 8:24	van 6:18
164:8 198:5 205:7	143:8 246:15	<b>usas</b> 8:21	varick 27:21
214:15 223:20	<b>union</b> 9:1,4	use 52:9 95:18,23	varies 63:6
underwood 7:1	<b>united</b> 1:1,11 4:4	96:22 116:5 122:8	variety 184:6
28:7 65:12,13,17	4:7 5:17,19 11:13	131:1 159:17	185:22
65:19 66:11,12,15	12:19 17:3,11	162:6 183:15	various 46:15
69:13 71:10,15,17	20:14 27:19 43:19	226:25 227:1	100:5 103:14,21
71:21,22,23 73:7	56:11 59:11 84:6	228:18,20,23	110:3,9 111:12
73:9,16,17,18	88:10 90:4,10	229:5 231:11,15	112:8 153:19
75:15,16 80:19,20	95:1,4 97:3 100:8	233:3 234:22,24	154:17 155:6
81:3,7 82:3,5	100:17 101:10	236:24 237:13	159:10,18 162:2,7
94:17,18,22,24	102:16 109:2	246:12	162:8 166:13,16
96:25 99:11,13,16	176:12,25 178:8	uses 180:13	167:3,7,8,24
99:17,22 100:19	178:20 179:16	237:11 239:7,11	168:1,12,13
140:22,23 141:1,3	180:4 195:14	239:20	170:13 176:4
141:24 142:1,7,11	201:10 236:25	usually 151:15	180:20 186:13
142:19 143:7,18	237:6,15,17	222:12	189:14 205:10
143:19 148:16,21	universe 72:1	<b>uzzi</b> 10:20 19:4,6	210:21,25 219:15
203:19,22,22,25	122:15	19:10,12,16,20,24	219:16
204:2,3,8,12	unknown 1:25	20:2,10 22:7	vast 48:20 49:14
205:3,5,7,8	unliquidated	35:19 193:18	56:2
207:13,20,22	81:19		<b>vastly</b> 160:10
208:10 209:20,22	unmute 87:9		vehemently 179:1
210:4,12,13			

[vehicle - wendy] Page 57

vehicle 216:9	vomsaal 3:5	want 38:2 39:15	230:22 240:9
vein 111:5	vonnegut 2:6	41:7 49:7 51:15	243:12,24
veritext 251:20	13:16,22 14:6,12	57:2 63:14 64:22	washington's
verment 7:17	13:16,22 14:6,12	69:4 70:5 71:21	230:5,11
83:7		73:13 75:21 77:16	wasn't 154:22
versa 237:1	16:11,23 26:5 <b>vote</b> 55:25 58:10		155:22 161:19
		80:18,22,23 85:2	
version 94:1,5,9	59:23 60:15,19	88:5,12 90:1	169:1
143:14	61:7,18 62:12,19	91:18 93:7 94:15	watch 39:18
versus 70:4	76:17 78:25 81:13	100:20 106:12	watching 104:18
143:12 186:2	81:16 83:3,10	114:2 117:16	way 42:22 45:5,13
vice 105:4 237:1	92:17,19	119:21 124:3	47:10 53:20 71:15
victim 110:17	voted 56:21,23	129:25 130:2	71:24 77:16
111:6 112:7	58:12,24 61:7,19	133:8 135:12	113:13 121:20
victims 12:11,14	76:6 78:4 84:25	138:24 139:11,11	138:11 152:3
39:11,20 196:5,6	85:4,6,10,19	140:20,22 152:1	162:6 170:8
197:6,25 198:8	222:4	166:10 169:10,20	175:21 184:1,17
199:10 200:7	votes 16:7,19 56:2	170:15 171:19	188:1 189:10
202:7,8 203:12	57:20 59:20 60:23	172:4 182:20	197:8 200:11
218:25 219:9,13	60:24 61:3,3,4	189:23 191:6	219:8 222:6 241:1
231:16 233:3	64:4,5,14,16 65:2	195:2 196:23	245:5
<b>video</b> 1:12 13:24	74:13,14 76:11,17	203:5,17 204:9	ways 93:8 117:8
15:5 37:17 249:12	76:18,20,22 78:16	205:12 208:12	236:13 240:17
249:14	81:13 82:22 83:7	210:11 219:22	we've 41:21 52:1
<b>video's</b> 201:12	160:23 222:1	225:7 244:4 247:3	63:7 80:3 88:14
view 37:17 39:6	<b>voting</b> 3:20 14:14	247:6,7,13,13,15	99:7 120:18,23
46:12 166:4	56:3 57:9 59:25	wanted 38:10	202:1 215:17
179:16 187:25	60:7 61:9 62:9	49:13 51:10 53:1	235:14 244:19
188:4,24 189:22	67:10 68:14 70:14	62:6,10 85:18	website 93:7
189:25 190:15,17	72:18 79:2 81:1	159:17 165:23,24	103:3,5 146:15
190:18 210:18	92:12 162:3 222:1	166:15 167:3	websites 170:14
<b>viewed</b> 179:11	W	170:16 205:23	wednesday 54:9
views 165:24,25	w 2:14 20:20	206:3 247:12	week 40:2,3,14
166:3 235:17		wants 37:17 43:2	106:25
villnave 8:6	34:11 105:8,21	43:23 47:2 50:14	weeks 52:2 84:2
virginia 5:10,14	224:13	138:3,9,10 191:25	<b>weigh</b> 204:19
84:1	wait 152:22 173:1	wardwell 27:10	<b>weight</b> 164:22
<b>virtual</b> 149:10	173:2 247:5	36:22 133:11	165:2,3
viva 95:18	waiting 133:14	244:7	weinberg 35:21
<b>voice</b> 152:4	137:19	washington 7:5,6	weinberger 23:5
voicemail 246:1	walk 41:17 86:24	7:20 30:11 35:20	welcome 36:9
<b>volume</b> 147:6	138:4 245:22	44:19 47:15 50:9	wells 35:22
voluntarily 118:3	246:5	57:23 83:7 158:20	wendy 35:21
132:11	<b>wall</b> 244:21	225:10 230:10,16	
	Varitant I ac	1	1

[went - '19] Page 58

	105 22 107 7	157 11 010 14	220.15
went 67:15 101:7	105:22 106:7	157:11 212:14	wrote 229:15
153:11 193:3,3,4	113:12,20,25	235:15	X
wentaskowin	114:6 116:20,25	words 46:8 115:2	<b>x</b> 1:4,10 3:17
72:25	117:10,24 122:18	131:1 205:13	156:1
weren't 168:24	126:21 127:3	217:15 227:15,18	<b>xl</b> 4:21
west 2:20,20 5:10	133:6,8,9,12	240:17,24	y
5:14 84:1	134:10,23,25	work 37:6 38:21	yard 42:6,6
we're 139:15,16	136:24 139:17	40:18,22 42:12	yards 30:3
144:21 145:7	142:12 143:3	97:20 108:5,6,6	yeah 40:17,22
163:8 167:12	149:1,5 150:9,20	126:10,23 127:21	50:23 52:13 58:1
what's 151:15	151:11 158:24	128:7,10 129:4	58:3 116:13
white 1:14 23:18	163:20 171:24	144:20 147:1	118:24 132:17
193:14	175:16 176:16	166:1 168:1 182:5	182:21 193:8,25
william 18:9 25:4	178:14 180:3	194:10,12 211:8	206:9 217:7 219:3
25:8 26:8 46:22	181:5,11 184:4,16	211:10 218:14	224:5 236:21
willis 2:25	192:2 196:21	250:7	245:19,24 246:25
wilmer 30:8	200:11 201:25	worked 38:18	248:5
245:11	206:8 210:7 214:5	66:25 68:13 159:7 159:8 245:4	year 131:8 180:8
winding 73:19	214:8,18 217:11		210:9 243:2
<b>wipe</b> 190:16 <b>wish</b> 55:14 87:19	219:3,6,24 220:4	<b>working</b> 41:8 42:20 125:24	years 42:17
94:19 106:6,7,20	222:17,18 226:12 233:10,13 234:8	204:14 244:14	130:15,17,18
132:3 134:4,18,24	235:1,6,15,15	245:15 249:21	160:21 169:5,15
136:16 137:6	236:5,17,19,21	works 36:17	177:23
140:7,10 150:12	239:6,13,16,21,25	127:25	yellow 142:14
wishes 137:10	240:12,23 241:16	world 100:13,15	yep 59:3 85:25
withdraw 121:25	242:7 244:3,5,8	160:11 237:18	249:4
132:2 147:12	244:20,21 245:7	worried 52:19,20	yesterday 41:10
157:22 198:18	248:9,11,24 249:3	worth 174:13	york 1:2 27:13,22
205:5	witness's 225:1	worthy 183:2	29:11 30:4
withdrawal 25:23	248:13	would've 59:1	you'd 171:16
107:17	witnesses 27:3	67:23 68:3 70:15	you're 143:1
withdrawn 46:24	36:17 43:14 48:10	72:10,17 83:7	148:20 166:21
107:17	51:16 54:7 122:13	101:12	you've 154:1
withstanding	137:22 212:18	<b>wouldn't</b> 171:9	157:1
120:2	235:19 248:25	wrapped 54:6	Z
witness 36:5,6	249:22 250:2	wright 8:17	_
45:8,16 50:25	witness's 148:18	write 43:3	zebra 84:13
51:2 54:11 85:24	woman 86:11,13	written 66:20	<b>zip</b> 64:4,13,14,17
96:20 102:7	won't 151:15	wrong 100:10	64:21
103:15,19 104:3,9	word 105:20	157:4 162:24	,
104:11,13,15,19	126:2,4 136:6	179:7 217:18	<b>'19</b> 146:22
104:21,25 105:2,3	139:23 155:3	249:21 250:8	
,,-			